## WHP Cruise Summary Information

WOCE section designation P 18 ( N and S )
Expedition designation (EXPOCODE) 31DSCG94_2-3
Chief Scientist(s) and their affiliation Bruce Taft, NOAA/PMEL (leg 2);
Gregory Johnson, NOAA/PMEL (leg 3)
Dates 1994.02.22-1994.03.24 (leg 2)
1994.03.29-1994.04.27 (leg 3)

Ship DISCOVERER
Ports of call Punta Arenas, Chile to Easter Island, Chile
to San Diego, California, USA
Number of stations 78 (leg 2), 107 (leg 3)
Geographic boundaries of the stations
225․ $10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$
10257.00"W 90ำ10.89"W
6659.90"S

Floats and drifters deployed
Moorings deployed or recovered
12 (leg 2) and 13 ALACE Floats (leg 3) none
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Instructions: Click on items below to locate primary reference(s) or use navigation tools above.
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| :--- | :--- |
|  | CTD - general |
| Description of scientific program | CTD - pressure |
|  | CTD - temperature |
| Geographic boundaries of the survey | CTD - conductivity/salinity |
| Cruise track (figure) | CTD - dissolved oxygen |
| Description of stations | Salinity |
|  | Oxygen |
|  | Nutrients |
| Floats and drifters deployed | CFCs |
| Moorings deployed or recovered |  |
|  |  |
| Principal Investigators for all measurements |  |
| Cruise Participants | Other parameters |
| Problems and goals not achieved | Acknowledgments |
| Other incidents of note |  |
|  | References |
| Underway Data Information | DQE Reports |
|  |  |
| Navigation | CTD |
| Bathymetry | S/O2/nutrients |
| Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) |  |
| Thermosalinograph and related measurements | Data Status Notes |
| XBT and/or XCTD |  |
| Meteorological observations |  |
| Atmospheric chemistry data |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Station locations for P18

(Produced from .SUM files by WHPO)

| A. | Cruise Narrative |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. 1 | Highlights |  |
| A.1.a | WOCE designation | P18S |
|  |  | P18N |
| A.1.b | EXPOCODE | P18S: 31DSCG94/2 |
|  |  | P18N: 31DSCG94/3 |
| A.1.c | P18S: |  |
|  | Chief Scientist | Dr. Bruce Taft (retired) |
|  | Co-Chief Scientist | Dr. John Bullister |
|  |  | Phone: 206-526-6741 |
|  |  | Fax: 206-526-6744 |
|  |  | Internet: bullister@pmel.noaa.gov |
|  | P18N: |  |
|  | Chief Scientist | Dr. Gregory Johnson |
|  |  | Phone: 206-526-6806 |
|  |  | Fax: 206-526-6744 |
|  |  | Internet: gjohnson@pmel.noaa.gov |
|  | Co-Chief Scientist | Dr. Richard Feely |
|  |  | Tel: (206)526-6214 |
|  |  | Fax: (206)526-6744 |
|  |  | Internet: feely@pmel.noaa.gov |
|  | All at: |  |
|  |  | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration |
|  |  | Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory (NOAA-PMEL) |
|  |  | 7600 Sand Point Way NE |
|  |  | Seattle WA 98115 USA |
| A.1.d | Ship | R/V Discoverer |
| A.1.e | Ports of Call | P18S: Punta Arenas to Easter Island |
|  |  | P18N: Easter Island to San Diego |
| A.1.f | Cruise dates | P18S: Feb 22 - March 241994 |
|  |  | P18N: March 29 - April 271994 |

## A. 2 Cruise Summary Information

WOCE Hydrographic Section P18 was completed on the NOAA Ship Discoverer in early 1994 by NOAA and academic researchers measuring a wide suite of physical, chemical, and biological processes. The P18 section started north from $67^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 103^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ to $10^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, $103^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. From there the section crossed the East Pacific Rise in a northwesterly direction to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 110^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. The northward course was then resumed to $8^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, where slight adjustments in longitude were made to bring the section to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. From there a northward course was followed to the final station, in less than 200 m of water off the southern cape of Baja California at $22^{\circ} 51.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. Nominal station spacing was 30 nm , reduced to 20 nm from $3^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ to $3^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and less from 2230 N to the section end. Station spacing was increased to 40 nm from $58^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ to $48^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$, from $10^{\circ}$ to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, and from $10^{\circ}$ to $14^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, to make up for delays owing to heavy weather and winch level-wind problems.

## A.2.a Geographic boundaries 23 N <br> 110 W 103 W <br> 67 S

## A.2.b Stations Occupied

A total of 185 full water column CTD/water sample stations were made along the section from $67^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 103^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 110^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. Of these, 158 stations were made using a 36-position, 10-liter bottle frame with a lowered Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) and a transmissometer. The other 27 stations were made using a 24 -position, four-liter bottle frame deployed primarily during heavy weather. A Sea-Bird Electronics 911 plus CTD was mounted in each frame. In addition to a set of temperature and conductivity sensors resident on each CTD, a single set of mobile temperature, conductivity, and dissolved oxygen sensors was used at every station for quality control and continuity of temperature and conductivity measurements while keeping each CTD mounted in its own frame.

Water samples were collected at every station for analyses of salt, dissolved oxygen and dissolved nutrients (i.e., silicate, nitrate, nitrite and phosphate). Samples were drawn at selected locations for analysis of CFC-11, CFC-12, dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), total alkalinity, $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{pCO}_{2},{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$, tritium, dissolved organic carbon, carbon isotopes, oxygen isotopes, and other variables. Daily shallow casts were made for assessment of various biological parameters, including productivity. A total of 25 ALACE (Autonomous Lagrangian Circulation Explorer) floats were deployed during the cruise. Nineteen XCTDs were successfully launched between CTD/O $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ stations from 1-9.5 N. Underway measurements included ADCP data, meteorological variables, bottom depth, $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{pCO}_{2}$, atmospheric CFCs, nitrate, and chlorophyll.

Sampling accomplished:
194 Stations were completed, including 9 on the transit to the start of the P18 section (Sta 1-9)

Approximately number of water samples analysed: 6147 salinity, 6042 oxygen, 5999 nutrients, 2960 chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), 3147 Total $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, 2998 \mathrm{pCO}_{2}, 4365 \mathrm{pH}$, 1006 DOC, 314 DON

Approximate number of water samples collected for shore-based analysis: 1002 helium3, 587 tritium, 938 AMS radiocarbon (C-14) and C-13

Lowered ADCP profiles were obtained at about 158 stations using a rosette mounted lowered ADCP instrument.

Continuous underway ADCP measurements were made along the cruise track.
Measurents of surface-layer dissolved gases and atmospheric trace gases including nitrous oxide and halocarbons) were made along the transit leg (Leg 1). These results
have been presented in the technical report: Lobert, J.M.., J.H. Butler, L.S. Geller, S.A. Yvon, S.A. Montzka, R.C. Myers, A.D. Clarke, and J.W. Elkins. BLAST94: Bromine Latitudinal Air/Sea Transect 1994 report on oceanic measurements on methyl bromide and other compounds. NOAA Technical Memorandum ERL CMDL-10, 39 pp. (1996).
A.2.c Floats and drifters deployed

ALACE Floats were launched at 25 locations listed in Table 1. Twelve ALACE floats were released on Leg 2 and thirteen on Leg 3.

Table 1: Time and location of ALACE float deployments

| Date | Time | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 022494 | 0756 | 5550.17'S | 80²2.34'W |
| 022494 | 1636 | 56³9.64'S | $81^{\circ} 46.87{ }^{\text {W W }}$ |
| 022494 | 2130 | 57³0.02'S | $83^{\circ} 17.12^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 022594 | 0228 | 58¹9.87'S | 84²45.79'W |
| 022594 | 0725 | 59 ${ }^{\circ} 09.26$ 'S | 86¹8.96'W |
| 022594 | 1210 | 5959.90'S | 87 51.50 'W |
| 030894 | 1025 | $55^{\circ} 10.40 ' S$ | 10301.09'W |
| 031094 | 2028 | 49**9.28'S | $103^{\circ} 00.10^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 031394 | 0637 | 44*58.99'S | $103^{\circ} 00.25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 031594 | 0117 | $40^{\circ} 00.99 ' S$ | $103^{\circ} 00.55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 031894 | 1200 | $35^{\circ} 00.40^{\prime}$ S | $103^{\circ} 00.74^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 032094 | 0739 | $30^{\circ} 00.15 ' S$ | 10301.53'W |
| 032994 | 1341 | $25^{\circ} 00.24$ S | $103^{\circ} 00.05^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 033194 | 2011 | 20²9.51'S | $10{ }^{\circ} 59.98{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 040494 | 0005 | 14*59.70'S | $103{ }^{\circ} 00.01^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 040694 | 1917 | $9^{\circ} 59.76$ 'S | $103^{\circ} 00.70^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 040994 | 1441 | $6^{\circ} 09.09 ' S$ | $108^{\circ} 38.61$ 'W |
| 041094 | 2307 | $3^{\circ} 59.28$ S | $110^{\circ} 19.78^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041294 | 1838 | $1^{\circ} 20.27{ }^{\text {S }}$ | 110¹9.94'W |
| 041494 | 1443 | $1^{\circ} 00.38$ ' | 110¹9.96'W |
| 041694 | 1431 | $3^{\circ} 59.69$ N | $110^{\circ} 19.93$ W |
| 041794 | 1731 | $5^{\circ} 59.90$ ' N | $110^{\circ} 20.30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041994 | 1956 | 1000.78'S | $110^{\circ} 00.19^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 042194 | 1819 | $14^{\circ} 29.77{ }^{\text {S }}$ | $110^{\circ} 00.03^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 042394 | 2246 | 1859.93'S | $109^{\circ} 59.80^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |

A.2.d Moorings deployed or recovered

## A. 3 Principal Investigators

Table 2: List of Principal Investigators

| Measurement | Principal Investigator | Institution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CTD/O2 | B. Taft, G. Johnson | PMEL |
| Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) | J. Bullister | PMEL |
| C-14 (AMS radiocarbon), C-13 | P. Quay | UW |
| Nutrients | K. Krogsland | UW |
| Dissolved Oxygen | J. Bullister | PMEL |
| Helium/tritium | W. Jenkins | WHOI |
| CO2 (alkalinity) | F. Millero | UM |
| Total CO2 (coulometry), pCO2 | R. Feely | PMEL |
| pH | R. Byrne | USF |
| ADCP | P. Hacker | UH |
| ALACE floats | R. Davis | SIO |
| Underway atmospheric/surface |  |  |
| halocarbons, nitrous oxide | J. Butler | CMDL |
| Productivity | F. Chavez | MBARI |
| Bathymetry | Ship personnel |  |
| Underway thermosalinograph | Ship personnel |  |

## Participating Institutions:

NOAA/PMEL National Oceanic and Atmospheric Adminstration
Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory
USF University of South Florida
MBARI Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute
SIO Scripps Institution of Oceanography
UM University of Miami
UW University of Washington
UH University of Hawaii
WHOI Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution
CMDL NOAA Climate Modelling and Diagnostics Laboratory

## A. 4 Scientific Programme and Methods

The long term objective of the Climate and Global Change Program is to provide reliable predictions of climate change and associated regional implications on time scales ranging from seasons to a century or more. In support of NOAA's Climate Program, PMEL scientists have been measuring the growing burden of greenhouse gases in the Pacific Ocean and the overlying atmosphere since 1980. The NOAA Office of Global Programs (OGP) sponsored Ocean Tracers and Hydrography Program and Ocean-Atmosphere Carbon Exchange Study (OACES) studies ocean circulation, mixing processes, and the rate at which $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) are taken up and released by the oceans. Work on this cruise was cooperative with the World Ocean Circulation Experiment (WOCE) and the U.S. Joint Global Ocean Flux Study (JGOFS). The research was designed to (1) describe water properties and relate them to
circulation processes throughout the water column in the eastern Pacific Ocean; (2) determine the sources and sinks of carbon dioxide along $103-110^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$; (3) study the invasion of CFCs in the ocean; and (4) provide a high quality set of baseline measurements for the continuing evaluation of changes in ocean content of dissolved gasses, water properties, and circulation. This section fills a gap in the eastern Pacific between WOCE Hydrographic Programme (WHP) meridional sections P19 (along $90^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) and P17 (along $135^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ). The southern end of this section intersects WHP S4, an E-W section along $67^{\circ}$ S occupied in 1992.

During the transit (leg 1) from Seattle, Washington to Punta Arenas, Chile, a test station was occupied in the Puget Sound to evaluate the CTD/rosette system. This profile was not processed and is not included in this data report. In response to significant volcanic activity detected by the VENTS monitoring system at the East Blanco Depression $\left(44^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 129^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right), 6$ stations were occupied in this area during leg 1 . The NOAA/PMEL VENTS program focuses research on determining the oceanic impacts and consequences of submarine hydrothermal venting. This event was particularly interesting as the area is a pull-apart basin in a transform zone, possibly the site of early ridge formation.

Occupation of WOCE section P18 began with station 10 of leg 2, after two test casts were completed enroute to $67^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 103^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ from Punta Arenas, Chile. Seventy-eight full water column hydrographic stations were occupied east of the Pacific Rise along $103^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ from $67^{\circ} S$ to $27^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. Stations were spaced at 30 nm intervals except from $58^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ to $48^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ where spacing was increased to 40 nm intervals to make up time lost from bad weather and winch level wind problems. Features sampled during leg 2 included the Polar and Subantarctic Fronts of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current, the Subtropical Front, the Subantarctic Mode Water, the Antarctic Intermediate Water, the Circumpolar Deep Water spreading to the northern reaches of the Southeast Pacific Basin, and currents along the Sala y Gomez Fracture Zone.

During leg 3 stations continued northward along $103^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ to $10^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ at 30 nm intervals. The section turned northwestward from $10^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ with 40 nm station spacing to cross the East Pacific Rise in a perpendicular fashion. The 30 nm spacing was resumed from 5 S to $3^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ northward along $110^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. From $3^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ to $3^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ stations were occupied every 20 nm along the same longitude. From $3^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to $2230^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ stations were occupied at 30 nm intervals, except from $12^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to $16^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, where the spacing was again increased to 40 nm to make up for time lost to winch level wind problems. A gradual shift in the longitude from $110^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ was made between $8^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $10^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. North of $22^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ station spacing was reduced to as little as 3 nm over the rapidly shoaling bathymetry approaching Cabo San Lucas. The line was completed in 200 m of water at $22^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. During leg 3 , 107 full water column hydrographic stations were occupied sampling the deep waters of the Bauer Basin, currents associated with the flanks of the East Pacific Rise, tropical water masses and currents over the full water column, the northern mid-depth helium-3 plume, and the oxygen depleted layer of the tropical Eastern Pacific.

Full water column CTD/O $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ profiles were collected at all stations. Lowered Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) measurements were also collected on most casts. In addition, underway salinity, temperature, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ measurements were taken along the cruise track. Shallow productivity casts were made daily, ALACE floats were launched at predetermined locations, and XCTDs were successfully dropped in a high-resolution survey from $1^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to $9.5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Water samples were analyzed for a suite of anthropogenic and natural tracers including salinity, dissolved oxygen, inorganic nutrients, CFCs, $\mathrm{pCO}_{2}$, total $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{pH}$, total alkalinity, helium, tritium, $\mathrm{C}-13, \mathrm{C}-14, \mathrm{O}-18$, dissolved organic carbon, and dissolved organic nitrogen. Samples were collected from productivity casts for chlorophyll and primary productivity.

Leg 1 (Seattle, Washington to Punta Arenas, Chile)
This leg was a transit leg with a test station occupied in the Puget Sound to evaluate the CTD/rosette system. This profile was not processed and is not included in this data report. In response to significant volcanic activity detected by the VENTS monitoring system at the East Blanco Depression ( $44^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 129^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ), 6 stations were occupied in this area during leg 1.

Leg 2 (Punta Arenas - Easter Island).
This leg consisted of 78 stations along $103^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$; the first station on the WOCE Line P18 (\#10) was occupied at $67^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 103^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ on 26 February 1994 and the final station at $26^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 103^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ on 23 March 1994. Except for 10 degrees of latitude span ( $58^{\circ} 30$ 'S $48^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ S), the station spacing was 30 miles. The station spacing was increased to 40 miles in the above mentioned latitudinal band because of time lost to heavy weather and slower than normal retrieval rates of the CTD package due to problems with the winch level wind. All CTD stations were full depth (nominally 10 m above the bottom). Two CTD/rosette packages were used: a 24 position 4 I bottle rosette ( 21 stations) and a 36 position 10 I bottle rosette ( 57 stations). The choice between the two systems was usually dictated by the severity of the weather. On stations where the large rosette was used, a LADCP was attached to the rosette frame which reduced the number of bottle positions from 36 to 33 . Shallow ( 200 m ) productivity bottle casts with light transmission profiles were made at 23 stations. Twelve ALACE floats were released at predetermined locations along the section and on the transit to the first station.

Leg 3 (Easter Island - San Diego).
A similar observational program was carried out on this leg (107 stations) with the following changes from the nominal 30-mile station spacing. Stations were occupied at 40 mile intervals along a dog-leg section across the East Pacific Rise from $10^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 103^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 110^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. Thirty-mile spacing was resumed between $5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and $3^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and then reduced to 20 miles between $3^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and $3^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. From $3^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to $22^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ stations were occupied at 30 mile intervals except between $12^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $16^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, where spacing was again relaxed to 40 miles. Between $8^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $10^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ a gradual shift in longitude from $110^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ to $110^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ was made. As the ship approached Cabo San Lucas, at the end of the section, spacing
was reduced to as little as 3 miles over the steeply shoaling bathymetry. Only on six stations, during reterminations of the CTD cable, was the 24 bottle rosette used.

Discussion:

The basic goals of the cruise were accomplished. All casts were made to the bottom. Station spacing only occasionally was increased to 40 miles from the nominal WOCE interval of 30 miles. There were no significant gaps in sampling any of the variables. Preliminary analysis of the Seabird CTD measurements and bottle data indicate that they will meet the WOCE standards.

## A. 5 Major Problems and Goals not Achieved

Some time was lost on the southern end of leg 2 due to weather. We encountered a number of problems with the level-wind mechanism on the winch, which led to bad wraps on the drum. A number of attempts were made to re-tension the wire on the drum at sea by removing the CTD/rosette package, attaching a weight to the wire, and spooling the full length of the wire (except the last full wrap on the drum) out behind the ship while underway. These problems persisted throughout the cruise, and resulted in slower than anticipated average winch speeds and some loss of time. Some time was lost on station due to conducting cable and wire termination problems.
A. 6 Other Incidents of Note

## A. 7 List of Cruise Participants

A list of cruise participants is found in Table 3.
Table 3: $\quad$ Cruise Participants

| Program | Inst. | Leg 1 | Leg 2 | Leg 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chief Scientist | PMEL | *John Bullister | Bruce Taft | Gregory Johnson |
| Co-Chief Scientist | PMEL | *Gregory Johnson | John Bullister | Richard Feely |
| CTD | PMEL | *K. McTaggart | K. McTaggart | K. McTaggart |
|  | Sea-Bird |  | Nordeen Larson |  |
| CFC | PMEL | *David Wisegarver | David Wisegarver | David Wisegarver |
|  | PMEL |  | C.J. Beegle | Kirk Hargreaves |
| Salinity helium, tritium | PMEL |  | Gregg Thomas | Gregg Thomas |
|  | WHOI |  | Joshua Curtice | Scott Birdwhistell |
| oxygen nutrients | PMEL | *Kirk Hargreaves | Kirk Hargreaves | David Jones |
|  | UW |  | K. Krogslund | K. Krogslund |
|  | UW |  | Calvin Mordy | Calvin Mordy |
| ADCP <br> trace gases | UH |  | Craig Huhta | Claude Lumpkin |
|  | CMDL | J. Lobert |  |  |
|  | CMDL | M. Nowich |  |  |
|  | CMDL | L. Geller |  |  |
|  | CMDL | *J. Butler |  |  |


| productivity | CMDL MBARI | *S. Montzka |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Kurt Buck | Kurt Buck |
|  |  |  | Gregory Morris Thomas Hayden | Raphael Kudela |
| DOC | Miami |  | Dennis Hansell | Rhonda Kelly |
| alkalinity | Miami |  | J. Zhang | Essa Peltola |
|  |  |  | Sonya Olivella | Michael De Alessi |
|  |  |  | Bernardo Vargas | Mary Roche |
| Underway pH | SIO | A. Dickson |  |  |
| pH | USF |  | Robert Byrne | Huining Zhang |
|  | USF |  | Renate Bernstein | Sean McElligott |
|  | USF |  | Huining Zhang | Frederick Stengard |
| pCO 2 | PMEL |  | Dana Greeley | Dana Greeley |
|  | PMEL | Kerry Jones | Catherine Cosca | Matthew Steckley |
| TCO2 | PMEL | *Marilyn Roberts | Kerry Jones | Marilyn Roberts |
|  | PMEL |  | Thomas Lantry | Thomas Lantry |
| C-13, C-14 | UW |  | James Green | Elizabeth Houzel |
| Vents | CIMRS | *L. Evans |  |  |
|  | PMEL | *D. Taylor |  |  |
|  |  | *V. Anderson |  |  |
| CTD | PMEL | *H. Milburn |  |  |
| Mexican Observer | Texas A\&M |  |  | go Lopez-Veneroni |
|  |  |  |  | mberto Perez-Ortiz |
| Chilean Observer | SHOA |  | Dante Gutierrez-B | sa |
| Electronics Technician |  | J. Payseur | J. Payseur | S. Macri |

* Disembarked in San Francisco on Leg 1


## B. 1 Navigation and bathymetry

SeaBeam multibeam sonar was used continuously for bathymetry during both legs. Navigation was by means of the Global Positioning System (GPS).

## B. 2 Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP)

Shipboard ADCP measurements, along with global position system (GPS) data, were collected continuously along the track to measure the velocity profile in the upper 500 m .
B. 3 Thermosalinograph and underway dissolved oxygen, etc

A thermosalinograph was operated continuously on both legs.
$\mathrm{pCO}_{2}$ and pH were measured while underway together with photosynthetically active radiation, nitrate and chlorophyll concentrations.

## B. 4 XBT and XCTD

Nineteen XCTDs were dropped along $110^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ between $1^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $9^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ at locations halfway between successive CTD stations on Leg 3. Times and positions of each deployment are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Deployment times and locations for XCTD casts

| Date | Time | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 012994 | 0355 | $44^{\circ} 12.97^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $129^{\circ} 37.08^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 030294 | 1916 | $62^{\circ} 27.85^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $102^{\circ} 58.45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 030394 | 0941 | $61^{\circ} 25.0^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $102^{\circ} 58.90^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 031094 | 0556 | $5^{\circ} 09.50^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $103^{\circ} 00.60^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041494 | 1540 | $1^{\circ} 10.01^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 19.87^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041494 | 2208 | $1^{\circ} 30.10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 19.60^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041594 | 0340 | $1^{\circ} 50.30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 19.70^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041594 | 0933 | $2^{\circ} 10.10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 20.00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041594 | 1455 | $2^{\circ} 30.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 19.80^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041594 | 2116 | $2^{\circ} 50.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 19.90^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041694 | 0250 | $3^{\circ} 15.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 19.90^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041694 | 0942 | $3^{\circ} 45.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 19.40^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041694 | 1546 | $4^{\circ} 15.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 19.80^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041694 | 2313 | $4^{\circ} 45.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 20.00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041794 | 0536 | $5^{\circ} 16.28^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 19.77^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041794 | 1227 | $5^{\circ} 45.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 20.00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041794 | 1845 | $6^{\circ} 15.03^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 20.46^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041894 | 0038 | $6^{\circ} 45.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 20.60^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041894 | 0659 | $7^{\circ} 15.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 20.61^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041894 | 1307 | $7^{\circ} 45.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 19.90^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041894 | 2011 | $8^{\circ} 15.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 17.74^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041894 | 0159 | $8^{\circ} 45.10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 12.50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 041994 | 0822 | $9^{\circ} 15.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $110^{\circ} 07.60^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |

## B. 5 Meteorological observations

## B. 6 Atmospheric chemistry

3/8" O.D. Dekaron air sampling lines (reinforced plastic tubing) was run from the CFC van to the bow and stern and air was analyzed continuously for: CFC-11 CFC-12 CFC113 Carbon tetrachloride Methyl chloroform
C. Hydrographic Measurements
C.1. CTD/O $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Measurements and Calibrations
(K.E. McTaggart, G.C. Johnson, and B.A. Taft)
C.1.1. STANDARDS AND PRE-CRUISE CALIBRATIONS

The CTD system is a real time data system with the CTD data from a Sea-Bird Electronics, Inc. (SBE) 9plus underwater unit transmitted via a conducting cable to the

SBE 11 plus deck unit. The serial data from the underwater unit is sent to the deck unit in RS-232 NRZ format using a 34560 Hz carrier-modulated differential-phase-shift-keying (DPSK) telemetry link. The deck unit decodes the serial data and sends it to a personal computer for display and storage in a disk file using Sea-Bird SEASOFT software.

The SBE 911plus system transmits data from primary and auxiliary sensors in the form of binary number equivalents of the frequency or voltage outputs from those sensors. The calculations required to convert from raw data to engineering units of the parameters being measured are performed by software, either in real-time, or after the data has been stored in a disk file.

The SBE 911plus system is electrically and mechanically compatible with standard, unmodified rosette water samplers made by General Oceanics (GO), including the 1016 36 -position sampler. An optional modem and rosette interface allows the 911 plus system to control the operation of the rosette directly, and without interrupting the data from the CTD, eliminating the need for a rosette deck unit.

The SBE 9plus underwater unit uses Sea-Bird's standard modular temperature (SBE 3) and conductivity (SBE 4) sensors which are mounted with a single clamp and "L" bracket to the lower end cap. The conductivity cell entrance is co-planar with the tip of the temperature sensor's protective steel sheath. The pressure sensor is mounted inside the underwater unit main housing and is ported to outside pressure through the oil-filled plastic capillary tube seen protruding from the main housing bottom end cap. A compact, modular unit consisting of a centrifugal pump head and a brushless DC ball bearing motor contained in an aluminum underwater housing pump flushes water through sensor tubing at a constant rate independent of the CTD's motion. This improves dynamic performance. Motor speed and pumping rate ( 3000 rpm ) remain nearly constant over the entire input voltage range of $12-18$ volts DC.

The SBE 11plus deck unit is a rack-mountable interface which supplies DC power to the underwater unit, decodes the serial data stream, formats the data under microprocessor control, and passes the data to a companion computer. It provides access to the modem channel and control of the rosette interface. Output data is in RS-232 (serial) format.

## C.1.1.a. Conductivity

The flow-through conductivity sensing element is a glass tube (cell) with three platinum electrodes. The resistance measured between the center electrode and end electrode pair is determined by the cell geometry and the specific conductance of the fluid within the cell, and controls the output frequency of a Wien Bridge circuit. The sensor has a frequency output of approximately 3 to 12 kHz corresponding to conductivity from 0 to 7 $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{m}$ ( 0 to $70 \mathrm{mmho} / \mathrm{cm}$ ). The SBE 4 has a typical accuracy $/$ stability of $\pm 0.0003$ $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{month}$; resolution of $0.00004 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{m}$ at 24 samples per second; and 6800 meter anodized aluminum housing depth rating.

Pre-cruise sensor calibrations were performed at Sea-Bird Electronics, Inc. in Bellevue, Washington. The following coefficients were entered into SEASOFT using software module SEASON:

S/N 1177 September 22, 1993 S/N 1247 January 21, 1994

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a=2.28847772 e-05 & a=1.76162580 e-05 \\
b=5.58250114 e-01 & b=5.50791410 e-01 \\
c=-4.14341657 e+00 & c=-4.07804361 e+00 \\
d=-9.59251789 e-05 & d=-9.32262258 e-06 \\
m=4.1 & m=4.2
\end{array}
$$

Conductivity calibration certificates show an equation containing the appropriate pressure-dependent correction term to account for the effect of hydrostatic loading (pressure) on the conductivity cell:

$$
C(S / m)=\left(a f^{m}+b f^{2}+c+d t\right) /\left[10\left(1-9.57 e^{-8} p\right)\right]
$$

where $a, b, c, d$, and $m$ are the calibration coefficients above, $f$ is the instrument frequency ( kHz ), t is the water temperature (C), and p is the water pressure (decibars). SEASOFT automatically implements this equation.

## C.1.1.b. Temperature

The temperature sensing element is a glass-coated thermistor bead, pressure-protected by a stainless steel tube. The sensor output frequency ranges from approximately 5 to 13 kHz corresponding to temperature from -5 to $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The output frequency is inversly proportional to the square root of the thermistor resistance which controls the output of a patented Wien Bridge circuit. The thermistor resistance is exponentially related to temperature. The SBE 3 thermometer has a typical accuracy/stability of $\pm 0.004^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per year; and resolution of $0.0003^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 24 samples per second. The SBE 3 thermometer has a fast response time of 70 milliseconds. It's anodized aluminum housing provides a depth rating of 6800 meters.

Pre-cruise sensor calibrations were performed at Sea-Bird Electronics, Inc. in Bellevue, Washington. The following coefficients were entered into SEASOFT using software module SEASON:

S/N 1455 January 13, 1994
$a=3.68103063 \mathrm{e}-03$
$b=6.03073078 \mathrm{e}-04$
c $=1.51707342 \mathrm{e}-05$
$d=2.20648879 \mathrm{e}-06$ $\mathrm{f} 0=6228.23$

S/N 1461 February 11, 1994

$$
a=3.68110418 \mathrm{e}-03
$$

$b=6.00486851 \mathrm{e}-04$
c $=1.48701147 \mathrm{e}-05$
$d=1.99797919 \mathrm{e}-06$
$\mathrm{f} 0=6212.56$

Temperature (IPTS-68) is computed according to

$$
\mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1 /\left\{a+\mathrm{b}[\ln (\mathrm{fO} / \mathrm{f})]+\mathrm{c}\left[\ln ^{2}(\mathrm{f} 0 / \mathrm{f})\right]+\mathrm{d}\left[\ln ^{3}(\mathrm{f} 0 / \mathrm{f})\right]\right\}-273.15
$$

where $a, b, c, d$, and $f 0$ are the calibration coefficients above and $f$ is the instrument frequency $(\mathrm{kHz})$. SEASOFT automatically implements this equation.

## C.1.1.c. Pressure

The Paroscientific series 4000 Digiquartz high pressure transducer uses a quartz crystal resonator whose frequency of oscillation varies with pressure induced stress measuring changes in pressure as small as 0.01 parts per million with an absolute range of 0 to 10,000 psia ( 0 to 6885 decibars). Also, a quartz crystal temperature signal is used to compensate for a wide range of temperature changes. Repeatability, hysteresis, and pressure conformance are $0.005 \%$ FS. The nominal pressure frequency ( 0 to full scale) is 34 to 38 kHz . The nominal temperature frequency is $172 \mathrm{kHz}+50 \mathrm{ppm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Pre-cruise sensor calibrations were performed at Sea-Bird Electronics, Inc. in Bellevue, Washington. The following coefficients were entered into SEASOFT using software module SEASON:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { S/N } 53960 \text { August } 4,1993 \\
& c 1=-43150.48 \\
& c 2=4.54280 \mathrm{e}-01 \\
& \mathrm{c} 3=1.34438 \mathrm{e}-02 \\
& \mathrm{~d} 1=0.037952 \\
& \mathrm{~d} 2=0.0 \\
& \mathrm{t} 1=30.34230 \\
& \mathrm{t} 2=-1.80938 \mathrm{e}-04 \\
& \mathrm{t} 3=4.61615 \mathrm{e}-06 \\
& \mathrm{t} 4=2.08422 \mathrm{e}-09 \\
& \mathrm{t} 5=0.0
\end{aligned}
$$

S/N 53586 October 29, 1993

$$
c 1=-39204.51
$$

$$
\mathrm{c} 2=6.23456 \mathrm{e}-01
$$

$$
c 3=1.35057 \mathrm{e}-02
$$

$$
\mathrm{d} 1=0.038943
$$

$$
\mathrm{d} 2=0.0 \quad \mathrm{~d} 2=0.0
$$

$$
\mathrm{t} 1=30.46303
$$

$$
\text { t2 }=-9.018862 e-05
$$

$$
\mathrm{t} 3=4.52889 \mathrm{e}-06
$$

$$
t 4=3.30959 \mathrm{e}-09
$$

$$
\mathrm{t} 5=0.0
$$

Pressure coefficients are first formulated into

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c=c 1+c 2^{*} U+c 3^{*} U^{\wedge} 2 \\
& d=d 1+d 2^{*} U \\
& t 0=t 1+t 2^{*} U+t 3^{*} U^{\wedge} 2+t 4^{*} U^{\wedge} 3+t 5^{*} U^{\wedge} 4
\end{aligned}
$$

where $U$ is temperature in degrees Celsius. Then pressure is computed according to

$$
P(p s i a)=c *\left[1-\left(t 0^{2} / t^{2}\right)\right] *\left\{1-d\left[1-\left(t 0^{2} / t^{2}\right)\right]\right\}
$$

where $t$ is pressure period (microsec). SEASOFT automatically implements this equation.

## C.1.1.d. Oxygen

The SBE 13 dissolved oxygen sensor uses a Beckman polarographic element to provide in-situ measurements at depths up to 6800 meters. This auxiliary sensor is also included in the path of pumped sea water. Oxygen sensors determine the dissolved oxygen concentration by counting the number of oxygen molecules per second (flux) that diffuse through a membrane. By knowing the flux of oxygen and the geometry of the diffusion path the concentration of oxygen can be computed. The permeability of the membrane to oxygen is a function of temperature and ambient pressure. The interface electronics outputs voltages proportional to membrane current (oxygen current) and membrane temperature (oxygen temperature). Oxygen temperature is used for internal temperature compensation. Computation of dissolved oxygen in engineering units is done in the software. The range for dissolved oxygen is 0 to 15 $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{l}$; accuracy is $0.1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{l}$; resolution is $0.01 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{l}$. Response times are 2 seconds at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 5 seconds at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The following oxygen calibrations were entered into SEASOFT using SEACON:
S/N 130309 September 7, 1993
$\mathrm{m}=2.4544 \mathrm{e}-7$
$b=-4.6633 e-10$
$\mathrm{k}=8.9224$
$c=-6.9788$
The use of these constants in linear equations of the form $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{mV}+\mathrm{b}$ and $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{kV}+\mathrm{c}$ will yield sensor membrane current and temperature (with a maximum error of about $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) as a function of sensor output voltage. These scaled values of oxygen current and oxygen temperature were carried through the SEASOFT processing stream unaltered.

## C.1.2. DATA ACQUISITION

CTD measurements were made using one of two Seabird 9plus CTDs each equipped with a fixed pumped temperature-conductivity (TC) sensor pair. A mobile pumped TC pair with dissolved oxygen sensor was mounted on whichever CTD was in use so that dual TC measurements and dissolved oxygen measurements were always collected. The TC pairs were monitored for calibration drift and shifts by examining the differences between the two pairs on each CTD and comparing CTD salinities with bottle salinity measurements.

PMEL's Sea-Bird 9plus CTD/O2 S/N 09P8431-0315 (sampling rate 24 Hz ) was mounted in a 36-position frame and employed as the primary package. Auxiliary sensors included a lowered ADCP, Metrox load cell, Benthos altimeter, and SeaTech transmissometer. Water samples were collected using a General Oceanics 36 -bottle rosette and 10 -liter Nisken bottles. The primary package was used for the majority of 194 casts.

PMEL's Sea-Bird 9plus CTD/O2 S/N 329053-0209 (sampling rate 24 Hz ) was mounted in a 24 -position frame and employed as the backup package. Auxiliary sensors included a Metrox load cell and Benthos altimeter. Water samples were collected using a Sea-Bird 24 -bottle rosette, and 4 -liter Niskin bottles. There were 29 bad weather stations made using the smaller backup package.

The package entered the water from the stern of the ship and was held $5-20 \mathrm{~m}$ beneath the surface for one minute in order to activate the pump and attach tag lines for package recovery. Under ideal conditions the package was lowered at a rate of $30 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$ to 50 $\mathrm{m}, 45 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$ to 200 m , and $60 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$ to depth. Ship roll often caused substantial variation about these mean lowering rates, especially at southern ocean stations. Load cell values were monitored in real-time during each cast. The position of the package relative to the bottom was monitored on the ship's Precision Depth Recorder (PDR). A bottom depth was estimated from bathymetric charts and the PDR ran during the bottom 1000 m of the cast. Fig. 2 shows the depths of bottle closures during the upcast.

Upon completion of the cast, sensors were flushed with deionized water and stored with a dilute Triton-X solution in the plumbing. Niskin bottles were sampled for salinity, dissolved oxygen, inorganic nutrients, CFCs, total $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{pCO}_{2}, \mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{C}-13, \mathrm{C}-14, \mathrm{O}-18$, helium, tritium, total alkalinity, dissolved organic carbon, and dissolved organic nitrogen. Sample protocols conformed to those specified by the WOCE Hydrographic Programme.

A Sea-Bird 11 plus deck unit received the data signal from the CTD. The analog data stream was recorded onto video cassette tape as a backup. Digitized data were forwarded to a 286 -AT personal computer equipped with SEASOFT acquisition and processing software version 4.201 . Temperature, salinity, and oxygen profiles were displayed in real-time. Raw data files were transferred to a 486 personal computer using Laplink version 3 and backed up onto $1 / 4$ " cartridge tapes using a Microsolutions Backpack QIC-80 external tape drive.

## C.1.2.a. Data Acquisition Problems

During leg 2, station spacing increased to 40 nm between $58.5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and $48^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ owing to a delay in departure from Punta Arenas, delays owing to winch problems for some casts, and bad weather. About 36 hours were lost waiting for the weather to moderate at 58 S . Other problems included poor level winding of the winch resulting in non-uniform lays on the drum and high tension crossing and snapping of the cable, compromised chemistry samples owing to contamination from the ship's stack output, and difficulties associated with doing CTDs from the stern of the ship in heavy to moderate seas at high latitudes.

Stations 8 and 9 test casts were very noisy. Modulo errors persisted through cast 14. Station 11 cast 1 did not sample the upper 800 meters and so a second cast was performed at this station for these bottles. Station 11 cast 2 CTD data was not processed. Station 111 stopcocks and vents were left open therefore no samples were collected. At station 120, upcast water sampling was skipped from 800 to 400 db while a fishing vessel cleared it's net out of the water. Prior to station 123, the cable was
reterminated after cutting off 2500 m of cable to get below bad wraps. At station 131 the package sat on the bottom for several minutes. The upcast CTD data were bad. Uptrace pressures were matched to downtrace pressures for bottle sample CTD data. Station 160 had increasing modulo errors during the downcast and was aborted. Water was found in the ground wire at the termination. No samples collected at station 160. There was no sample from station 190 bottle 11 owing to a stuck lanyard.

## C.1.2.b. Salinity Analyses

Bottle salinity analyses were performed in a temperature-controlled van using two Guildline Model 8400A inductive autosalinometers standardized with IAPSO Standard Seawater batch P114. The autosalinometer in use was standardized before each run and either at the end of each run or after no more than 48 samples. The drift between standardizations was monitored and the individual samples were corrected for that drift by linear interpolation. Duplicate samples taken from the deepest bottle on each cast were analyzed on a subsequent day. Bottle salinities were compared with preliminary CTD salinities to aid in identification of leaking bottles as well as to monitor the CTD conductivity cells' performance and drift.

The expected precision of the autosalinometer with an accomplished operator is 0.001 pss, with an accuracy of 0.003 . To assess the precision of discrete salinity measurements on this cruise, a comparison was made for data from the instances in which two bottles were tripped within 10 dbar of each other at the same station below a depth of 2000 dbar. For the 138 instances in which both bottles of the pair have acceptable salinity measurements, the standard deviation of the differences is 0.0012 pss. This value is very close to the expected precision.

Calibrated CTD salinities replace missing bottle salinities in the hydrographic data listing and are indicated by an asterisk.

## C.1.3. POST-CRUISE CALIBRATIONS

Post-cruise sensor calibrations were done at Sea-Bird Electronics, Inc. during May 1994. For stations 2-8, temperature sensor T1455 (with pre-cruise calibration coefficients dated January 1994) and conductivity sensor C1177 (with pre-cruise calibration coefficients dated September 1993) were selected as the best source of data. Post-cruise calibrations showed T1455 had drifted (offset only) by approximately 0.0015; C1177 displayed a change in slope. For stations 9-194, sensor T1461 (with precruise calibration coefficients dated January 1994) and C1247 (with pre-cruise calibration coefficients dated January 1994) were selected for final data reduction since they were used on both packages. Post-cruise calibrations showed T1461 to be drifting (offset only) by approximately $-0.006^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. C 1247 had drifted (slope and offset) by approximately $-0.0009 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{m}$.

At sea monitoring and post-cruise calibration of redundant TC pair T1460/C1180 showed T1460 had jumped by $0.002^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, warranting repair. Redundant TC pair

T1072/C748 post-cruise calibration showed T1072 had drifted to an offset of $-0.004^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These TC pairs were not included in the final processing.

## C.1.3.a. Conductivity

SEASOFT module ALIGNCTD was used to align conductivity measurements in time relative to pressure. Measurements can be misaligned due to the inherent time delay of the sensor response, the water water transit time delay in the pumped plumbing line, and the sensors being physically misaligned in depth. Because SBE 3 temperature response is fast ( 0.06 seconds), it is not necessary to advance temperature relative to pressure. When measurements are properly aligned, salinity spiking and density errors are minimized.

For a SBE 9 CTD with ducted TC sensors and a 3000 rpm pump the typical net advance of conductivity relative to temperature is 0.073 seconds. The SBE 11 deck units advanced primary conductivity 0.073 seconds but do not advance secondary conductivity. Therefore when C1177 or C1247 conductivity data came from a secondary sensor channel the alignment was much larger, typically 0.06 seconds versus coming from a primary sensor channel, typically 0.02 seconds.

Conductivity slope and bias, along with a pressure fudge term (beta) were computed by a least-squares minimization of CTD and bottle conductivity differences. The function minimized was
BC - m * CC - b-beta * CP
where $B C$ is bottle conductivity ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{m}$ ), CC is pre-cruise calibrated CTD conductivity $(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{m})$, CP is the CTD pressure (dbar), m is the conductivity slope, b is the bias ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{m}$ ), and beta is the pressure fudge term ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{dbar}$ ). The final CTD conductivity $(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{m})$ is
m*CC + b+beta * CP

The slope term m is a fourth-order polynomial function of station number to allow the entire cruise to be fit at once with a smoothly-varying station- dependent slope correction. For each sensor a series of fits were made, each fit throwing out bottle values for locations having a residual between CTD and bottle conductivities of greater than three standard deviations. This procedure was repeated with the remaining bottle values until no more bottle values were thrown out.

For C1177, the slope correction ranged from 1.00014254 to 1.00014262 , the bias applied was $-3.8 e^{-4}$, and the beta term was $-5.69 e^{-9}$. Of 5040 bottles, the percentage of bottles retained in the fit was 84.9 with a standard deviation of CTD versus bottle conductivity differences of $1.19 e^{-4} \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{m}$. For C1247, the slope correction ranged from 1.00021478 to 1.00044972 , the bias applied was $-7.2 \mathrm{e}^{-4}$, and the beta term was $-1.29 e^{-}$ ${ }^{8}$. Of 5797 bottles, the percentage of bottles retained in the fit was 83.4 with a standard
deviation of $0.87 e^{-4} \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{m}$. The slope and bias were applied in SEACON. The beta-fudge term was applied after SEASOFT post-processing in PMEL program POSTCAL.

CTD-bottle conductivity differences used for the final fits are plotted against cast number to show the stability of the calibrated CTD conductivities relative to the bottle conductivities. The entire set of CTD-bottle conductivity differences are plotted against pressure to show the tight fit below 1000 m and the increasing scatter above 1000 m .

## C.1.3.b. Temperature

In SEACON, adjustments were made to the bias of the thermistors as deviations from the pre-cruise calibrations on a station by station basis. These deviations were obtained from a linear fit of the pre-cruise and post-cruise temperature residuals from the precruise calibration versus time. Deep temperature differences between primary and secondary sensors were less than $0.001^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Also, a uniform correction for heating of the thermistor owing to viscous effects was applied to the bias in SEACON. This correction was obtained using the formula:

$$
\operatorname{error}[\mathrm{C}]=\mathrm{B} * \text { sqrt(nu)*U*U }
$$

where $B=0.692, \mathrm{U}=1.02 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, and $\mathrm{nu}=1.7279 e^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$. The value for viscosity nu is that for the peak in the distribution of the temperature and salinity bottle values ( $\mathrm{te}=1.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $s a=34.67 \mathrm{pss})$. Error $[\mathrm{C}]=0.9464 \mathrm{e}^{-3 \circ} \mathrm{C}$. All the thermistors read high by this amount and were adjusted down accordingly. The adjustment is near the maximum viscous heating for the encountered temperature and salinity range. Thermistors will read about $0.66 e^{-}$ ${ }^{3 \circ} \mathrm{C}$ high near the surface in the tropics $\left(\mathrm{te}=30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, sa=34.5 pss) causing an overadjustment of $0.29 \mathrm{e}^{-3 \circ} \mathrm{C}$. For deep values ( $\mathrm{te}=0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{sa}=37 \mathrm{pss}$ ) where gradients are small, thermistors will read about $0.97 \mathrm{e}^{-3 \circ} \mathrm{C}$ high and so will be underadjusted by $0.2 \mathrm{e}^{-3 \circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## C.1.3.c. Oxygen

In situ oxygen samples collected during CTD profiles are used for post-measurement calibration. SEASOFT bottle files were merged and bottle oxygen values flagged as 'good' were appended to the data records. Because the dissolved oxygen sensor has an obvious hysteresis, PMEL program OXDWNP replaced up-profile water sample data with corresponding down-profile CTD/ $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ data at common pressure levels. Oxygen saturation values were computed according to Benson and Krause (1984) in units of $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg}$.

The algorithm used for converting oxygen sensor current and probe temperature measurements to oxygen as described by Owens and Millard (1985) requires a nonlinear least squares regression technique in order to determine the best fit coefficients of the model for oxygen sensor behavior to the water sample observations. WHOI program OXFITMR uses Numerical REcipes (Press et al., 1986) Fortran routines MRQMIN, MRQCOF, GAUSSJ, and COVSRT to perform non-linear least squares
regression using Levenberg-Marquardt method. A Fortran subroutine FOXY describes the oxygen model with the derivatives of the model with respect to six coefficients in the following order: oxygen current slope, temperature correction, pressure correction, weight, oxygen current bias, and oxygen current lag.

Program OXFITMR reads the data for a group of stations. The time rate of change of oxygen current is computed using a least squares estimate over 15 second intervals. The data are editted to remove spurious points where values are less than zero or greater than 1.2 times the saturation value. The routine varies the six (or fewer) parameters of the model in such a way as to produce the minimum sum of squares in the difference between the calibration oxygens and the computed values. Individual differences between the calibration oxygens and the computed oxygen values (residuals) are then compared with the standard deviation of the residuals. Any residual exceeding an edit factor of 2.8 standard deviations is rejected. A factor of 2.8 will have a $0.5 \%$ chance of rejecting a valid oxygen value for a normally distributed set of residuals. The iterative fitting process is continued until none of the data fail the edit criteria. The best fit to the oxygen probe model coefficients is then determined. Coefficents were applied by PMEL program CALOX2W and CTD oxygen was computed using subroutine OXY6W.

By plotting the oxygen residuals versus station, appropriate station groupings for further refinements of fitting were obtained by looking for abrupt station to station changes in the residuals. Sometimes it was necessary to fix values of some oxygen algorithm parameters to keep those parameters within a reasonable range. Final coefficients were applied by PMEL program EPSBE94.

## C.1.4. POST-CRUISE PROCESSING

SEASOFT consists of modular menu driven routines for acquisition, display, processing, and archiving of oceanographic data acquired with Sea-Bird equipment and is designed to work with an IBM or compatible personal computer. Raw data is acquired from the instruments and is stored as unmodified data. The conversion module DATCNV uses the instrument configuration and calibration coefficients to create a converted engineering unit data file that is operated on by all SEASOFT post processing modules. Each SEASOFT module that modifies the converted data file adds information to the header of the converted file permitting tracking of how the various oceanographic parameters were obtained. The converted data is stored in either rows and columns of ascii numbers or as a binary data stream with each value stored as a 4 byte binary floating point number. The last data column is a flag field used to mark scans as good or bad.

The following are the SEASOFT processing module sequence and specifications used in the reduction of P18 CTD/O2 data.

DATCNV converted the raw data to pressure, temperature, conductivity, oxygen current, oxygen temperature, and transmissometer voltage. DATCNV also extracted
bottle information where scans were marked with the bottle confirm bit during acquisition.

ROSSUM created a summary of the bottle data. Bottle position, date, and time were output as the first two columns. Pressure, temperature, conductivity, oxygen current, oxygen temperature, and transmissometer voltage were averaged over a two-second interval ( 48 scans). For the primary package, the time interval was from five to three seconds prior to the confirm bit in order to avoid spikes in conductivity and oxygen current owing to minor incompatibilities between the Sea-Bird 911plus CTD system and General Oceanics 1016 rosette. Bottle data from the backup package were averaged from one second prior to the confirm bit to 1 second after the confirm bit in the data stream.

WILDEDIT marked extreme outliers in the data files. The first pass of WILDEDIT obtained an accurate estimate of the true standard deviation of the data. The data were read in blocks of 200 scans. Data greater than two standard deviations were flagged. The second pass computed a standard deviation over the same 200 scans excluding the flagged values. Values greater than 16 standard deviations were marked bad.

SPLIT removed decreasing pressure records from the data files leaving only the downcast.

FILTER performed a low pass filter on pressure with a time constant of 0.15 seconds. In order to produce zero phase (no time shift) the filter first runs forward through the file and then runs backwards through the file.

ALIGNCTD aligned conductivity in time relative to pressure to ensure that all calculations were made using measurements from the same parcel of water. Alignment between stations was checked every time the CTD configuration changed between primary and secondary underwater packages or every ten stations, whichever was less.

CELLTM used a recursive filter to remove conductivity cell thermal mass effects from the measured conductivity. Typical values were used for thermal anomaly amplitude (alpha=0.03) and the time constant ( $1 /$ beta=9.0).

DERIVE was used to compute fall rate ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) with a time window size for fall rate and acceleration of 2.0 seconds.

LOOPEDIT marked scans where the CTD was moving less than the minimum velocity of $0.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ or travelling backwards due to ship roll.

BINAVG averaged the data into 1 db pressure bins starting at 1 db with no surface bin. The center value of the first bin was set equal to the bin size. The bin minimum and maximum values are the center value $\pm$ half the bin size. Scans with pressures greater than the minimum and less than or equal to the maximum were averaged. Scans were interpolated so that a data record exists every decibar.

STRIP removed scan number and fall rate from the data files.
TRANS converted the data file format from binary to ascii.
Following the SEASOFT processing modules, PMEL program POSTCAL corrected conductivity with respect to pressure using an additional beta term,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { beta }=-1.29 e^{-8} \text { for C1247 } \\
& \text { beta }=-5.69 e^{-8} \text { for C1177 } \\
& \text { c2(i) }=\left(\mathrm{c} 1(\mathrm{i})^{*} 10\right)+\text { beta }{ }^{*} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{i})
\end{aligned}
$$

computed salinity,

$$
\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{i})=\text { SAL78(c2(i)/42.914,t1(i),p(i),0) }
$$

corrected temperature due to instrument calibration error,

$$
\mathrm{t} 2(\mathrm{i})=1.00008961734348 * t 1(\mathrm{i})-9.924374518041036 \mathrm{e}-4
$$

and backed out final conductivity values.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { c3(i) }=\text { SAL78(s(i),t2(i),p(i),1) } \\
& \text { c3(i) }=\mathrm{c} 3(\mathrm{i}) * 42.914
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, POSTCAL interpolated temperature, conductivity, oxygen current, oxygen temperature, and transmissometer voltage where values were bad as flagged by SEASOFT before the above corrections and repeated to the surface the first good record input interactively by the user.

PMEL program EPSBE94 followed POSTCAL and computed doxc/dt, calibrated CTD oxygens, and computed ITS-90 temperature, potential temperature, sigma-t, sigmatheta, and dynamic height. EPSBE94 also introduced the WOCE quality flag associated with pressure, temperature, salinity, and CTD oxygen. Quality flag definitions can be found in the WOCE Operations Manual (1994). 1 db data were output in EPIC format (Soreide, 1995). Processed data were despiked and values linearly interpolated. WOCE flags were ammended to reflect these changes.
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## F. WHPO Summary

Several data files are associated with this report. They are the 31DSCG94_2.sum and 31DSCG94_3.sum, 31DSCG94_2.hyd and 31DSCG94_3.hyd, 31DSCG94_2.csl and 31DSCG94_3.csl and *.wct files. The *.sum file contains a summary of the location, time, type of parameters sampled, and other pertinent information regarding each hydrographic station. The *.hyd file contains the bottle data. The *.wct files are the ctd data for each station. When submitted to the SAC, the *.wct files are zipped into one file called *wct.zip. The *.csl file is a listing of ctd and calculated values at standard levels.

The following is a description of how the standard levels and calculated values were derived for the *.csl file:

Salinity, Temperature and Pressure: These three values were smoothed from the individual CTD files over the N uniformly increasing pressure levels.
using the following binomial filter-

$$
t(j)=0.25 t i(j-1)+0.5 t i(j)+0.25 t i(j+1) j=2 \ldots . . N-1
$$

When a pressure level is represented in the *.csl file that is not contained within the ctd values, the value was linearly interpolated to the desired level after applying the binomial filtering.

Sigma-theta(SIG-TH:KG/M3), Sigma-2 (SIG-2: KG/M3), and Sigma-4(SIG-4: KG/M3): These values are calculated using the practical salinity scale (PSS-78) and the international equation of state for seawater (EOS-80) as described in the Unesco publication 44 at reference pressures of the surface for SIG-TH; 2000 dbars for Sigma2; and 4000 dbars for Sigma-4.

Gradient Potential Temperature (GRD-PT: C/DB 10-3) is calculated as the least squares slope between two levels, where the standard level is the center of the interval. The interval being the smallest of the two differences between the standard level and the two closest values. The slope is first determined using CTD temperature and then the adiabatic lapse rate is subtracted to obtain the gradient potential temperature. Equations and Fortran routines are described in Unesco publication 44.

Gradient Salinity (GRD-S: 1/DB 10-3) is calculated as the least squares slope between two levels, where the standard level is the center of the standard level and the two closes values. Equations and Fortran routines are described in Unesco publication 44.

Potential Vorticity (POT-V: $1 / \mathrm{ms} 10-11$ ) is calculated as the vertical component ignoring contributions due to relative vorticity, i.e. $\mathrm{pv}=\mathrm{fN} 2 / \mathrm{g}$, where f is the coriolius parameter, N is the buoyancy frequency (data expressed as radius/sec), and g is the local acceleration of gravity.

Buoyancy Frequency ( $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{cph}$ ) is calculated using the adiabatic leveling method, Fofonoff (1985) and Millard, Owens and Fofonoff (1990). Equations and Fortran routines are described in Unesco publication 44.

Potential Energy (PE: J/M2: 10-5) and Dynamic Height (DYN-HT: M) are calculated by integrating from 0 to the level of interest. Equations and Fortran routines are described in Unesco publication 44.

Neutral Density (GAMMA-N: KG/M3) is calculated with the program GAMMA-N (Jackett and McDougall) version 1.3 Nov. 94.

# P18 <br> Final Report for AMS ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ Samples 

Robert M. Key and Paul D. Quay August 26, 1998

### 1.0 General Information

WOCE cruise P18 was s carried out aboard the R/V Discoverer in the southeastern Pacific Ocean. The WHPO designation for this cruise was 31DSCG94/2,3. Bruce Taft and John Bullister, were the chief scientists for leg 2 and Gregory Johnson and Richard Feely for leg 3 (all from NOAA-PMEL). Leg 2 (P18S) departed Punta Arenas, Chile on February 22, 1994 and ended on March 2, 1994 at Easter Island. The next leg, P18N, departed Easter Island March 27, 1994 and ended at San Diego, CA on April 3, 1994. Together the two legs made a meridional section approximately along $106^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ from approximately $67^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ to $24^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. The reader is referred to cruise documentation provided by the chief scientists as the primary source for cruise information. This report covers details of the small volume radiocarbon samples. The AMS station locations are shown in Figure 1 and summarized in Table 1. A total of $882 \Delta{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ samples were collected at 33 stations.

| Table 1: P18 Station AMS ${ }^{14}$ C Locations |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Date | Latitude | Longitude | Bottom <br> Depth (m) |
| 10 | $2 / 27 / 1994$ | -66.995 | -103.007 | 4746 |
| 16 | $3 / 01 / 1994$ | -63.989 | -102.987 | 5018 |
| 22 | $3 / 03 / 1994$ | -61.017 | -103.000 | 4970 |
| 28 | $3 / 05 / 1994$ | -57.818 | -103.002 | 4591 |
| 33 | $3 / 08 / 1994$ | -54.501 | -103.001 | 4086 |
| 37 | $3 / 09 / 1994$ | -51.834 | -103.002 | 4000 |
| 41 | $3 / 11 / 1994$ | -49.163 | -103.003 | 4203 |
| 47 | $3 / 12 / 1994$ | -45.993 | -102.999 | 3907 |
| 53 | $3 / 14 / 1994$ | -43.003 | -102.998 | 3827 |
| 59 | $3 / 15 / 1994$ | -40.003 | -102.980 | 4053 |
| 67 | $3 / 17 / 1994$ | -35.994 | -102.992 | 3700 |
| 71 | $3 / 18 / 1994$ | -34.007 | -103.002 | 3667 |
| 77 | $3 / 20 / 1994$ | -31.000 | -103.000 | 3504 |
| 83 | $3 / 22 / 1994$ | -28.000 | -103.000 | 3352 |
| 89 | $3 / 29 / 1994$ | -24.988 | -103.001 | 3833 |
| 101 | $4 / 01 / 1994$ | -19.000 | -103.002 | 4085 |
| 105 | $4 / 02 / 1994$ | -16.998 | -102.995 | 3928 |
| 113 | $4 / 05 / 1994$ | -13.010 | -103.008 | 4252 |
| 117 | $4 / 06 / 1994$ | -11.000 | -103.013 | 4248 |
| 126 | $4 / 08 / 1994$ | -7.312 | -106.944 | 3175 |
| 134 | $4 / 10 / 1994$ | -4.003 | -110.329 | 3841 |
| 138 | $4 / 11 / 1994$ | -2.333 | -110.334 | 3987 |


| Station | Date | Latitude | Longitude | Bottom <br> Depth $(\mathbf{m})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 142 | $4 / 13 / 1994$ | -1.0017 | -110.328 | 4070 |
| 145 | $4 / 13 / 1994$ | -0.000 | -110.334 | 3785 |
| 148 | $4 / 14 / 1994$ | 1.001 | -110.333 | 3675 |
| 152 | $4 / 15 / 1994$ | 2.333 | -110.333 | 3701 |
| 156 | $4 / 16 / 1994$ | 4.002 | -110.335 | 3868 |
| 163 | $4 / 18 / 1994$ | 7.498 | -110.335 | 3939 |
| 168 | $4 / 19 / 1994$ | 10.000 | -110.000 | 3310 |
| 174 | $4 / 21 / 1994$ | 14.002 | -109.998 | 3275 |
| 178 | $4 / 22 / 1994$ | 16.002 | -110.000 | 3307 |
| 182 | $4 / 23 / 1994$ | 17.998 | -110.000 | 3269 |
| 190 | $4 / 25 / 1994$ | 21.998 | -110.000 | 3165 |

### 2.0 Personnel

${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ sampling for this cruise was carried out by J. Green and E. Houzel from U. Washington. ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ analyses were performed at the National Ocean Sciences AMS Facility (NOSAMS) at Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution. G. Thomas (AOML) analyzed salinity; K. Hargraves and D. Jones (PMEL) analyzed oxygen. Nutrients were analyzed by K. Krogslund (UW) and C. Mordy (PMEL). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ analyses were run in P. Quay's lab (U. Washington). Key collected the data from the originators, merged the files, assigned quality control flags to the ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ and submitted the data files to the WOCE office (8/98). Paul Quay is P.I. for the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ data.

### 3.0 Results

This 14C data set and any changes or additions supersedes any prior release.

### 3.1 Hydrography

Hydrography from this leg has been submitted to the WOCE office by the chief scientist and described in the hydrographic report.

## $3.2 \quad{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$

The $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ values reported here were originally distributed in a NOSAMS data report (NOSAMS, 1998), June 19, 1998. That reports included preliminary results which had not been through the WOCE quality control procedures. This report supersedes that data distribution.

All of the AMS samples from this cruise have been measured. Replicate measurements were made on 14 water samples. These replicate analyses are tabulated in Table 2. The table shows the error weighted mean and uncertainty for each set of replicates. Uncertainty is defined here as the larger of the standard deviation and the error weighted standard deviation of the mean. For these replicates, the simple average of the normal standard deviations for the replicates is $4.9 \%$. This precision estimate is approximately correct for the
time frame over which these samples were measured (Aug. 1996 - Apr. 1998). Note that the errors given for individual measurements in the final data report (with the exception of the replicates) include only counting errors, and errors due to blanks and backgrounds. The uncertainty obtained for replicate analyses is a better estimate of the true error which includes errors due to sample collection, sample degassing, etc. For a detailed discussion of this see Key (1996).

Table 2: Summary of Replicate Analyses

| Sta-Cast-Bottle | $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ | Err | E.W.Mean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Uncertainty ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16-1-29 | -107.0 | 4.3 | -100.8 | 6.1 |
|  | -98.4 | 2.7 |  |  |
| 28-1-30 | -52.6 | 3.5 | -54.9 | 2.9 |
|  | -56.6 | 2.9 |  |  |
| 33-2-33 | 37.0 | 4.1 | 31.9 | 7.4 |
|  | 26.5 | 4.3 |  |  |
| 33-2-21 | -5.1 | 3.8 | -4.6 | 3.3 |
|  | -2.9 | 6.7 |  |  |
| 41-1-7 | 44.0 | 5.4 | 36.9 | 6.7 |
|  | 34.6 | 3.1 |  |  |
| 47-1-18 | -31.3 | 4.5 | -35.4 | 4.3 |
|  | -37.3 | 3.1 |  |  |
| 71-1-19 | -20.5 | 5.1 | -20.5 | 5.1 |
|  | $15.0^{\text {c }}$ | 5.1 |  |  |
| 83-1-28 | 130.7 | 3.8 | 128.8 | 4.4 |
|  | 124.5 | 5.6 |  |  |
| 113-1-23 | -90.3 | 3.8 | -94.2 | 5.8 |
|  | -98.6 | 4.1 |  |  |
| 126-2-2 | -219.3 | 2.9 | -223.8 | 8.1 |
|  | -230.7 | 3.6 |  |  |
| 134-1-21 | -108.4 | 2.8 | -107.0 | 2.0 |
|  | -105.6 | 2.7 |  |  |
| 163-1-18 | -170.1 | 2.3 | -174.9 | 8.4 |
|  | -181.9 | 2.8 |  |  |
| 168-3-17 | $-206.9^{\text {d }}$ | 2.4 | -188.8 | 3.6 |
|  | -188.8 | 3.6 |  |  |
| 182-1-23 | -108.1 | 3.0 | -106.9 | 1.8 |
|  | -106.2 | 2.2 |  |  |

a. Error weighted mean reported with data set
b. Larger of the standard deviation and the error weighted standard deviation of the mean.
c. Results not used
d. Results not used


Figure 1: AMS ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ station locations for WOCE P18.

### 4.0 Quality Control Flag Assignment

Quality flag values were assigned to all $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ measurements using the code defined in Table 0.2 of WHP Office Report WHPO 91-1 Rev. 2 section 4.5.2. (Joyce, et al., 1994). Measurement flags values of 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 have been assigned. The choice between values 2 (good), 3 (questionable) or 4 (bad) involves some interpretation. There is little overlap between this data set and any existing ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ data, so that type of comparison was difficult. In general the lack of other data for comparison led to a more lenient grading on the ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ data.

When using this data set for scientific application, any ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ datum which is flagged with a " 3 " should be carefully considered. My subjective opinion is that any datum flagged "4" should be disregarded. When flagging ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ data, the measurement error was taken into consideration. That is, approximately one-third of the ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ measurements are expected to deviate from the true value by more than the measurement precision ( $\sim 4.9 \%$ ). No measured values have been removed from this data set, therefore a flag value of 5 implies that the sample was totally lost somewhere between collection and analysis. Table 3 summarizes the quality control flags assigned to this data set. For a detailed description of the flagging procedure see Key, et al. (1996).

Table 3: Summary of Assigned Quality Control Flags

| Flag | Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 742 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 8 |
| 5 | 30 |
| 6 | 11 |

### 5.0 Data Summary

Figures 2-5 summarize the $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ data collected on this leg. Only $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ measurements with a quality flag value of 2 ("good") or 6 ("replicate") are included in each figure. Figure 2 shows the $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ values with $2 \sigma$ error bars plotted as a function of pressure. The mid depth $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ minimum which normally occurs around 2500 meters in most of the Pacific is absent in this section except at the northern end and it is weak there. In the main thermocline the results cluster into two distinct bands. The band with higher concentration result from ventilation via mode and intermediate waters. Figure 3 shows the $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ values plotted against silicate. The straight line shown in the figure is the least squares regression relationship derived by Broecker et al. (1995) based on the GEOSECS global data set. According to their analysis, this line ( $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}=-70-\mathrm{Si}$ ) represents the relationship between naturally occurring radiocarbon and silicate for most of the ocean. They interpret deviations in $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ above this line to be due to input of bomb-produced radiocarbon, however, they note that the interpretation can be problematic at high latitudes. The points falling above the line with silicate concentrations greater than $100 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{kg}$ clearly illustrate the departure for waters from the Southern Ocean. Samples collected from shallow depths show an upward curving trend with decreasing silicate values reflecting the addition of bomb produced ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 2: $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ results for P 18 stations shown with $2 \sigma$ error bars. Only those measurements having a quality control flag value of 2 or 6 are plotted.

Figure 4 compares the surface $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ values for P 18 to those from the southeastern Pacific GEOSECS data set. The greatest change in concentration is in the $30^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ latitude range and at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ where the $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ levels decreased by approximately $50 \%$. The low latitude region shows essentially no change since GEOSECS.

Figure 5 shows contoured sections of the $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ distribution along the cruise track. The " A " portion shows the upper kilometer of the section and "B" the remainder of the water column. The data were gridded using the "loess" methods described in Chambers et al. (1983), Chambers and Hastie (1991), Cleveland (1979) and Cleveland and Devlin (1988). Figure 6 shows the same data as Figure 5A except the section is plotted in potential density $\left(\sigma_{\theta}\right)$ latitude space. For this section, the maximum $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ concentration was found at the surface except for a few stations between $20^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and $5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. Both Figure 5 A and Figure 6 clearly indicate those surfaces which are being directly ventilated by contact with the surface.


Figure 3: $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ as a function of silicate for P18 AMS samples. The straight line shows the relationship proposed by Broecker, et al., 1995 ( $\Delta 14 \mathrm{C}=-70$ - Si with radiocarbon in \% and silicate in $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ).


Figure 4: Surface distribution of $\Delta 14 \mathrm{C}$ along WOCE section P18. For comparison the GEOSECS data from the southeastern Pacific are also plotted. Both data sets are shown with $2 \sigma$ error bars.


Figure 5: $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ sections for WOCE P18 along $165^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. The section shown in two parts to allow more detail. See text for gridding method. The bottom topography in B is taken from cruise data, but only using those stations on which $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ was measured.


Figure 6: $\Delta^{14} \mathrm{C}$ along WOCE section P 18 plotted in potential density $\left(\sigma_{\theta}\right)$ - latitude space for the upper kilometer of the water column. Colors and contours contain the same information.
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## Cruise Report: WHP Line P18

(Draft prepared by John Bullister, NOAA-PMEL, 18 June 2000)
The following appendices are included in this file:
APPENDIX 1. CTD/Rosette Station Locations on P18 (CGC94)
APPENDIX 2. ALACE Float Deployment Locations on P18 (CGC94)
APPENDIX 3. XCTD deployments Locations on P18 (CGC94)
APPENDIX 4. Productivity and Shallow Biological Cast Locations on P18 (CGC94)
APPENDIX 5a. CFC-11 and CFC-12 Measurement techniques on WOCE P18 (CGC94)
APPENDIX 5b. CFC Air Measurements on P18 (CGC94)
APPENDIX 5c. CFC Air Measurements on P18 (CGC96) (interpolated to station locations)
APPENDIX 5d. Replicate CFC-11 measurements on P18 (CGC94)
APPENDIX 5e. Replicate CFC-12 measurements on P18 (CGC94)
APPENDIX 6a. Oxygen Measurement techniques on WOCE P18 (CGC94)
APPENDIX 6b Replicate Oxygen Measurements on WOCE P18 (CGC94)
APPENDIX 7. Bottle Salinity Measurement techniques on WOCE P18 (CGC94)
APPENDIX 8. Nutrient Measurement techniques on WOCE P18 (CGC94)
APPENDIX 9a. Responses to WOCE DQE of CTD data
APPENDIX 9b. Responses to WOCE DQE of nutrient data
APPENDIX 9c. Responses to WOCE DQE of oxygen data
Expedition: CGC94 (WOCE section P18)
EXPOCODE:31DICG94/1 31DICG94/2 31DICG94/3
Ship: NOAA Research Vessel DISCOVERER
Leg 1: Transit from Seattle- Punta Arenas Chile
26 January 1994-18 February 1994
(Stations 1-7: Not part of P18 section)
Leg 2: Punta Arenas- Easter Island 22 February 1994-24 March 1994
(Stations 8-87)
Leg 3: Easter Island- San Diego
29 March 1994-27 April 1994
(Stations 88-194)
Cruise Track: The station locations are listed in Appendix 1 and in the P18.sum file.
Additional details on the measurement techniques used on this expedition are given in:
McTaggart, K.E., G.C. Johnson, and B.A. Taft (1996): CTD/O ${ }_{2}$ measurements collected on a Climate and Global Change Cruise (WOCE Section P18) along $110^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ during January-April, 1994. NOAA Data Report ERL PMEL-59, 519 pp.
Lamb, M. F., J. L. Bullister, R. A. Feely, , G. C. Johnson, D. P. Wisegarver, B. Taft, R. Wanninkhof, K. E. McTaggart, K. A. Krogslund, C. Mordy, K. Hargreaves, D. Greeley, T. Lantry, H. Chen, B. Huss, F. J. Millero, R. H. Byrne, D. A. Hansell, F. P. Chavez, P.
D. Quay, P. R. Guenther, J.-Z. Zhang, W. D. Gardner, M. J. Richardson, and T.-H. Peng. Chemical and hydrographic measurements in the eastern Pacific during the CGC94 expedition (WOCE section P18). NOAA Data Report ERL PMEL-61, 1997.
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APPENDIX 1. CTD/Rosette Station Locations on P18 (CGC94)
CGC94 LEG1:
STATION

| NUMBER | Latitude | Longitude | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4743.4 N | 12224.6 W | 26 Jan 94 |
| 2 | 4414.1 N | 12940.5 W | 28 Jan 94 |
| 3 | 4412.0 N | 12943.0 W | 28 Jan 94 |
| 4 | 4416.6 N | 12944.9 W | 28 Jan 94 |
| 5 | 4409.8 N | 12944.9 W | 28 Jan 94 |
| 6 | 4412.3 N | 12937.3 W | 29 Jan 94 |
| 7 | 4418.0 N | 12935.3 W | 29 Jan 94 |

CGC94 LEG2:
STATION
NUMBER Latitude Longitude Date
$8 \quad 5322.9$ S 076 22.0 W 23 Feb 94
$9 \quad 6113.2 \mathrm{~S} \quad 090$ 10.9 W 25 Feb 94
$10 \quad 6659.7 \mathrm{~S} \quad 10300.4 \mathrm{~W} \quad 27$ Feb 94
$11 \quad 66$ 29.8 S $\quad 10300.6$ W 28 Feb 94
$12 \quad 6600.0$ S $10259.8 \mathrm{~W} \quad 28$ Feb 94
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
6530.0 S 10260.0 W

28 Feb 94
6500.0 S 10259.4 W 28 Feb 94
6429.9 S 10259.2 W 1 Mar 94
6359.3 S 10259.2 W 1 Mar 94

63 30.0 S 10259.6 W 2 Mar 94
63 00.0 S 10258.0 W 2 Mar 94
62 30.0 S 10300.0 W 2 Mar 94
6159.9 S 10300.1 W 2 Mar 94
6127.0 S 10259.0 W 3 Mar 94
6101.0 S 10300.0 W 3 Mar 94
6030.9 S 10257.1 W 3 Mar 94

| 24 | 6000.0 S | 103 06.4 W | 4 Mar 94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 5931.6 S | 103 01.0 W | 4 Mar 94 |
| 26 | 5859.8 S | 103 01.2 W | 4 Mar 94 |
| 27 | 5830.5 S | 102 59.3 W | 5 Mar 94 |
| 28 | 5749.1 S | 103 00.1 W | 5 Mar 94 |
| 29 | 5710.3 S | 10300.1 W | 6 Mar 94 |
| 30 | 5631.6 S | 103 04.0 W | 7 Mar 94 |
| 31 | 5549.6 S | 102 59.4 W | 7 Mar 94 |
| 32 | 5510.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 8 Mar 94 |
| 33 | 5430.1 S | 103 00.1 W | 8 Mar 94 |
| 34 | 5350.0 S | 102 59.9 W | 8 Mar 94 |
| 35 | 5310.0 S | 103 03.0 W | 9 Mar 94 |
| 36 | 52 30.2 S | 103 00.6 W | 9 Mar 94 |
| 37 | 5150.0 S | 10300.1 W | 9 Mar 94 |
| 38 | 5110.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 10 Mar 94 |
| 39 | 5030.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 10 Mar 94 |
| 40 | 4950.0 S | 102 60.0 W | 10 Mar 94 |
| 41 | 49 09.8 S | 103 00.2 W | 11 Mar 94 |
| 42 | 4829.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 11 Mar 94 |
| 43 | 4759.8 S | 103 00.4 W | 11 Mar 94 |
| 44 | 4730.0 S | 103 00.1 W | 11 Mar 94 |
| 45 | 4659.9 S | 102 59.9 W | 12 Mar 94 |
| 46 | 4630.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 12 Mar 94 |
| 47 | 45 59.6 S | 102 60.0 W | 12 Mar 94 |
| 48 | 45 28.9 S | 10258.3 W | 12 Mar 94 |
| 49 | 4500.5 S | 102 59.6 W | 13 Mar 94 |
| 50 | 4429.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 13 Mar 94 |
| 51 | 4359.1 S | 102 59.8 W | 13 Mar 94 |
| 52 | 43 30.0 S | 103 00.8 W | 13 Mar 94 |
| 53 | 43 00.2 S | 102 59.9 W | 14 Mar 94 |
| 54 | 42 29.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 14 Mar 94 |
| 55 | 4200.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 14 Mar 94 |
| 56 | 41 29.6 S | 102 59.5 W | 15 Mar 94 |
| 57 | 4101.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 15 Mar 94 |
| 58 | 40 30.2 S | 10259.2 W | 15 Mar 94 |
| 59 | 40 00.2 S | 10258.8 W | 15 Mar 94 |
| 60 | 3929.9 S | 102 59.9 W | 16 Mar 94 |
| 61 | 3900.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 16 Mar 94 |
| 62 | 3830.3 S | 102 59.8 W | 16 Mar 94 |
| 63 | 3759.9 S | 102 59.9 W | 16 Mar 94 |
| 64 | 3729.9 S | 102 59.0 W | 17 Mar 94 |
| 65 | 3700.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 17 Mar 94 |
| 66 | 3630.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 17 Mar 94 |
| 67 | 3559.6 S | 10259.5 W | 17 Mar 94 |
| 68 | 3530.0 S | 102 59.9 W | 18 Mar 94 |
| 69 | 3500.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 18 Mar 94 |
| 70 | 3431.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 18 Mar 94 |


| 71 | 3400.4 S | 103 00.1 W | 18 Mar 94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72 | 3329.7 S | 10259.9 W | 19 Mar 94 |
| 73 | 3300.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 19 Mar 94 |
| 74 | 3230.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 19 Mar 94 |
| 75 | 3159.8 S | 102 58.8 W | 19 Mar 94 |
| 76 | 3129.5 S | 103 00.0 W | 20 Mar 94 |
| 77 | 3100.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 20 Mar 94 |
| 78 | 3030.3 S | 103 00.0 W | 20 Mar 94 |
| 79 | 3000.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 21 Mar 94 |
| 80 | 29 29.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 21 Mar 94 |
| 81 | 2900.1 S | 103 00.8 W | 21 Mar 94 |
| 82 | 28 29.7 S | 102 59.8 W | 22 Mar 94 |
| 83 | 2800.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 22 Mar 94 |
| 84 | 2730.1 S | 103 01.1 W | 22 Mar 94 |
| 85 | 2655.2 S | 103 00.6 W | 22 Mar 94 |
| 86 | 26 29.7 S | 103 00.0 W | 23 Mar 94 |
| 87 | 2600.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 23 Mar 94 |
| CGC94 LEG3: |  |  |  |
| STATION |  |  |  |
| NUMBER | Latitude | Longitude | Date |
| 88 | 25 29.9 S | 103 00.0 W | 29 Mar 94 |
| 89 | 2459.3 S | 103 00.0 W | 29 Mar 94 |
| 90 | 2430.1 S | 102 59.8 W | 29 Mar 94 |
| 91 | 2359.9 S | 103 00.1 W | 29 Mar 94 |
| 92 | 23 29.7 S | 10259.7 W | 30 Mar 94 |
| 93 | 2300.1 S | 102 59.8 W | 30 Mar 94 |
| 94 | 22 29.9 S | 10259.9 W | 30 Mar 94 |
| 95 | 2159.6 S | 10259.4 W | 30 Mar 94 |
| 96 | 2130.0 S | 10259.9 W | 31 Mar 94 |
| 97 | 2059.9 S | 103 00.1 W | 31 Mar 94 |
| 98 | 2030.1 S | 103 00.0 W | 31 Mar 94 |
| 99 | 2000.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 1 Apr 94 |
| 100 | 1930.1 S | 102 59.5 W | 1 Apr 94 |
| 101 | 1900.0 S | 103 00.1 W | 1 Apr 94 |
| 102 | 1829.7 S | 103 00.1 W | 2 Apr 94 |
| 103 | 1759.9 S | 103 00.2 W | 2 Apr 94 |
| 104 | 1730.0 S | 103 00.4 W | 2 Apr 94 |
| 105 | 1659.9 S | 10259.7 W | 2 Apr 94 |
| 106 | 1629.9 S | 10259.9 W | 3 Apr 94 |
| 107 | 1600.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 3 Apr 94 |
| 108 | 1530.1 S | 103 00.0 W | 3 Apr 94 |
| 109 | 1460.0 S | 102 60.0 W | 3 Apr 94 |
| 110 | 14 30.2 S | 102 59.3 W | 4 Apr 94 |
| 111 | 1400.0 S | 102 59.7 W | 4 Apr 94 |
| 112 | 13 30.0 S | 103 00.2 W | 4 Apr 94 |
| 113 | 13 00.6 S | 10300.5 W | 5 Apr 94 |
| 114 | 1230.1 S | 103 00.1 W | 5 Apr 94 |


| 115 | 1200.1 S | 10300.1 W | 5 Apr 94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116 | 1130.3 S | 103 00.0 W | 5 Apr 94 |
| 117 | 1100.0 S | 10300.8 W | 6 Apr 94 |
| 118 | 1030.4 S | 103 00.1 W | 6 Apr 94 |
| 119 | 10 00.2 S | 102 60.0 W | 6 Apr 94 |
| 120 | 0937.1 S | 103 34.0 W | 6 Apr 94 |
| 121 | 09 14.1 S | 104 08.1 W | 7 Apr 94 |
| 122 | 0851.2 S | 104 41.7 W | 7 Apr 94 |
| 123 | 0827.8 S | 10515.6 W | 7 Apr 94 |
| 124 | 0804.7 S | 10549.7 W | 8 Apr 94 |
| 125 | 0742.0 S | 106 23.0 W | 8 Apr 94 |
| 126 | 0718.7 S | 10656.6 W | 8 Apr 94 |
| 127 | 0656.4 S | 10730.7 W | 9 Apr 94 |
| 128 | 0633.7 S | 108 04.4 W | 9 Apr 94 |
| 129 | 06 09.3 S | 10838.5 W | 9 Apr 94 |
| 130 | 0546.4 S | 109 12.2 W | 9 Apr 94 |
| 131 | 05 23.5 S | 109 46.0 W | 10 Apr 94 |
| 132 | 0500.1 S | 110 20.1 W | 10 Apr 94 |
| 133 | 04 29.7 S | 11019.6 W | 10 Apr 94 |
| 134 | 04 00.2 S | 110 19.7 W | 10 Apr 94 |
| 135 | 03 29.9 S | 110 20.0 W | 11 Apr 94 |
| 136 | 03 00.0 S | 110 20.0 W | 11 Apr 94 |
| 137 | 0240.0 S | 110 19.9 W | 11 Apr 94 |
| 138 | 02 20.0 S | 110 20.1 W | 11 Apr 94 |
| 139 | 0200.7 S | 110 20.4 W | 12 Apr 94 |
| 140 | 0140.0 S | 110 19.9 W | 12 Apr 94 |
| 141 | 0120.0 S | 110 20.1 W | 12 Apr 94 |
| 142 | 0100.1 S | 110 19.7 W | 13 Apr 94 |
| 143 | 0041.0 S | 110 20.0 W | 14 Apr 94 |
| 144 | 0020.1 S | 110 19.6 W | 14 Apr 94 |
| 145 | 00 00.0 S | 110 20.0 W | 13 Apr 94 |
| 146 | 0020.1 N | 110 20.0 W | 14 Apr 94 |
| 147 | 0039.9 N | 110 20.2 W | 14 Apr 94 |
| 148 | 0100.0 N | 110 20.0 W | 14 Apr 94 |
| 149 | 0120.0 N | 110 20.0 W | 14 Apr 94 |
| 150 | 0140.6 N | 110 20.2 W | 15 Apr 94 |
| 151 | 0200.0 N | 110 20.1 W | 15 Apr 94 |
| 152 | 0220.0 N | 110 20.0 W | 15 Apr 94 |
| 153 | 0240.0 N | 110 20.0 W | 15 Apr 94 |
| 154 | 0300.0 N | 110 20.0 W | 15 Apr 94 |
| 155 | 0330.0 N | 110 20.0 W | 16 Apr 94 |
| 156 | 0400.1 N | 110 20.1 W | 16 Apr 94 |
| 157 | 0430.0 N | 110 20.0 W | 16 Apr 94 |
| 158 | 0459.7 N | 110 20.1 W | 17 Apr 94 |
| 159 | 0530.0 N | 110 20.1 W | 17 Apr 94 |
| 160 | 0600.0 N | 110 20.0 W | 17 Apr 94 |
| 161 | 0629.9 N | 110 20.0 W | 17 Apr 94 |


| 162 | 0700.0 N | 110 20.4 W | 18 Apr 94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 163 | 0729.9 N | 110 20.1 W | 18 Apr 94 |
| 164 | 0759.9 N | 110 20.2 W | 18 Apr 94 |
| 165 | 0830.1 N | 11015.1 W | 18 Apr 94 |
| 166 | 0900.1 N | 110 10.0 W | 19 Apr 94 |
| 167 | 0930.1 N | 110 05.2 W | 19 Apr 94 |
| 168 | 1000.0 N | 110 00.0 W | 19 Apr 94 |
| 169 | 1040.0 N | 109 60.0 W | 20 Apr 94 |
| 170 | 1120.0 N | 110 00.0 W | 20 Apr 94 |
| 171 | 1200.1 N | 11000.0 W | 20 Apr 94 |
| 172 | 1240.0 N | 110 00.0 W | 20 Apr 94 |
| 173 | 1320.0 N | 109 59.7 W | 21 Apr 94 |
| 174 | 1400.1 N | 109 59.9 W | 21 Apr 94 |
| 175 | 1429.9 N | 109 59.9 W | 21 Apr 94 |
| 176 | 1500.0 N | 110 00.0 W | 21 Apr 94 |
| 177 | 1529.9 N | 109 59.7 W | 22 Apr 94 |
| 178 | 1600.1 N | 110 00.0 W | 22 Apr 94 |
| 179 | 1630.0 N | 110 00.1 W | 22 Apr 94 |
| 180 | 1700.0 N | 110 00.0 W | 22 Apr 94 |
| 181 | 1730.1 N | 109 59.8 W | 23 Apr 94 |
| 182 | 1759.9 N | 110 00.0 W | 23 Apr 94 |
| 183 | 1830.0 N | 110 00.0 W | 23 Apr 94 |
| 184 | 1900.0 N | 110 00.0 W | 23 Apr 94 |
| 185 | 1930.0 N | 10959.9 W | 24 Apr 94 |
| 186 | 2000.1 N | 109 59.9 W | 24 Apr 94 |
| 187 | 2029.9 N | 110 00.0 W | 24 Apr 94 |
| 188 | 2100.0 N | 110 00.0 W | 24 Apr 94 |
| 189 | 2129.9 N | 11000.1 W | 24 Apr 94 |
| 190 | 2159.9 N | 110 00.0 W | 25 Apr 94 |
| 191 | 2229.8 N | 10959.7 W | 25 Apr 94 |
| 192 | 2243.9 N | 110 00.4 W | 25 Apr 94 |
| 193 | 2247.9 N | 11000.3 W | 25 Apr 94 |
| 194 | 2251.1 N | 109 59.9 W | 25 Apr 94 |

APPENDIX 2. ALACE Float Deployment Locations on P18 (CGC94) (in .sum format)

| 4/2 | FLT 0224940756 | DE | 55 50.17 S | 80 22.34 W GPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31DICG94/2 | 1 FLT 0224941636 | DE | 56 39.64 S | 81 46.87 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/2 | 1 FLT 0224942130 | DE | 57 30.02 S | 83 17.12 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/2 | 1 FLT 0225940228 | DE | 5819.87 S | 84 45.79 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/2 | 1 FLT 0225940725 | DE | 59 09.26 S | 86 18.96 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/2 | 1 FLT 0225941210 | DE | 59 59.90 S | 8751.50 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 1 FLT 0308941025 | DE | 5510.40 S | 103 01.09 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 1 FLT 0310942028 | DE | 49 49.28 S | 103 00.10 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 1 FLT 0313940637 | DE | 4458.99 S | 103 00.25 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 1 FLT 0315940117 | DE | 4000.99 S | 103 00.55 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 1 FLT 0318941200 | DE | 3500.40 S | 103 00.74 W GPS |


| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 1 FLT 0320940739 | DE | 3000.15 S | 103 01.53 W GPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | FLT 0329941341 | DE | 2500.24 S | 103 00.05 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | FLT 0331942011 | DE | 2029.51 S | 102 59.98 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | FLT 0404940005 | DE | 1459.70 S | 103 00.01 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | FLT 0406941917 | DE | 959.76 S | 103 00.70 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | FLT 0409941441 | DE | 609.09 S | 108 38.61 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | FLT 0410942307 | DE | 359.28 S | 110 19.78 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | FLT 0412941838 | DE | 120.27 S | 110 19.94 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | FLT 0414941443 | DE | 100.38 N | 110 19.96 W GPS |
| 31 DICG94/3 P18 | FLT 0416941431 | DE | 359.69 N | 110 19.93 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | FLT 0417941731 | DE | 559.90 N | 110 20.30 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | FLT 0419941956 | DE | 1000.78 S | 11000.19 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | FLT 0421941819 | DE | 1429.77 S | 11000.03 W GPS |
| 1 DICG94/3 P | 4239422 | DE | 1859.93 | 10 |

APPENDIX 3. XCTD deployments Locations on P18 (CGC94) (in .sum format)

| 31DICG94/1 | XCTD 0129940355 | DE | 44 12.97 N 129 37.08 W GPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DICG94/2 P18 | XCTD 0302941916 | DE | 62 27.85 S 102 58.45 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | XCTD 0303940941 | DE | 6125.90 S 102 58.90 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | XCTD 0310940556 | DE | 5109.50 S 103 00.60 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0414941540 | DE | 110.01 N 110 19.87 W GP |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0414942208 | DE | 130.10 N 11019.60 |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0415940340 | DE | 50.30 N 110 |
| G94/3 P18 | XCTD 0415940933 | DE | 210.10 N 110 20.00 W GPS |
| ICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0415941455 | DE | 00 |
| DICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0415942116 | DE | 250.00 N 11019.90 W GPS |
| ICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0416940250 | DE | 0 N |
| DICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0416940942 | DE | 345.00 N 110 |
| DICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0416941546 | DE | 415.00 N 110 |
| DICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0416942313 | DE | 445.00 N 11020 |
| 1DICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0417940536 | DE | 516.28 N 110 19.77 W GP |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0417941227 | DE | 545.00 N 110 20.00 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0417941845 | DE | 615.03 N 110 20.46 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0418940038 | DE | 645.00 N 110 20.60 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0418940659 | DE | 715.00 N 110 20.61 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0418941307 | DE | 745.00 N 110 19.90 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0418942011 | DE | 815.00 N 11017.74 W GP |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 0418940159 | DE | 845.10 N 11012.50 W G |
| DICG94/3 P18 | XCTD 04199 | DE | 915.00 N 110 07.60 W G |

APPENDIX 4. Productivity and Shallow Biological Cast Locations on P18 (CGC94) (in .sum format)

| 31DICG94/2 | 8 | 2 | BIO 0223941824 | EN 5323.88 S | 76 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31DICG94/2 | 9 | 1.54 W GPS |  |  |  |  |
| 31DICG94/2 | 9 | 1 | BIO 0225941910 | BE 6112.44 S | 90 | 11.49 W GPS |
|  |  |  |  | 0225941913 | BO 6112.52 S | 90 |
| 11.45 W GPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| /2 | 9 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CG94/2 | 9 | 2 | BIO 0225941920 |
| 1DICG94/2 | 9 | 2 | BIO 0225941932 |
| 31DICG94/2 | 9 | 2 | BIO 0225941940 |
| DICG94/2 P18 | 10 | 1 | BIO 0227941407 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 10 | 1 | BIO 0227941416 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 10 |  | BIO 0227941420 |
| DICG94/2 P18 | 10 | 2 | BIO 0227941424 |
| ICG94/2 P18 | 10 | 2 | BIO 0227941429 |
| DICG94/2 P18 | 10 | 2 | BIO 0227941442 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 10 | 2 | BIO 0227941451 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 13 | 2 | BIO 0228941819 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 13 | 2 | BIO 0228941859 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 13 | 2 | BIO 0228941914 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 14 | 2 | BIO 0301940223 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 14 | 2 | BIO 0301940235 |
| DICG94/2 P18 | 16 | 2 | BIO 0301941710 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 16 | 2 | BIO 0301941716 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 16 | 2 | BIO 0301941719 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 16 | 3 | BIO 0301941735 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 16 | 3 | BIO 0301941747 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 16 | 3 | BIO 0301941753 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 19 | 2 | BIO 0302941822 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 19 | 2 | BIO 0302941829 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 19 | 2 | BIO 0302941858 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 23 | 1 | BIO 0303941843 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 23 | 1 | BIO 0303941850 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 23 | 1 | BIO 0303941853 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 23 | 2 | BIO 0303941859 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 23 | 2 | BIO 0303941912 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 23 | 2 | BIO 0303941920 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 26 | 1 | BIO 0304941732 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 26 | 1 | BIO 0304941747 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 26 | 1 | BIO 0304941800 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 27 | 2 | BIO 0305941546 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 27 | 2 | BIO 0305941555 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 27 | 3 | BIO 0305941604 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 27 | 3 | BIO 0305941635 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 28 | 2 | BIO 0306940033 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 28 | 2 | BIO 0306940038 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 28 |  | BIO 0306940044 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 33 | 1 | BIO 0308941401 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 33 | 1 | BIO 0308941426 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 33 | 3 | BIO 0308941840 |
| 31DICG94/2 P18 | 33 | 3 | BIO 0308941843 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 33 | 3 | BIO 0308941847 |
| 1DICG94/2 P18 | 36 |  | BIO 0309941516 |

EN 61 12.52 S 9011.45 W GPS BE 61 12.55 S 90 11.43 W GPS BO 6112.71 S 90 11.29 W GPS EN 61 12.83 S 90 10.93 W GPS BE 67 00.02 S 102 59.46 W GPS BO 67 00.01 S 102 59.21 W GPS EN 66 59.98 S 102 59.13 W GPS BE 67 00.00 S 102 59.00 W GPS SECHI? MR 6700.01 S 10259.00 W GPS MR 67 00.06 S 102 59.01 W GPS EN 67 00.11 S 102 59.00 W GPS BE 65 30.27 S 102 59.91 W GPS BO 65 31.33 S 102 59.27 W GPS EN 65 31.38 S 102 59.28 W GPS BE 65 00.24 S 103 00.39 W GPS EN 6500.39 S 10300.56 W GPS BE 63 57.71 S 103 02.14 W GPS BO 63 57.71 S 103 02.15 W GPS EN 63 57.67 S 103 02.29 W GPS BE 63 57.39 S 103 02.35 W GPS BO 63 57.19 S 103 02.78 W GPS EN 63 57.17 S 103 02.67 W GPS BE 62 29.22 S 102 59.05 W GPS BO 62 29.23 S 102 58.99 W GPS EN 62 29.88 S 10258.88 W GPS BE 60 29.57 S 103 00.22 W GPS BO 60 29.65 S 102 59.92 W GPS EN 60 29.70 S 10259.86 W GPS BE 60 29.78 S 10259.60 W GPS BO 60 29.96 S 102 59.21 W GPS EN 60 30.07 S 10258.96 W GPS BE 58 59.20 S 102 59.95 W GPS BO 58 59.30 S 102 59.60 W GPS EN 58 59.40 S 102 59.20 W GPS BE 58 30.45 S 102 59.03 W GPS EN 58 30.36 S 10259.89 W GPS BE 5830.31 S 102 58.63 W GPS SECHI EN 58 29.91 S 10257.86 W GPS BE 5750.93 S 103 02.65 W GPS MR 5750.91 S 103 02.72 W GPS EN 5750.82 S 10303.04 W GPS BE 54 29.63 S 102 59.47 W GPS EN 54 29.68 S 10258.81 W GPS BE 54 29.97 S 102 59.97 W GPS BO 54 29.97 S 102 59.95 W GPS EN 54 29.92 S 102 59.93 W GPS BE 52 29.86 S 103 00.49 W GPS

31DICG94/2 P18 36 31DICG94/2 P18 36 31DICG94/2 P18 37 31DICG94/2 P18 37 31DICG94/2 P18 37 31DICG94/2 P18 38 31DICG94/2 P18 31DICG94/2 P18 40 31DICG94/2 P18 40 31DICG94/2 P18 40 31DICG94/2 P18 40 31DICG94/2 P18 40 31DICG94/2 P18 43 31DICG94/2 P18 43 31DICG94/2 P18 43 31DICG94/2 P18 43 31DICG94/2 P18 47 31DICG94/2 P18 47 31DICG94/2 P18 51 31DICG94/2 P18 51 31DICG94/2 P18 51 31DICG94/2 P18 51 31DICG94/2 P18 51 31DICG94/2 P18 51 31DICG94/2 P18 51 31DICG94/2 P18 55 31DICG94/2 P18 55 31DICG94/2 P18 55 31DICG94/2 P18 55 31DICG94/2 P18 56 31DICG94/2 P18 56 31DICG94/2 P18 56 31DICG94/2 P18 58 31DICG94/2 P18 58 31DICG94/2 P18 58 31DICG94/2 P18 58 31DICG94/2 P18 62 31DICG94/2 P18 62 31DICG94/2 P18 62 31DICG94/2 P18 62 31DICG94/2 P18 66 31DICG94/2 P18 66 31DICG94/2 P18 70 31DICG94/2 P18 70 31DICG94/2 P18 70 31DICG94/2 P18 70 31DICG94/2 P18 70

2 BIO 0309941529 2 BIO 0309941540 1 BIO 0309941827 1 BIO 0309941838 1 BIO 0309941842 1 BIO 0310940047 1 BIO 0310940055 1 BIO 0310941537 1 BIO 0310941545 2 BIO 0310941605 2 BIO 0310941620 2 BIO 0310941629 2 BIO 0311941530 2 BIO 0311941538 3 BIO 0311941544 3 BIO 0311941601 2 BIO 0312941516 2 BIO 0312941537 1 BIO 0313941521 1 BIO 0313941529 2 BIO 0313941533 2 BIO 0313941615 4 BIO 0313941925 4 BIO 0313941937 4 BIO 0313941949 1 BIO 0314941609 1 BIO 0314941616 2 BIO 0314941621 2 BIO 0314941648 1 BIO 0314942359 1 BIO 0315940007 1 BIO 0315940014 1 BIO 0315941553 1 BIO 0315941602 2 BIO 0315941609 2 BIO 0315941639 1 BIO 0316941505 1 BIO 0316941524 2 BIO 0316941534 2 BIO 0316941557 1 BIO 0317941538 1 BIO 0317941558 2 BIO 0317941742 2 BIO 0318941745 2 BIO 0317941749 3 BIO 0317941757 3 BIO 0318941814

BO 52 29.90 S 103 00.23 W GPS EN 52 29.95 S 103 00.15 W GPS BE 51 49.63 S 102 59.38 W GPS BO 51 49.51 S 102 59.19 W GPS EN 51 49.49 S 102 59.14 W GPS BE 51 10.24 S 102 59.55 W GPS EN 51 10.24 S 102 59.46 W GPS BE 49 50.03 S 10259.90 W GPS EN 49 50.05 S 102 59.87 W GPS BE 49 50.14 S 102 59.75 W GPS BO 49 50.20 S 102 59.71 W GPS EN 49 50.21 S 102 59.70 W GPS BE 4759.82 S 103 00.90 W GPS EN 4759.84 S 103 01.04 W GPS BE 4759.88 S 103 01.15 W GPS EN 48 00.02 S 103 01.37 W GPS BE 45 59.26 S 102 59.38 W GPS EN 45 59.26 S 10259.69 W GPS BE 44 00.30 S 102 59.82 W GPS EN 44 00.23 S 102 59.85 W GPS BE 44 00.22 S 102 59.86 W GPS EN 44 00.19 S 103 00.13 W GPS BE 4357.49 S 10259.78 W GPS BO 43 57.40 S 102 59.95 W GPS EN 43 57.31 S 103 00.14 W GPS BE 42 00.18 S 103 00.09 W GPS EN 42 00.15 S 103 00.16 W GPS BE 42 00.12 S 10300.21 W GPS EN 42 00.12 S 103 00.52 W GPS BE 41 30.78 S 103 00.02 W GPS BO 41 30.86 S 103 00.03 W GPS EN 41 30.90 S 103 00.08 W GPS BE 40 30.07 S 103 00.05 W GPS EN 40 30.15 S 103 00.05 W GPS BE 40 30.20 S 103 00.00 W GPS EN 40 30.38 S 102 59.61 W GPS BE 38 29.99 S 102 59.95 W GPS EN 38 30.15 S 102 59.96 W GPS BE 38 30.19 S 102 59.97 W GPS EN 38 30.44 S 10259.89 W GPS BE 36 29.92 S 102 59.74 W GPS EN 36 29.97 S 102 59.73 W GPS BE 34 30.25 S 102 59.01 W GPS BO 34 30.30 S 102 59.10 W GPS EN 34 30.33 S 102 59.05 W GPS BE 34 30.33 S 102 59.02 W GPS BO 34 30.33 S 102 59.02 W GPS

31DICG94/2 P18 31DICG94/2 P18 31DICG94/2 P18 31DICG94/2 P18 31DICG94/2 P18 31DICG94/2 P18 31DICG94/2 P18 31DICG94/2 P18 31DICG94/2 P18 31DICG94/2 P18 31DICG94/2 P18 31DICG94/2 P18 31DICG94/2 P18 31DICG94/2 P18 31DICG94/2 P18 31DICG94/3 P18 31DICG94/3 P18 31DICG94/3 P18 31DICG94/3 P18 31DICG94/3 P18 31DICG94/3 P18 31DICG94/3 P18 31DICG94/3 P18 31DICG94/3 P18 31DICG94/3 P18 31DICG94/3 P18 31DICG94/3 P18 98 31DICG94/3 P18 101 31DICG94/3 P18 101 31DICG94/3 P18 101 31DICG94/3 P18 101 31DICG94/3 P18 104 31DICG94/3 P18 104 31DICG94/3 P18 104 31DICG94/3 P18 104 31DICG94/3 P18 108 31DICG94/3 P18 108 31DICG94/3 P18 112 31DICG94/3 P18 112 31DICG94/3 P18 112 31DICG94/3 P18 112 31DICG94/3 P18 115 31DICG94/3 P18 115 31DICG94/3 P18 115 31DICG94/3 P18 115 31DICG94/3 P18 119 31DICG94/3 P18 119

3 BIO 0317941824 2 BIO 0319941607 2 BIO 0319941615 3 BIO 0319941622 3 BIO 0319941650 2 BIO 0320941546 2 BIO 0320941618 1 BIO 0321941604 1 BIO 0321941612 2 BIO 0321941618 2 BIO 0321941652 2 BIO 0322941610 2 BIO 0322941623 3 BIO 0322941631
3 BIO 0322941709 1 BIO 0329941557 1 BIO 0329941607 2 BIO 0329941612 2 BIO 0329941643 1 BIO 0330941507 1 BIO 0330941516 2 BIO 0330941519 2 BIO 0330941546
1 BIO 0331941548
1 BIO 0331941551
2 BIO 0331941615
2 BIO 0331941625
1 BIO 0401941827
1 BIO 0401941836
2 BIO 0401941843
2 BIO 0401941919
2 BIO 0402941729
2 BIO 0402941758
3 BIO 0402941805
3 BIO 0402941813
2 BIO 0403941743
2 BIO 0403941812
2 BIO 0404941838
2 BIO 0404941849
3 BIO 0404941853

EN 34 30.46 S 10258.94 W GPS BE 32 30.10 S 103 00.13 W GPS EN 32 30.09 S 103 00.13 W GPS BE 32 30.11 S 103 00.19 W GPS EN 32 30.05 S 10300.09 W GPS BE 30 29.17 S 103 00.39 W GPS EN 3029.15 S 103 00.60 W GPS BE 28 59.98 S 10259.80 W GPS EN 28 59.92 S 10259.83 W GPS BE 28 59.82 S 102 59.83 W GPS EN 28 59.58 S 102 59.91 W GPS BE 27 30.04 S 103 03.67 W GPS EN 27 29.87 S 10303.85 W GPS BE 2729.81 S 10303.91 W GPS EN 27 29.38 S 103 04.32 W GPS BE 24 29.72 S 103 00.13 W GPS EN 24 29.64 S 103 00.13 W GPS BE 24 29.59 S 103 00.17 W GPS EN 24 29.29 S 103 00.23 W GPS BE 22 29.92 S 103 00.08 W GPS EN 22 29.89 S 103 00.11 W GPS BE 22 29.88 S 103 00.12 W GPS EN 22 29.74 S 103 00.14 W GPS BE 20 30.19 S 102 59.04 W GPS EN 20 30.16 S 102 59.04 W GPS BE 20 30.17 S 102 59.02 W GPS EN 20 30.10 S 10258.92 W GPS BE 1853.70 S 103 08.66 W GPS EN 1853.68 S 10308.64 W GPS BE 1853.65 S 103 08.63 W GPS EN 1853.68 S 103 08.50 W GPS BE 1729.78 S 103 00.15 W GPS EN 1729.74 S 10300.11 W GPS BE 1729.82 S 103 00.13 W GPS EN 1729.84 S 103 00.17 W GPS BE 15 30.03 S 10259.89 W GPS EN 15 30.02 S 10259.83 W GPS BE 13 30.19 S 10300.50 W GPS EN 13 30.14 S 10300.61 W GPS BE 13 30.08 S 103 00.72 W GPS EN 13 29.86 S 103 01.19 W GPS BE 1159.79 S 10300.38 W GPS EN 1159.80 S 10300.44 W GPS BE 1159.78 S 10300.48 W GPS EN 11 59.80 S 103 00.66 W GPS BE 959.94 S 103 00.21 W GPS EN 9 59.94 S 103 00.27 W GPS

31DICG94/3 P18 119 31DICG94/3 P18 119 31DICG94/3 P18 122 31DICG94/3 P18 122 31DICG94/3 P18 123 31DICG94/3 P18 123 31DICG94/3 P18 126 31DICG94/3 P18 126 31DICG94/3 P18 130 31DICG94/3 P18 130 31DICG94/3 P18 130 31DICG94/3 P18 130 31DICG94/3 P18 133 31DICG94/3 P18 133 31DICG94/3 P18 133 31DICG94/3 P18 133 31DICG94/3 P18 137 31DICG94/3 P18 137 31DICG94/3 P18 137 31DICG94/3 P18 137 31DICG94/3 P18 141 31DICG94/3 P18 141 31DICG94/3 P18 141 31DICG94/3 P18 141 31DICG94/3 P18 145 31DICG94/3 P18 145 31DICG94/3 P18 145 31DICG94/3 P18 145 31DICG94/3 P18 149 31DICG94/3 P18 149 31DICG94/3 P18 153 31DICG94/3 P18 153 31DICG94/3 P18 153 31DICG94/3 P18 153 31DICG94/3 P18 157 31DICG94/3 P18 157 31DICG94/3 P18 157 31DICG94/3 P18 157 31DICG94/3 P18 160 31DICG94/3 P18 160 31DICG94/3 P18 160 31DICG94/3 P18 160 31DICG94/3 P18 164 31DICG94/3 P18 164 31DICG94/3 P18 164 31DICG94/3 P18 164 31DICG94/3 P18 168

3 BIO 0406941852
3 BIO 0406941915
2 BIO 0407941729
2 BIO 0407941747
1 BIO 0407942048
1 BIO 0407942056
1 BIO 0408941530
1 BIO 0408941600
1 BIO 0409941735
1 BIO 0409941745
2 BIO 0409941749
2 BIO 0409941817
2 BIO 0410941649
2 BIO 0410941659
3 BIO 0410941708
3 BIO 0410941726
2 BIO 0411941556
2 BIO 0411941603
3 BIO 0411941607
3 BIO 0411941628
2 BIO 0412941752
2 BIO 0412941800
3 BIO 0412941804
3 BIO 0412941833
1 BIO 0413941730
1 BIO 0413941737
2 BIO 0413941742
2 BIO 0413941802
1 BIO 0414941635
1 BIO 0414941657
1 BIO 0415941552
1 BIO 0415941600
2 BIO 0415941605
2 BIO 0415941626
1 BIO 0416941705
1 BIO 0416941711
2 BIO 0416941715
2 BIO 0416941740
2 BIO 0417941656
2 BIO 0417941703
3 BIO 0417941706
3 BIO 0417941728
2 BIO 0418941829
2 BIO 0418941833
3 BIO 0418941842
3 BIO 0418941906
1 BIO 0419941545

BE 959.95 S 103 00.32 W GPS
EN 959.83 S 103 00.61 W GPS
BE 851.63S 10441.64 W GPS
EN 851.49 S 10441.67 W GPS
BE 827.66 S 10515.50 W GPS
EN 827.69 S 10515.55 W GPS
BE 718.64 S 106 56.98 W GPS
EN 718.75 S 10657.36 W GPS
BE 546.32 S 109 12.38 W GPS
EN 546.38 S 10912.41 W GPS
BE 546.42 S 10912.45 W GPS
EN 546.54 S 10912.66 W GPS
BE 429.53 S 110 20.25 W GPS
EN 429.42 S 110 20.19 W GPS
BE 4 29.40 S 110 20.20 W GPS
EN 4 28.90 S 110 20.29 W GPS BE 2 39.92 S 110 19.57 W GPS EN 239.91 S 11019.58 W GPS BE 239.90 S 110 19.62 W GPS EN 2 39.78 S 110 10.60 W GPS BE 120.12 S 110 19.94 W GPS EN 120.16 S 11019.95 W GPS BE 120.82 S 11019.86 W GPS EN 120.24 S 110 19.87 W GPS BE 000.08 S 110 19.93 W GPS EN 000.18 S 110 19.93 W GPS BE 000.20 S 110 19.97 W GPS EN 000.34 S 110 19.91 W GPS BE 120.01 N 11020.05 W GPS EN 1 19.98 N 110 19.97 W GPS BE 240.10 N 110 20.13 W GPS EN 240.16 N 110 20.27 W GPS BE 240.20 N 110 20.34 W GPS EN 240.35 N 110 20.59 W GPS BE 430.14 N 110 20.16 W GPS EN 430.11 N 11020.14 W GPS BE 430.08 N 110 20.13 W GPS EN 430.10 N 110 20.29 W GPS BE 559.96 N 110 20.09 W GPS EN 559.94 N 110 20.16 W GPS BE 559.91 N 110 20.19 W GPS EN 559.90 N 110 20.29 W GPS BE 800.37 N 110 20.18 W GPS EN 800.46 N 110 20.31 W GPS BE 800.50 N 110 20.34 W GPS EN 800.89 N 11020.76 W GPS BE 1000.04 N 110 00.07 W GPS

| 31DICG94/3 P18 | 168 |  | BIO 0419941552 | EN 1000.04 N 110 00.16 W GPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | 168 |  | BIO 0419941555 | BE 1000.04 N 11000.19 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | 168 |  | BIO 0419941616 | EN 1000.09 N 110 00.37 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | 172 |  | BIO 0420941718 | BE 1240.11 N 10959.98 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | 172 |  | BIO 0420941724 | EN 1240.13 N 10959.99 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | 172 |  | BIO 0420941728 | BE 12 40.13 N 110 00.00 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | 172 |  | BIO 042094 | EN 1240.36 N 10959.91 |
| 1DICG94/3 P1 | 175 | 2 | BIO 042194174 | BE 1429.81 N 11000.10 |
| DICG94/3 P | 175 | 2 | BIO 042 | EN 1429.74 N 11000 |
| D | 175 | 3 | BIO 042 | BE 1429.69 N 11000.09 W GPS |
| D | 175 | 3 | BIO 042 | E |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | 179 |  | O | BE 1629.87 N 10959.93 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | 179 |  | BIO 0422941738 | EN 1629.81 N 10959.90 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | 179 |  | BIO 0422941741 | BE 1629.79 N 10959.91 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | 179 | 3 | BIO 0422941800 | EN 1629.64 N 10959.93 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | 183 |  | BIO 0423941627 | BE 1829.98 N 10959.99 W GPS |
| 31DICG94/3 P18 | 183 | 2 | BIO 0423941636 | E |
| DICG94/3 P18 | 183 | 3 | BIO 04 | B |
| 1DICG94/3 P18 | 183 | 3 | BIO 04239 | EN |
| 1DICG94/3 P18 | 188 | 1 | BIO 042494164 | BE 2059.85 N 109 |
| 1DICG94/3 P18 | 188 | 1 | BIO 0424941653 | EN 2059.78 N 10959. |
| 1 DICG94/3 P18 | 188 | 2 | BIO 0424941656 | BE 2059.76 N 11000.0 |
| 1 DICG94/3 P18 | 188 | 2 | BIO 0424941728 | EN 2059.52 |
| 1DICG94/3 P18 | 192 | 2 | BIO 0425941602 | BE 2244.24 N 11000. |
| 1 DICG94/3 P18 | 192 | 2 | BIO 0425941607 | EN 2244.17 N 11000.2 |
| DICG94/3 P18 | 192 | 3 | BIO 0425941610 | BE 22 44.09 N 11000. |
| IICG94/3 | 192 |  | BIO 04259416 | 43. |

APPENDIX 5a.: CFC-11 and CFC-12 Measurements on WOCE P18 (CGC94) (Following discussion provided by J. Bullister, PMEL)

## CFC Sampling Procedures and Data Processing

CFCs were usually the first water sample collected from the 10 liter bottles. Care was taken to co-ordinate the sampling of CFCs with other gas samples to minimize the time between the initial opening of each bottle and the completion of sample drawing. In most cases, helium, tritium, dissolved oxygen, total $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, alkalinity and pH samples were collected within several minutes of the initial opening of each bottle. CFC samples were collected in 100 ml precision glass syringes, and held immersed in a water bath until processing.

The CFC analytical system functioned relatively well during this expedition. The CFC system was installed in a specially designed laboratory van located on deck, and was isolated from possible contamination from high levels of CFCs which are sometimes present in air inside ship laboratories. Concentration of CFCs in air inside this van were usually close to those of clean marine air.

Concentrations of CFC-11 and CFC-12 in air samples, seawater and gas standards on the cruise were measured by shipboard electron capture gas chromatography, according to the methods described by Bullister and Weiss (1988). The concentrations of CFC-11 and CFC-12 in air, seawater samples and gas standards are reported relative to the SIO 1993 calibration scale. CFC concentrations in air and standard gas are reported in units of mole fraction CFC in dry gas, and are typically in parts-per-trillion (ppt) range. Dissolved CFC concentrations are given in unit of picomole CFC per kg seawater (pmol/kg). CFC concentrations in air and seawater samples were determined by fitting their chromatographic peak areas to multi-point calibration curves, generated by injecting known volumes of gas from a CFC working standard (PMEL cylinder 71489) into the analytical instrument. This concentrations of CFC-11 and CFC-12 in this working standard were calibrated versus a primary CFC standard (CC36743) before and after the cruise. No measurable drift in the working standard could be detected during this interval. Full range calibration curves were run at 1 to 2 day intervals. Single injections of a fixed volume of standard gas were run much more frequently (at intervals of 1 to 2 hours) to monitor short term changes in detector sensitivity. The estimated reproducibility of the calibrations is about $1.3 \%$ for CFC-11 and $0.5 \%$ for CFC-12. We estimate a precision ( 1 standard deviation) for dissolved CFC measurements of about $1 \%$, or $0.005 \mathrm{pmol} / \mathrm{kg}$, whichever is greater (see listing of replicate samples).

Sample loops filled with CFC-free gas, and syringe samples of CFC-free water (degassed in a specially designed glass chamber) were run to check sampling and analytical blanks. CFC-11 and CFC-12 were present throughout the water column south of about $50^{\circ}$ S. CFC concentrations measured in deep samples ( $>2000 \mathrm{~m}$ ) along the section north of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ were typically in the range of 0 to $0.010 \mathrm{pmol} / \mathrm{kg}$, near the detection limit of the analytical system ( $\sim 0.004 \mathrm{pmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). Previous studies (Wisegarver et al, et al 1993) of time-dependent tracers in this region of the Pacific indicate that waters at densities sigma0>27.4 should have CFC concentrations near zero at present. We attribute the low level CFC signal present in some deep samples along the northern end of the section to the slow release of CFC from the walls and O-rings of the 10 liter bottles into the seawater sample during storage, and to contamination during the transfer and storage of the seawater samples in glass syringes prior to analysis. Based on the median concentrations observed in deep water samples along northern end of the section, a CFC-11 blank correction of $0.0086 \mathrm{pmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ has been applied to the CFC-11 data on Leg 2 (Sta 8-87) and 0.0048 pmol/kg for Leg 3 (Sta 88-194). A CFC-12 blank correction of $0.0025 \mathrm{pmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ has been applied to the CFC-12 data on Leg 2 (Sta 9-87) and 0.0024 for Leg 3 (Sta 89-194). As a result of these blank corrections, some concentrations reported for deep samples are negative.

A number of water samples had anomously high CFC-11 and/or CFC-12 concentrations relative to adjacent samples. These high values appeared to occur more or less randomly, and were not clearly associated with other features in the water column (eg. elevated oxygen concentrations, salinity features, etc). In most cases, only one of the 2 CFCs measured showed these anomolously high levels. This suggests that the high values were due to analytical variability or isolated low-level contamination events. These samples are included in this report and are flagged as either 3 (questionable) or 4 (bad) measurements. Approximately 40 analyses of CFC-11 were assigned a flag of 3 and 161

CFC-11 samples assigned a flag of 4. Approximately 14 analyses of CFC-12 were assigned a flag of 3 and 61 CFC-12 samples assigned a flag of 4.

A number of samples were analysed for CFC-113 and carbon tetrachloride during the cruise. Because of calibration standard uncertainties and analytical problems, the processing of these data have not yet been finalized. These samples are flagged as " 5 " (not reported). Those interested in these data should contact the John Bullister for updates on the status of the CFC-113 and carbon tetrachloride data processing.

## References:

Bullister, J.L. and R.F. Weiss, Determination of $\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{~F}$ and $\mathrm{CCl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ in seawater and air. Deep-Sea Research, 35 (5), 839-853, 1988.
Wisegarver, D.P., J.L. Bullister, R.H. Gammon, F.A. Menzia, and K.C. Kelly (1993): NOAA chlorofluorocarbon tracer program air and seawater measurements: 1986-1989. NOAA Data Report ERL PMEL-43.

APPENDIX 5b. CFC Air Measurements on P18 (CGC94)

## Leg 2

|  | Time |  |  | 1 | F12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | (hhmm) | Latitude | Longitude | PPT | T |
| 24 Feb 94 | 0912 | 5518.3 S | 079 29.3 W | 261.3 | 503.9 |
| 24 Feb 94 | 0923 | 5518.3 S | 079 29.3 W | 260.8 | 502 |
| 24 Feb 94 | 0933 | 5518.3 S | 079 29.3 W | 261.5 | 502. |
| 25 Feb 94 | 0913 | 59 27.4 S | 08651.7 W | 259.8 | 508.2 |
| 25 Feb 94 | 0923 | 5927.4 S | 08651.7 W | 260.0 | 506 |
| 25 Feb 94 | 0933 | 59 27.4 S | 08651.7 W | 259.6 | 509. |
| 25 Feb 94 | 0944 | 5927.4 S | 08651.7 W | 260.2 | 508. |
| 26 Feb 94 | 0355 | 67 00.0 S | 09500.0 W | 259.4 | 508. |
| 26 Feb 94 | 0405 | 67 00.0 S | 095 00.0 W | 260.4 | 509. |
| 26 Feb 94 | 0415 | 67 00.0 S | 09500.0 W | 259.1 | 508.8 |
| 26 Feb 94 | 0425 | 67 00.0 S | 09500.0 W | 259.7 | 508. |
| 27 Feb 94 | 1743 | 6659.7 S | 103 00.0 W | 259.4 | 506 |
| 27 Feb 94 | 1807 | 6659.7 S | 103 00.0 W | 259.0 | 504. |
| 27 Feb 94 | 1819 | 6659.7 S | 10300.0 W | 259.0 | 504 |
| 27 Feb 94 | 1839 | 6659.7 S | 103 00.0 W | 259.5 | 503. |
| 28 Feb 94 | 0902 | 66 00.1 S | 10259.9 W | 259.3 | 506. |
| 28 Feb 94 | 0912 | 66 00.1 S | 10259.9 W | 259.8 | 509. |
| 28 Feb 94 | 0922 | 6600.1 S | 10259.9 W | 259.5 | 506. |
| 28 Feb 94 | 0932 | 66 00.1 S | 10259.9 W | 259.6 | 508. |
| 1 Mar 94 | 1611 | 6358.4 S | 103 00.3 W | 259.5 | 508. |
| 1 Mar 94 | 1621 | 6358.4 S | 10300.3 W | 259.4 | 506.6 |
| 1 Mar 94 | 1632 | 6358.4 S | 103 00.3 W | 258.5 | 507.3 |
| 3 Mar 94 | 0844 | 6126.7 S | 102 59.3 W | 259.4 | -9. |
| 3 Mar 94 | 0854 | 6126.7 S | 10259.3 W | 260.0 | 515 |
| 3 Mar 94 | 0906 | 6126.7 S | 10259.3 W | 263.1 | 518 |


| 3 Mar 94 | 0944 | 6126.7 S | 10259.3 W | 260.1 | -9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 Mar 94 | 0742 | 6000.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 262.5 | 515.1 |
| 4 Mar 94 | 0752 | 6000.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 260.8 | 511.1 |
| 4 Mar 94 | 0802 | 60 00.0 S | 10300.0 W | 260.4 | 522.5 |
| 4 Mar 94 | 0812 | 6000.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 260.4 | 519.9 |
| 6 Mar 94 | 1904 | 5631.2 S | 103 09.8 W | 259.9 | 507.8 |
| 6 Mar 94 | 1916 | 5631.2 S | 10309.8 W | 260.2 | 508.5 |
| 6 Mar 94 | 1926 | 5631.2 S | 103 09.8 W | 261.1 | 508.1 |
| 6 Mar 94 | 1938 | 5631.2 S | 103 09.8 W | 259.4 | 506.2 |
| 8 Mar 94 | 0314 | 5540.0 S | 10300.0 W | 260.9 | 505.3 |
| 8 Mar 94 | 0324 | 5540.0 S | 10300.0 W | 260.5 | 505.3 |
| 8 Mar 94 | 0334 | 5540.0 S | 10300.0 W | 260.4 | 506.1 |
| 8 Mar 94 | 0344 | 5540.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 260.5 | 506.6 |
| 10 Mar 94 | 0252 | 5109.9 S | 10259.9 W | 260.8 | 507.6 |
| 10 Mar 94 | 0305 | 51 09.9 S | 10259.9 W | 260.7 | 508.0 |
| 10 Mar 94 | 0315 | 51 09.9 S | 10259.9 W | 260.5 | 504.0 |
| 12 Mar 94 | 0443 | 4730.0 S | 10300.0 W | 260.3 | 508.8 |
| 12 Mar 94 | 0453 | 4730.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 259.8 | 509.8 |
| 12 Mar 94 | 0503 | 4730.0 S | 10300.0 W | 260.6 | 509.0 |
| 12 Mar 94 | 0513 | 4730.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 259.6 | 509.9 |
| 14 Mar 94 | 0816 | 4300.0 S | 10300.0 W | 261.0 | 511.4 |
| 14 Mar 94 | 0826 | 4300.0 S | 10300.0 W | 260.3 | 510.5 |
| 14 Mar 94 | 0836 | 4300.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 260.9 | 507.7 |
| 14 Mar 94 | 0846 | 4300.0 S | 10300.0 W | 259.8 | 505.1 |
| 18 Mar 94 | 1155 | 3500.0 S | 10300.0 W | 260.0 | 506.0 |
| 18 Mar 94 | 1206 | 3500.0 S | 10300.0 W | 259.2 | 506.9 |
| 18 Mar 94 | 1217 | 3500.0 S | 10300.0 W | 259.3 | 507.6 |
| 18 Mar 94 | 1228 | 3500.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 259.1 | 509.3 |
| 20 Mar 94 | 0337 | 3130.0 S | 10300.0 W | 261.2 | 509.8 |
| 20 Mar 94 | 0347 | 3130.0 S | 10300.0 W | 261.8 | 507.1 |
| 20 Mar 94 | 0357 | 3130.0 S | 10300.0 W | 261.6 | 508.6 |
| 20 Mar 94 | 0407 | 3130.0 S | 10300.0 W | 261.7 | 508.9 |
| 21 Mar 94 | 2234 | 2847.4 S | 10301.3 W | 262.2 | 509.1 |
| 21 Mar 94 | 2244 | 2847.4 S | 103 01.3 W | 261.0 | 508.9 |
| 21 Mar 94 | 2258 | 2847.4 S | 10301.3 W | 260.6 | 510.4 |
| 23 Mar 94 | 2308 | 2647.8 S | 10613.2 W | 261.7 | 510.4 |
| 23 Mar 94 | 2319 | 2647.8 S | 10613.2 W | 260.7 | 509.8 |
| 23 Mar 94 | 2333 | 26 47.8 S | 10613.2 W | 261.5 | 511.2 |
| Leg 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Time |  |  | F11 | F12 |
| Date | (hhmm) | Latitude | Longitude | PPT | PPT |
| 29 Mar 94 | 1415 | 2500.0 S | 10300.0 W | 260.9 | 510.3 |
| 29 Mar 94 | 1426 | 2500.0 S | 10300.0 W | 260.9 | 510.0 |
| 29 Mar 94 | 1437 | 2500.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 260.1 | 509.1 |
| 29 Mar 94 | 1448 | 2500.0 S | 10300.0 W | 259.5 | 510.6 |
| 30 Mar 94 | 1329 | 2300.0 S | 10300.0 W | 260.9 | 500.1 |
| 30 Mar 94 | 1339 | 23 00.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 262.0 | 504.4 |


| 30 Mar 94 | 1349 | 2300.0 S | 10300.0 W | 262.3 | 500.3 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 30 Mar 94 | 1359 | 2300.0 S | 10300.0 W | 260.8 | 505.7 |
| 31 Mar 94 | 1345 | 2100.0 S | 10300.0 W | 261.2 | 504.3 |
| 31 Mar 94 | 1355 | 2100.0 S | 10300.0 W | 262.7 | 503.9 |
| 31 Mar 94 | 1405 | 2100.0 S | 10300.0 W | 261.2 | 502.4 |
| 31 Mar 94 | 1415 | 2100.0 S | 10300.0 W | 260.6 | 502.3 |
| 2 Apr 94 | 0226 | 1829.8 S | 10300.1 W | 261.5 | 512.4 |
| 2 Apr 94 | 0237 | 1829.8 S | 10300.1 W | 262.3 | 510.5 |
| 2 Apr 94 | 0248 | 1829.8 S | 10300.1 W | 261.4 | 510.7 |
| 3 Apr 94 | 0215 | 1654.7 S | 10300.0 W | 261.0 | 509.7 |
| 3 Apr 94 | 0225 | 1654.7 S | 10300.0 W | 261.6 | 513.1 |
| 3 Apr 94 | 0235 | 1654.7 S | 10300.0 W | 261.9 | 511.1 |
| 3 Apr 94 | 0245 | 1654.7 S | 10300.0 W | 261.3 | 511.5 |
| 4 Apr 94 | 0605 | 1430.2 S | 10259.9 W | 262.2 | 511.7 |
| 4 Apr 94 | 0616 | 1430.2 S | 10259.9 W | 262.9 | 514.6 |
| 4 Apr 94 | 0627 | 1430.2 S | 10259.9 W | 262.2 | 511.0 |
| 5 Apr 94 | 0610 | 1230.1 S | 10300.0 W | 261.6 | 510.4 |
| 5 Apr 94 | 0621 | 1230.1 S | 10300.0 W | 262.9 | 505.9 |
| 5 Apr 94 | 0632 | 1230.1 S | 10300.0 W | 262.1 | 504.0 |
| 6 Apr 94 | 0538 | 1100.4 S | 10300.9 W | 261.4 | -9.0 |
| 6 Apr 94 | 0549 | 1100.4 S | 10300.9 W | 262.1 | 510.5 |
| 6 Apr 94 | 0600 | 1100.4 S | 10300.9 W | 262.1 | 512.3 |
| 7 Apr 94 | 0310 | 0938.9 S | 10336.4 W | 264.6 | -9.0 |
| 7 Apr 94 | 0321 | 0938.9 S | 10336.4 W | 263.3 | -9.0 |
| 7 Apr 94 | 0332 | 0938.9 S | 10336.4 W | 263.5 | 516.8 |
| 7 Apr 94 | 1729 | 0851.7 S | 10441.8 W | 263.3 | 510.0 |
| 7 Apr 94 | 1740 | 0851.7 S | 10441.8 W | 262.3 | 511.2 |
| 7 Apr 94 | 1751 | 0851.7 S | 10441.8 W | 262.9 | 515.2 |
| 8 Apr 94 | 1135 | 0742.0 S | 10622.9 W | 262.3 | 513.7 |
| 8 Apr 94 | 1146 | 0742.0 S | 10622.9 W | 262.4 | 511.2 |
| 8 Apr 94 | 1157 | 0742.0 S | 10622.9 W | 263.4 | 513.5 |
| 8 Apr 94 | 1208 | 0742.0 S | 10622.9 W | 263.8 | 513.0 |
| 10 Apr 94 | 1608 | 0430.0 S | 11000.0 W | 261.1 | 518.2 |
| 10 Apr 94 | 1619 | 0430.0 S | 11000.0 W | 264.0 | -9.0 |
| 10 Apr 94 | 1630 | 0430.0 S | 11000.0 W | 261.6 | -9.0 |
| 10 Apr 94 | 1641 | 0430.0 S | 11000.0 W | 261.4 | 514.0 |
| 11 Apr 94 | 0654 | 0300.0 S | 11020.0 W | 261.6 | 513.2 |
| 11 Apr 94 | 0705 | 0300.0 S | 11020.0 W | 261.1 | 513.9 |
| 14 Apr 94 94 | 1030 | 0040.0 S | 11020.0 W | 264.4 | 521.5 |
| 14 Apr 94 | 0716 | 0300.0 S | 11020.0 W | 260.9 | 515.5 |
| 11 Apr 94 | 1619 | 0240.0 S | 11020.0 W | 264.0 | 517.0 |
| 11 Apr 94 | 1630 | 0240.0 S | 11020.0 W | 263.7 | 517.8 |
| 11 Apr 94 | 1641 | 0240.0 S | 11020.0 W | 264.7 | 514.8 |
| 11 Apr 94 | 1652 | 0240.0 S | 11020.0 W | 263.1 | 519.5 |
| 13 | 1008 | 0040.0 S | 11020.0 W | 264.5 | 520.3 |


| 14 Apr 94 | 1024 | N | N | . 7 | 523.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 Apr 94 | 0854 | 0330.0 N | 11020.0 E | 264.0 | 517 |
| 16 Apr 94 | 0905 | 0330.0 N | 11020.0 E | 263.3 | 518 |
| 16 Apr 94 | 0916 | 0330.0 N | 11020.0 E | 263.2 | 521 |
| 17 Apr 94 | 0436 | 0500.0 N | 110 20.0 W | 265.9 |  |
| 17 Apr 94 | 0447 | 0500.0 N | 11020.0 W | 265.6 | 51 |
| 17 Apr 94 | 0458 | 0500.0 N | 11020.0 W | 265.1 | 521 |
| 19 Apr 94 | 1923 | 1000.0 N | 11020.0 W | 266.0 | 516.7 |
| 19 Apr 94 | 1934 | 1000.0 N | 11020.0 W | 265.8 | 519 |
| 19 Apr 94 | 1945 | 1000.0 N | 11020.0 W | 265.4 | 519 |
| 19 Apr 94 | 1956 | 1000.0 N | 11020.0 W | 264.6 | 519 |
| 22 Apr 94 | 0758 | 1548.0 N | 11000.0 W | 265.5 | 525. |
| 22 Apr 94 | 0809 | 1548.0 N | 11000.0 W | 265.4 | 52 |
| 22 Apr 94 | 0820 | 1548.0 N | 11000.0 W | 265.3 | 519 |
| 23 Apr 94 | 0627 | 1743.0 N | 11000.0 W | 264.7 | 522. |
| 23 Apr 94 | 0638 | 1743.0 N | 11000.0 W | 264.7 | 52 |
| 23 Apr 94 | 0649 | 1743.0 N | 11000.0 W | 264.7 | 525 |
| 24 Apr 94 | 0905 | 2000.0 N | 11000.0 W | 266.3 | 525 |
| 24 Apr 94 | 0916 | 2000.0 N | 11000.0 W | 266.6 | 521 |
| 24 Apr 94 | 0927 | 2000.0 N | 110 00.0 W | 265.2 | 522 |
| 26 Apr 94 | 1159 | 2430.4 N | 113 47.4 W | 266.7 | 528. |
| 26 Apr 94 | 1210 | 2430.4 N | 11347.4 W | 266.0 | 526. |
| 26 Apr 94 | 1221 | 2430.4 N | 113 47.4 W | 266.6 | 526. |
| 26 Apr 94 | 1232 | 2430.4 N | 11347.4 W | 265.6 | 526 |

APPENDIX 5c. CFC Air Measurements on P18 (CGC96) (interpolated to station locations)

| STATION |  |  |  | F11 | F12 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NUMBER | Latitude | Longitude | Date | PPT | PPT |
| 1 | $4743.4 ~ N$ | 122 24.6 W | 26 Jan 94 | 272.0 | 515.0 |
| 2 | 4414.1 N | 12940.5 W | 28 Jan 94 | 272.0 | 515.0 |
| 3 | 4412.0 N | 12943.0 W | 28 Jan 94 | 272.0 | 515.0 |
| 4 | 4416.6 N | 12944.9 W | 28 Jan 94 | 272.0 | 515.0 |
| 5 | 4409.8 N | 12944.9 W | 28 Jan 94 | 272.0 | 515.0 |
| 6 | 4412.3 N | 12937.3 W | 29 Jan 94 | 272.0 | 515.0 |
| 7 | 4418.0 N | 12935.3 W | 29 Jan 94 | 272.0 | 515.0 |
| 8 | 5322.9 S | 07622.0 W | 23 Feb 94 | 260.4 | 506.0 |
| 9 | 6113.2 S | 09010.9 W | 25 Feb 94 | 260.1 | 509.9 |
| 10 | 6659.7 S | 10300.4 W | 27 Feb 94 | 259.4 | 506.3 |
| 11 | 6629.8 S | 10300.6 W | 28 Feb 94 | 259.4 | 506.3 |
| 12 | 6600.0 S | 10259.8 W | 28 Feb 94 | 259.4 | 506.3 |
| 13 | 6530.0 S | 10260.0 W | 28 Feb 94 | 259.3 | 506.6 |
| 14 | 6500.0 S | 10259.4 W | 28 Feb 94 | 259.3 | 506.6 |
| 15 | 6429.9 S | 10259.2 W | 1 Mar 94 | 259.4 | 507.6 |
| 16 | 6359.3 S | 10259.2 W | 1 Mar 94 | 259.9 | 509.7 |
| 17 | 6330.0 S | 10259.6 W | 2 Mar 94 | 259.9 | 509.7 |
| 18 | 6300.0 S | 10258.0 W | 2 Mar 94 | 260.0 | 510.3 |


| 19 | 6230.0 S | 10300.0 W | 2 Mar 94 | 260.4 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 6159.9 S | 10300.1 W | 2 Mar 94 | 260.4 | 513.8 |
| 21 | 6127.0 S | 102 59.0 W | 3 Mar 94 | 260.9 | 517.0 |
| 22 | 6101.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 3 Mar 94 | 260.9 | 517.0 |
| 23 | 6030.9 S | 10257.1 W | 3 Mar 94 | 260.9 | 517.0 |
| 24 | 6000.0 S | 103 06.4 W | 4 Mar 94 | 260.9 | 517.0 |
| 25 | 5931.6 S | 10301.0 W | 4 Mar 94 | 260.9 | 517.0 |
| 26 | 5859.8 S | 10301.2 W | 4 Mar 94 | 260.6 | 513.3 |
| 27 | 5830.5 S | 10259.3 W | 5 Mar 94 | 260.6 | 512.4 |
| 28 | 5749.1 S | 10300.1 W | 5 Mar 94 | 260.6 | 510.2 |
| 29 | 5710.3 S | 10300.1 W | 6 Mar 94 | 260.4 | 506.7 |
| 30 | 5631.6 S | 10304.0 W | 7 Mar 94 | 260.4 | 506.7 |
| 31 | 5549.6 S | 10259.4 W | 7 Mar 94 | 260.4 | 506.7 |
| 32 | 5510.0 S | 10300.0 W | 8 Mar 94 | 260.4 | 506.7 |
| 33 | 5430.1 S | 10300.1 W | 8 Mar 94 | 260.4 | 506.7 |
| 34 | 5350.0 S | 10259.9 W | 8 Mar 94 | 260.4 | 506.7 |
| 35 | 5310.0 S | 103 03.0 W | 9 Mar 94 | 260.6 | 506.1 |
| 36 | 5230.2 S | 10300.6 W | 9 Mar 94 | 260.6 | 506.1 |
| 37 | 5150.0 S | 10300.1 W | 9 Mar 94 | 260.6 | 506.1 |
| 38 | 5110.0 S | 10300.0 W | 10 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 508.2 |
| 39 | 5030.0 S | 10300.0 W | 10 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 508.2 |
| 40 | 4950.0 S | 10260.0 W | 10 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 508.2 |
| 41 | 49 09.8 S | 103 00.2 W | 11 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 508.2 |
| 42 | 4829.0 S | 10300.0 W | 11 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 508.2 |
| 43 | 4759.8 S | 10300.4 W | 11 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 508.2 |
| 44 | 4730.0 S | 103 00.1 W | 11 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 508.2 |
| 45 | 4659.9 S | 10259.9 W | 12 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 509.0 |
| 46 | 4630.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 12 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 509.0 |
| 47 | 45 59.6 S | 10260.0 W | 12 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 509.0 |
| 48 | 45 28.9 S | 10258.3 W | 12 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 509.0 |
| 49 | 4500.5 S | 10259.6 W | 13 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 509.0 |
| 50 | 4429.0 S | 10300.0 W | 13 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 509.0 |
| 51 | 4359.1 S | 10259.8 W | 13 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 509.0 |
| 52 | 43 30.0 S | 10300.8 W | 13 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 509.0 |
| 53 | 43 00.2 S | 10259.9 W | 14 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 509.0 |
| 54 | 42 29.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 14 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 509.0 |
| 55 | 4200.0 S | 10300.0 W | 14 Mar 94 | 260.3 | 509.0 |
| 56 | 41 29.6 S | 10259.5 W | 15 Mar 94 | 260.0 | 508.5 |
| 57 | 4101.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 15 Mar 94 | 260.0 | 508.5 |
| 58 | 4030.2 S | 10259.2 W | 15 Mar 94 | 259.9 | 508.1 |
| 59 | 40 00.2 S | 10258.8 W | 15 Mar 94 | 259.9 | 508.1 |
| 60 | 3929.9 S | 10259.9 W | 16 Mar 94 | 259.9 | 508.1 |
| 61 | 3900.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 16 Mar 94 | 259.9 | 508.1 |
| 62 | 3830.3 S | 10259.8 W | 16 Mar 94 | 259.9 | 508.1 |
| 63 | 3759.9 S | 10259.9 W | 16 Mar 94 | 259.9 | 508.1 |
| 64 | 3729.9 S | 10259.0 W | 17 Mar 94 | 260.5 | 508.3 |
| 65 | 3700.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 17 Mar 94 | 260.5 | 508.3 |


| 66 | 3630.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 17 Mar 94 | 260.5 | 508.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67 | 3559.6 S | 10259.5 W | 17 Mar 94 | 260.5 | 508.0 |
| 68 | 3530.0 S | 10259.9 W | 18 Mar 94 | 260.5 | 508.0 |
| 69 | 3500.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 18 Mar 94 | 260.5 | 508.0 |
| 70 | 3431.0 S | 10300.0 W | 18 Mar 94 | 260.5 | 508.0 |
| 71 | 3400.4 S | 10300.1 W | 18 Mar 94 | 260.5 | 508.0 |
| 72 | 33 29.7 S | 10259.9 W | 19 Mar 94 | 260.5 | 508.0 |
| 73 | 3300.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 19 Mar 94 | 260.5 | 508.0 |
| 74 | 3230.0 S | 10300.0 W | 19 Mar 94 | 260.5 | 508.0 |
| 75 | 3159.8 S | 10258.8 W | 19 Mar 94 | 260.7 | 508.4 |
| 76 | 3129.5 S | 10300.0 W | 20 Mar 94 | 261.4 | 509.0 |
| 77 | 3100.0 S | 10300.0 W | 20 Mar 94 | 261.4 | 509.0 |
| 78 | 3030.3 S | 10300.0 W | 20 Mar 94 | 261.4 | 509.0 |
| 79 | 3000.0 S | 10300.0 W | 21 Mar 94 | 261.4 | 509.0 |
| 80 | 29 29.0 S | 10300.0 W | 21 Mar 94 | 261.4 | 509.0 |
| 81 | 29 00.1S | 10300.8 W | 21 Mar 94 | 261.4 | 509.0 |
| 82 | 28 29.7 S | 10259.8 W | 22 Mar 94 | 261.4 | 509.4 |
| 83 | 2800.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 22 Mar 94 | 261.4 | 509.4 |
| 84 | 2730.1 S | 10301.1 W | 22 Mar 94 | 261.3 | 510.0 |
| 85 | 2655.2 S | 10300.6 W | 22 Mar 94 | 261.3 | 510.0 |
| 86 | 26 29.7 S | 10300.0 W | 23 Mar 94 | 261.3 | 510.0 |
| 87 | 26 00.0 S | 10300.0 W | 23 Mar 94 | 261.3 | 510.0 |
| 88 | 25 29.9 S | 10300.0 W | 29 Mar 94 | 260.9 | 506.3 |
| 89 | 2459.3 S | 10300.0 W | 29 Mar 94 | 260.9 | 506.3 |
| 90 | 2430.1 S | 10259.8 W | 29 Mar 94 | 260.9 | 506.3 |
| 91 | 2359.9 S | 10300.1 W | 29 Mar 94 | 260.9 | 506.3 |
| 92 | 23 29.7 S | 10259.7 W | 30 Mar 94 | 260.9 | 506.3 |
| 93 | 2300.1 S | 10259.8 W | 30 Mar 94 | 260.9 | 506.3 |
| 94 | 22 29.9 S | 10259.9 W | 30 Mar 94 | 261.5 | 502.9 |
| 95 | 2159.6 S | 10259.4 W | 30 Mar 94 | 261.5 | 502.9 |
| 96 | 2130.0 S | 10259.9 W | 31 Mar 94 | 261.5 | 502.9 |
| 97 | 2059.9 S | 10300.1 W | 31 Mar 94 | 261.5 | 505.2 |
| 98 | 2030.1 S | 10300.0 W | 31 Mar 94 | 261.5 | 505.2 |
| 99 | 2000.0 S | 10300.0 W | 1 Apr 94 | 261.5 | 506.6 |
| 100 | 1930.1 S | 10259.5 W | 1 Apr 94 | 261.5 | 506.6 |
| 101 | 19 00.0 S | 10300.1 W | 1 Apr 94 | 261.5 | 508.4 |
| 102 | 18 29.7 S | 10300.1 W | 2 Apr 94 | 261.6 | 511.3 |
| 103 | 1759.9 S | 10300.2 W | 2 Apr 94 | 261.6 | 511.3 |
| 104 | 1730.0 S | 10300.4 W | 2 Apr 94 | 261.6 | 511.3 |
| 105 | 1659.9 S | 10259.7 W | 2 Apr 94 | 261.6 | 511.3 |
| 106 | 1629.9 S | 10259.9 W | 3 Apr 94 | 261.8 | 511.6 |
| 107 | 1600.0 S | 103 00.0 W | 3 Apr 94 | 261.9 | 511.8 |
| 108 | 1530.1 S | 10300.0 W | 3 Apr 94 | 261.9 | 511.8 |
| 109 | 1460.0 S | 102 60.0 W | 3 Apr 94 | 261.9 | 511.8 |
| 110 | 14 30.2 S | 10259.3 W | 4 Apr 94 | 262.0 | 510.3 |
| 111 | 1400.0 S | 10259.7 W | 4 Apr 94 | 262.3 | 509.6 |
| 112 | 13 30.0 S | 103 00.2 W | 4 Apr 94 | 262.3 | 509.6 |


|  | 1300.6 S | 10300.5 W | 5 Apr 94 | 262.3 | 509.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 114 | 1230.1 S | 10300.1 W | 5 Apr 94 | 262.6 | 510.8 |
| 115 | 1200.1 S | 10300.1 W | 5 Apr 94 | 262.6 | 51 |
| 116 | 1130.3 S | 10300.0 W | 5 Apr 94 | 262.6 | 510. |
| 117 | 1100.0 S | 10300.8 W | 6 Apr 94 | 262.6 | 510.0 |
| 118 | 1030.4 S | 10300.1 W | 6 Apr 94 | 262.6 | 510.0 |
| 119 | 10 00.2 S | 10260.0 W | 6 Apr 94 | 262.7 | 510.7 |
| 120 | 0937.1 S | 10334.0 W | 6 Apr 94 | 262.8 | 512.7 |
| 121 | 09 14.1 S | 104 08.1 W | 7 Apr 94 | 262.9 | 512.8 |
| 122 | 0851.2 S | 10441.7 W | 7 Apr 94 | 262.9 | 512 |
| 123 | 0827.8 S | 10515.6 W | 7 Apr 94 | 262.9 | 512.6 |
| 124 | 08 04.7 S | 10549.7 W | 8 Apr 94 | 262.9 | 512.6 |
| 125 | 0742.0 S | 10623.0 W | 8 Apr 94 | 262.9 | 512.6 |
| 126 | 0718.7 S | 10656.6 W | 8 Apr 94 | 262.9 | 512.6 |
| 127 | 0656.4 S | 10730.7 W | 9 Apr 94 | 262.6 | 513.3 |
| 128 | 0633.7 S | 108 04.4 W | 9 Apr 94 | 262.5 | 513.9 |
| 129 | 06 09.3 S | 10838.5 W | 9 Apr 94 | 262.5 | 513.9 |
| 130 | 0546.4 S | 109 12.2 W | 9 Apr 94 | 262.6 | 515.0 |
| 131 | 05 23.5 S | 109 46.0 W | 10 Apr 94 | 262.5 | 516.0 |
| 132 | 0500.1 S | 11020.1 W | 10 Apr 94 | 262.5 | 516.0 |
| 133 | 04 29.7 S | 11019.6 W | 10 Apr 94 | 262.5 | 516.0 |
| 134 | 04 00.2 S | 11019.7 W | 10 Apr 94 | 262.5 | 516.0 |
| 135 | 0329.9 S | 11020.0 W | 11 Apr 94 | 262.7 | 516.0 |
| 136 | 03 00.0 S | 11020.0 W | 11 Apr 94 | 262.7 | 516.0 |
| 137 | 0240.0 S | 11019.9 W | 11 Apr 94 | 262.7 | 516.0 |
| 138 | 0220.0 S | 11020.1 W | 11 Apr 94 | 262.7 | 516.0 |
| 139 | 02 00.7 S | 11020.4 W | 12 Apr 94 | 262.7 | 516.0 |
| 140 | 0140.0 S | 11019.9 W | 12 Apr 94 | 263.2 | 517.3 |
| 141 | 0120.0 S | 11020.1 W | 12 Apr 94 | 263.2 | 517.8 |
| 142 | 0100.1 S | 11019.7 W | 13 Apr 94 | 263.2 | 517.8 |
| 143 | 0041.0 S | 11020.0 W | 14 Apr 94 | 263.8 | 519.2 |
| 44 | 0020.1 S | 11019.6 W | 14 Apr 94 | 263.2 | 517.8 |
| 145 | 00 00.0 S | 11020.0 W | 13 Apr 94 | 263.2 | 517.8 |
| 146 | 0020.1 N | 11020.0 W | 14 Apr 94 | 263.2 | 517.8 |
| 147 | 0039.9 N | 11020.2 W | 14 Apr 94 | 263.2 | 517.8 |
| 148 | 0100.0 N | 110 20.0 W | 14 Apr 94 | 264.3 | 519.5 |
| 149 | 0120.0 N | 11020.0 W | 14 Apr 94 | 264.3 | 519.5 |
| 150 | 0140.6 N | 110 20.2 W | 15 Apr 94 | 264.5 | 520.8 |
| 151 | 0200.0 N | 11020.1 W | 15 Apr 94 | 264.5 | 520.8 |
| 152 | 0220.0 N | 11020.0 W | 15 Apr 94 | 264.5 | 520.8 |
| 153 | 0240.0 N | 110 20.0 W | 15 Apr 94 | 264.5 | 520.8 |
| 154 | 0300.0 N | 11020.0 W | 15 Apr 94 | 264.5 | 520.8 |
| 155 | 0330.0 N | 110 20.0 W | 16 Apr 94 | 264.5 | 520.8 |
| 156 | 0400.1 N | 11020.1 W | 16 Apr 94 | 264.5 | 520.8 |
| 157 | 0430.0 N | 110 20.0 W | 16 Apr 94 | 264.8 | 520.0 |
| 158 | 0459.7 N | 11020.1 W | 17 Apr 94 | 264.8 | 520.0 |
| 159 | 0530.0 N | 11020.1 W | 17 Apr 94 | 265.5 | 519.4 |


| 160 | N | 110 20.0 W | 17 Apr 94 | 5 | 519.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | 0629.9 N | 11020.0 W | 17 Apr 94 | 265.5 | 519.4 |
| 162 | 0700.0 N | 11020.4 W | 18 Apr 94 | 265.5 | 519.4 |
| 163 | 0729.9 N | 11020.1 W | 18 Apr 94 | 265.5 | 519.4 |
| 164 | 0759.9 N | 110 20.2 W | 18 Apr 94 | 265.5 | 519.4 |
| 165 | 0830.1 N | 11015.1 W | 18 Apr 94 | 265.5 | 519.4 |
| 166 | 0900.1 N | 11010.0 W | 19 Apr 94 | 265.5 | 519.4 |
| 167 | 0930.1 N | 110 05.2 W | 19 Apr 94 | 265.5 | 519.4 |
| 168 | 1000.0 N | 110 00.0 W | 19 Apr 94 | 265.5 | 520.3 |
| 169 | 1040.0 N | 109 60.0 W | 20 Apr 94 | 265.5 | 520.3 |
| 170 | 1120.0 N | 110 00.0 W | 20 Apr 94 | 265.4 | 520.2 |
| 171 | 1200.1 N | 11000.0 W | 20 Apr 94 | 265.4 | 520.2 |
| 172 | 1240.0 N | 11000.0 W | 20 Apr 94 | 265.4 | 520.2 |
| 173 | 1320.0 N | 10959.7 W | 21 Apr 94 | 265.4 | 520.2 |
| 174 | 1400.1 N | 10959.9 W | 21 Apr 94 | 265.0 | 522.9 |
| 175 | 1429.9 N | 10959.9 W | 21 Apr 94 | 265.0 | 522.9 |
| 176 | 1500.0 N | 110 00.0 W | 21 Apr 94 | 265.0 | 522.9 |
| 177 | 1529.9 N | 109 59.7 W | 22 Apr 94 | 265.0 | 522.9 |
| 178 | 1600.1 N | 110 00.0 W | 22 Apr 94 | 265.0 | 522.9 |
| 179 | 1630.0 N | 11000.1 W | 22 Apr 94 | 265.0 | 522.9 |
| 180 | 1700.0 N | 11000.0 W | 22 Apr 94 | 265.0 | 522.9 |
| 181 | 1730.1 N | 109 59.8 W | 23 Apr 94 | 265.0 | 522.9 |
| 182 | 1759.9 N | 11000.0 W | 23 Apr 94 | 265.4 | 522.9 |
| 183 | 1830.0 N | 110 00.0 W | 23 Apr 94 | 265.3 | 523.1 |
| 184 | 1900.0 N | 110 00.0 W | 23 Apr 94 | 265.3 | 523.1 |
| 185 | 1930.0 N | 10959.9 W | 24 Apr 94 | 265.3 | 523.1 |
| 186 | 2000.1 N | 109 59.9 W | 24 Apr 94 | 265.3 | 523.1 |
| 187 | 2029.9 N | 11000.0 W | 24 Apr 94 | 265.3 | 523.1 |
| 188 | 2100.0 N | 110 00.0 W | 24 Apr 94 | 265.3 | 523.1 |
| 189 | 2129.9 N | 11000.1 W | 24 Apr 94 | 265.3 | 523.1 |
| 190 | 2159.9 N | 110 00.0 W | 25 Apr 94 | 265.7 | 524.6 |
| 191 | 22 29.8 N | 10959.7 W | 25 Apr 94 | 265.7 | 524.6 |
| 192 | 2243.9 N | 11000.4 W | 25 Apr 94 | 266.1 | 525.1 |
| 193 | 2247.9 N | 110 00.3 W | 25 Apr 94 | 266.1 | 525.1 |
| 194 | 2251.1 N | 10959.9 W | 25 Apr 94 | 266.1 | 525.1 |

APPENDIX 5d. Replicate CFC-11 measurements on P18 (CGC94)

| STATION | SAMP | F11 | F11 | STATION | SAMP | F11 | F11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUMBER | NO. | pM/kg | Stdev | NUMBER | NO. | pM/kg | Stdev |
| 8 | 313 | 0.062 | 0.021 | 107 | 123 | 0.070 | 0.006 |
| 8 | 319 | 0.115 | 0.008 | 107 | 128 | 2.316 | 0.012 |
| 8 | 323 | 0.110 | 0.009 | 107 | 131 | 2.138 | 0.004 |
| 10 | 304 | 0.090 | 0.004 | 109 | 128 | 1.402 | 0.005 |
| 10 | 307 | 0.057 | 0.003 | 109 | 131 | 2.152 | 0.011 |
| 10 | 313 | 0.049 | 0.003 | 110 | 121 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| 10 | 334 | 6.818 | 0.048 | 112 | 123 | 0.010 | 0.003 |


| 12 | 101 | 0.100 | 0.001 | 112 | 126 | 0.058 | 0.000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | 107 | 0.066 | 0.005 | 112 | 131 | 2.090 | 0.005 |
| 12 | 132 | 6.960 | 0.037 | 113 | 122 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| 14 | 101 | 0.136 | 0.009 | 113 | 126 | 0.130 | 0.000 |
| 14 | 113 | 0.047 | 0.008 | 113 | 131 | 2.135 | 0.067 |
| 16 | 135 | 5.766 | 0.130 | 113 | 135 | 1.845 | 0.003 |
| 20 | 101 | 0.130 | 0.002 | 114 | 129 | 0.902 | 0.007 |
| 22 | 101 | 0.083 | 0.006 | 114 | 131 | 2.278 | 0.003 |
| 22 | 106 | 0.050 | 0.004 | 115 | 123 | 0.008 | 0.009 |
| 22 | 111 | 0.038 | 0.000 | 115 | 131 | 2.274 | 0.004 |
| 22 | 132 | 5.349 | 0.013 | 116 | 123 | 0.034 | 0.005 |
| 24 | 101 | 0.075 | 0.000 | 116 | 126 | 0.135 | 0.004 |
| 24 | 107 | 0.083 | 0.005 | 116 | 132 | 2.233 | 0.008 |
| 24 | 134 | 4.777 | 0.014 | 117 | 123 | 0.004 | 0.001 |
| 27 | 110 | 0.188 | 0.007 | 117 | 127 | 0.067 | 0.002 |
| 28 | 104 | 0.059 | 0.008 | 117 | 135 | 1.762 | 0.008 |
| 28 | 106 | 0.052 | 0.008 | 118 | 129 | 0.288 | 0.004 |
| 28 | 130 | 4.286 | 0.147 | 119 | 127 | 0.061 | 0.000 |
| 33 | 203 | 0.031 | 0.024 | 119 | 129 | 0.580 | 0.003 |
| 33 | 206 | 0.016 | 0.001 | 119 | 132 | 2.162 | 0.011 |
| 33 | 212 | 0.131 | 0.002 | 120 | 126 | 0.097 | 0.003 |
| 33 | 218 | 2.015 | 0.003 | 120 | 131 | 2.153 | 0.082 |
| 33 | 223 | 3.854 | 0.010 | 121 | 129 | 1.349 | 0.001 |
| 33 | 226 | 3.993 | 0.008 | 121 | 133 | 1.959 | 0.013 |
| 33 | 229 | 4.180 | 0.013 | 122 | 125 | 0.124 | 0.006 |
| 35 | 119 | 2.652 | 0.022 | 122 | 128 | 0.274 | 0.001 |
| 36 | 101 | -0.000 | 0.001 | 122 | 132 | 2.151 | 0.009 |
| 36 | 107 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 125 | 115 | -0.001 | 0.002 |
| 37 | 225 | 4.012 | 0.124 | 126 | 223 | 0.057 | 0.000 |
| 40 | 301 | -0.001 | 0.002 | 126 | 226 | 0.260 | 0.000 |
| 40 | 321 | 2.778 | 0.004 | 126 | 232 | 1.905 | 0.002 |
| 40 | 329 | 3.898 | 0.009 | 127 | 123 | 0.138 | 0.005 |
| 41 | 103 | -0.000 | 0.009 | 127 | 133 | 1.699 | 0.009 |
| 42 | 103 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 128 | 122 | 0.017 | 0.002 |
| 42 | 127 | 3.723 | 0.033 | 129 | 126 | 0.130 | 0.001 |
| 42 | 132 | 4.217 | 0.291 | 129 | 132 | 1.798 | 0.002 |
| 44 | 103 | -0.001 | 0.006 | 129 | 136 | 1.694 | 0.004 |
| 46 | 103 | -0.001 | 0.003 | 133 | 123 | 0.132 | 0.001 |
| 46 | 123 | 3.057 | 0.034 | 133 | 128 | 0.417 | 0.005 |
| 47 | 111 | 0.030 | 0.003 | 133 | 132 | 0.692 | 0.003 |
| 47 | 116 | 0.904 | 0.028 | 134 | 122 | 0.058 | 0.002 |
| 47 | 123 | 3.116 | 0.075 | 134 | 125 | 0.265 | 0.009 |
| 47 | 127 | 3.590 | 0.091 | 134 | 132 | 0.756 | 0.004 |
| 53 | 103 | -0.003 | 0.002 | 135 | 125 | 0.481 | 0.001 |
| 53 | 107 | -0.001 | 0.001 | 135 | 129 | 0.632 | 0.009 |
| 53 | 135 | 3.253 | 0.019 | 135 | 133 | 0.920 | 0.007 |
| 55 | 313 | 0.021 | 0.001 | 137 | 126 | 0.238 | 0.002 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 55 | 317 | 0.641 | 0.007 | 137 | 128 | 0.518 | 0.004 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 55 | 325 | 3.022 | 0.011 | 137 | 132 | 0.713 | 0.003 |
| 55 | 331 | 3.970 | 0.018 | 138 | 129 | 0.536 | 0.001 |
| 59 | 103 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 139 | 123 | 0.122 | 0.002 |
| 59 | 109 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 139 | 127 | 0.465 | 0.005 |
| 59 | 111 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 139 | 131 | 0.733 | 0.002 |
| 59 | 113 | 0.005 | 0.001 | 141 | 125 | 0.169 | 0.003 |
| 59 | 119 | 1.532 | 0.000 | 141 | 127 | 0.388 | 0.000 |
| 59 | 128 | 3.065 | 0.028 | 141 | 132 | 0.785 | 0.002 |
| 59 | 134 | 3.420 | 0.054 | 142 | 127 | 0.586 | 0.007 |
| 61 | 112 | -0.003 | 0.003 | 142 | 131 | 0.752 | 0.006 |
| 61 | 114 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 143 | 127 | 0.590 | 0.003 |
| 61 | 131 | 3.424 | 0.072 | 143 | 131 | 0.813 | 0.002 |
| 61 | 132 | 3.400 | 0.002 | 143 | 135 | 1.720 | 0.020 |
| 61 | 133 | 3.270 | 0.014 | 147 | 129 | 0.777 | 0.005 |
| 63 | 116 | 0.132 | 0.004 | 147 | 133 | 1.239 | 0.001 |
| 63 | 118 | 0.700 | 0.005 | 148 | 121 | 0.020 | 0.003 |
| 68 | 116 | 0.124 | 0.002 | 148 | 132 | 0.843 | 0.017 |
| 68 | 118 | 0.641 | 0.006 | 149 | 232 | 0.872 | 0.002 |
| 68 | 132 | 3.509 | 0.081 | 151 | 123 | 0.109 | 0.000 |
| 69 | 117 | 0.254 | 0.002 | 151 | 127 | 0.433 | 0.004 |
| 69 | 126 | 2.274 | 0.008 | 151 | 133 | 0.956 | 0.003 |
| 71 | 123 | 1.770 | 0.006 | 152 | 136 | 1.809 | 0.009 |
| 73 | 118 | 0.766 | 0.120 | 154 | 125 | 0.189 | 0.002 |
| 73 | 119 | 1.268 | 0.006 | 154 | 129 | 0.553 | 0.001 |
| 73 | 128 | 2.532 | 0.015 | 154 | 133 | 0.983 | 0.009 |
| 73 | 133 | 2.592 | 0.008 | 155 | 129 | 0.651 | 0.005 |
| 74 | 118 | 0.601 | 0.023 | 155 | 131 | 0.861 | $N a N$ |
| 74 | 126 | 1.993 | 0.004 | 156 | 122 | 0.020 | 0.000 |
| 77 | 118 | 0.536 | 0.001 | 156 | 126 | 0.304 | 0.001 |
| 77 | 127 | 2.336 | 0.006 | 156 | 132 | 0.865 | 0.026 |
| 77 | 132 | 2.432 | 0.007 | 157 | 325 | 0.213 | 0.001 |
| 79 | 117 | 0.169 | 0.002 | 157 | 333 | 0.978 | 0.000 |
| 79 | 121 | 1.296 | 0.029 | 158 | 127 | 0.253 | 0.003 |
| 79 | 129 | 2.582 | 0.004 | 158 | 133 | 1.381 | 0.172 |
| 79 | 132 | 2.616 | 0.017 | 158 | 135 | 1.668 | 0.002 |
| 81 | 301 | -0.000 | 0.001 | 159 | 126 | 0.096 | 0.002 |
| 81 | 320 | 0.902 | 0.010 | 159 | 130 | 0.318 | 0.003 |
| 81 | 322 | 1.689 | 0.004 | 161 | 123 | 0.058 | 0.027 |
| 81 | 325 | 2.223 | 0.017 | 161 | 129 | 0.391 | 0.002 |
| 81 | 330 | 2.652 | 0.051 | 163 | 123 | 0.037 | 0.000 |
| 81 | 332 | 2.478 | 0.018 | 163 | 126 | 0.183 | 0.001 |
| 82 | 124 | 2.253 | 0.026 | 163 | 132 | 0.569 | 0.001 |
| 83 | 118 | 0.139 | 0.014 | 163 | 136 | 1.637 | 0.002 |
| 83 | 126 | 2.463 | 0.094 | 164 | 132 | 0.646 | 0.005 |
| 83 | 127 | 2.477 | 0.011 | 165 | 125 | 0.210 | 0.000 |
| 83 | 132 | 2.346 | 0.001 | 165 | 129 | 0.389 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 84 | 126 | 2.419 | 0.016 | 165 | 133 | 0.985 | 0.001 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 84 | 130 | 2.385 | 0.013 | 167 | 125 | 0.156 | 0.001 |
| 85 | 118 | 0.338 | 0.002 | 167 | 131 | 0.743 | 0.004 |
| 85 | 120 | 0.950 | 0.013 | 168 | 325 | 0.091 | 0.000 |
| 85 | 122 | 1.110 | 0.003 | 168 | 331 | 0.567 | 0.004 |
| 85 | 125 | 1.970 | 0.005 | 169 | 123 | 0.045 | 0.002 |
| 85 | 131 | 2.335 | 0.002 | 169 | 131 | 0.624 | 0.016 |
| 87 | 119 | 0.278 | 0.002 | 169 | 135 | 1.636 | 0.006 |
| 88 | 106 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 170 | 127 | 0.291 | 0.066 |
| 88 | 119 | 0.073 | 0.009 | 170 | 133 | 1.638 | 0.015 |
| 88 | 131 | 2.274 | 0.001 | 172 | 331 | 1.674 | 0.002 |
| 89 | 119 | 0.037 | 0.002 | 174 | 122 | 0.020 | 0.001 |
| 89 | 126 | 2.008 | 0.005 | 174 | 125 | 0.091 | 0.009 |
| 90 | 321 | 0.881 | 0.001 | 174 | 131 | 1.529 | 0.002 |
| 91 | 120 | 0.093 | 0.000 | 176 | 123 | 0.017 | 0.000 |
| 91 | 127 | 2.240 | 0.011 | 176 | 129 | 0.313 | 0.000 |
| 92 | 122 | 0.469 | 0.000 | 176 | 135 | 1.722 | 0.001 |
| 93 | 115 | -0.002 | 0.001 | 178 | 122 | 0.021 | 0.001 |
| 93 | 119 | 0.008 | 0.024 | 178 | 125 | 0.078 | 0.004 |
| 93 | 126 | 1.596 | 0.007 | 178 | 131 | 2.012 | 0.002 |
| 93 | 132 | 2.182 | 0.006 | 180 | 121 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| 93 | 135 | 1.927 | 0.007 | 180 | 125 | 0.050 | 0.003 |
| 95 | 119 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 180 | 133 | 1.998 | 0.008 |
| 95 | 126 | 1.352 | 0.013 | 181 | 127 | 0.145 | 0.004 |
| 95 | 132 | 2.115 | 0.019 | 181 | 135 | 1.870 | 0.011 |
| 95 | 135 | 1.935 | 0.056 | 182 | 122 | 0.032 | 0.008 |
| 97 | 120 | 0.009 | 0.013 | 182 | 125 | 0.056 | 0.001 |
| 97 | 125 | 0.467 | 0.004 | 182 | 131 | 2.221 | 0.005 |
| 97 | 128 | 2.329 | 0.003 | 183 | 132 | 2.193 | 0.012 |
| 97 | 132 | 2.164 | 0.008 | 184 | 122 | 0.047 | 0.001 |
| 97 | 135 | 1.921 | 0.028 | 184 | 125 | 0.127 | 0.007 |
| 99 | 120 | 0.030 | 0.015 | 184 | 131 | 1.045 | 0.002 |
| 99 | 127 | 2.393 | 0.002 | 186 | 123 | 0.037 | 0.006 |
| 99 | 132 | 2.152 | 0.015 | 186 | 129 | 0.353 | 0.031 |
| 101 | 325 | 0.940 | 0.000 | 186 | 133 | 2.154 | 0.007 |
| 101 | 329 | 2.329 | 0.028 | 188 | 322 | 0.045 | 0.014 |
| 103 | 121 | 0.022 | 0.006 | 188 | 325 | 0.084 | 0.003 |
| 103 | 125 | 0.668 | 0.000 | 188 | 331 | 1.130 | 0.001 |
| 103 | 128 | 2.315 | 0.005 | 188 | 336 | 2.201 | 0.007 |
| 103 | 131 | 2.117 | 0.001 | 190 | 125 | 0.089 | 0.003 |
| 103 | 133 | 1.976 | 0.002 | 190 | 129 | 0.472 | 0.001 |
| 103 | 135 | 1.953 | 0.001 | 190 | 133 | 2.535 | 0.006 |
| 105 | 123 | 0.053 | 0.001 | 191 | 123 | 1.512 | 0.003 |
| 105 | 127 | 1.472 | 0.005 | 193 | 103 | 0.010 | 0.003 |
| 105 | 130 | 2.204 | 0.010 | 193 | 106 | 0.049 | 0.002 |
| 105 | 134 | 1.957 | 0.003 | 193 | 109 | 0.187 | 0.001 |
| 106 | 120 | -0.000 | 0.001 | 193 | 111 | 0.367 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 106 | 132 | 1.969 | 0.021 | 193 | 113 | 0.911 | 0.009 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 107 | 121 | 0.012 | 0.005 | 193 | 117 | 2.214 | 0.014 |

APPENDIX 5e. Replicate CFC-12 measurements on P18 (CGC94)

| STATION | SAMP | F12 | F12 | STATION | SAMP | F12 | F12 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NUMBER | NO. | pM/kg | Stdev | NUMBER | NO. | pM/kg | Stdev |
| 2 | 113 | 0.011 | 0.003 | 103 | 131 | 1.170 | 0.012 |
| 8 | 311 | 0.015 | 0.001 | 103 | 133 | 1.102 | 0.014 |
| 8 | 313 | 0.017 | 0.002 | 103 | 135 | 1.086 | NaN |
| 8 | 319 | 0.058 | 0.010 | 105 | 123 | 0.031 | 0.003 |
| 8 | 323 | 0.053 | 0.005 | 105 | 127 | 0.756 | 0.009 |
| 10 | 301 | 0.059 | 0.001 | 105 | 130 | 1.203 | 0.007 |
| 10 | 304 | 0.038 | 0.007 | 105 | 134 | 1.137 | 0.039 |
| 10 | 307 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 106 | 120 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| 10 | 313 | 0.021 | 0.001 | 106 | 132 | 1.106 | 0.022 |
| 10 | 334 | 3.130 | 0.007 | 107 | 121 | 0.003 | 0.000 |
| 12 | 101 | 0.054 | 0.002 | 107 | 123 | 0.042 | 0.002 |
| 12 | 107 | 0.022 | 0.002 | 107 | 128 | 1.241 | 0.011 |
| 12 | 113 | 0.014 | 0.003 | 107 | 131 | 1.165 | 0.009 |
| 12 | 115 | 0.031 | 0.013 | 109 | 121 | -0.000 | 0.003 |
| 12 | 119 | 0.059 | 0.001 | 109 | 128 | 0.741 | 0.005 |
| 12 | 125 | 0.134 | 0.002 | 109 | 131 | 1.185 | 0.001 |
| 12 | 127 | 0.288 | 0.000 | 110 | 121 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| 12 | 129 | 0.719 | 0.009 | 112 | 123 | 0.005 | 0.001 |
| 12 | 132 | 3.193 | 0.029 | 112 | 126 | 0.036 | 0.002 |
| 14 | 101 | 0.065 | 0.003 | 112 | 131 | 1.149 | 0.004 |
| 14 | 106 | 0.030 | 0.002 | 113 | 122 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| 14 | 113 | 0.017 | 0.001 | 113 | 126 | 0.076 | 0.002 |
| 14 | 118 | 0.049 | 0.000 | 113 | 131 | 1.236 | 0.079 |
| 16 | 103 | 0.042 | 0.008 | 113 | 135 | 1.027 | 0.014 |
| 16 | 118 | 0.057 | 0.000 | 114 | 129 | 0.491 | 0.007 |
| 16 | 135 | 2.764 | 0.016 | 114 | 131 | 1.233 | 0.013 |
| 20 | 101 | 0.061 | 0.002 | 115 | 123 | 0.003 | 0.008 |
| 22 | 101 | 0.041 | 0.004 | 115 | 131 | 1.233 | 0.015 |
| 22 | 106 | 0.026 | 0.003 | 116 | 123 | 0.023 | 0.004 |
| 22 | 111 | 0.017 | 0.002 | 116 | 126 | 0.077 | 0.002 |
| 22 | 132 | 2.527 | 0.000 | 116 | 132 | 1.220 | 0.009 |
| 24 | 101 | 0.035 | 0.005 | 117 | 123 | -0.000 | 0.003 |
| 24 | 128 | 2.004 | 0.011 | 117 | 127 | 0.039 | 0.002 |
| 24 | 134 | 2.322 | 0.017 | 117 | 135 | 1.009 | 0.002 |
| 27 | 110 | 0.079 | 0.003 | 118 | 129 | 0.162 | 0.002 |
| 28 | 101 | 0.030 | 0.003 | 119 | 127 | 0.033 | 0.001 |
| 28 | 104 | 0.025 | 0.006 | 119 | 129 | 0.320 | 0.007 |
| 28 | 106 | 0.021 | 0.001 | 119 | 132 | 1.198 | 0.006 |
| 28 | 118 | 0.160 | 0.001 | 120 | 126 | 0.056 | 0.001 |
| 28 | 127 | 1.408 | 0.018 | 120 | 131 | 1.187 | 0.033 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 28 | 130 | 2.176 | 0.009 | 121 | 129 | 0.725 | 0.005 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | 135 | 2.241 | 0.142 | 121 | 133 | 1.093 | 0.013 |
| 33 | 201 | 0.012 | 0.005 | 122 | 125 | 0.070 | 0.004 |
| 33 | 203 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 122 | 128 | 0.158 | 0.000 |
| 33 | 206 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 122 | 132 | 1.199 | 0.005 |
| 33 | 212 | 0.061 | 0.000 | 125 | 115 | -0.002 | 0.000 |
| 33 | 218 | 0.951 | 0.005 | 126 | 223 | 0.032 | 0.002 |
| 33 | 223 | 1.927 | 0.021 | 126 | 226 | 0.150 | 0.001 |
| 33 | 229 | 2.105 | 0.008 | 126 | 232 | 1.025 | 0.008 |
| 35 | 119 | 1.251 | 0.028 | 127 | 123 | 0.079 | 0.001 |
| 36 | 101 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 127 | 133 | 0.979 | 0.006 |
| 36 | 107 | -0.001 | 0.001 | 128 | 122 | 0.018 | 0.001 |
| 37 | 225 | 1.913 | 0.006 | 129 | 126 | 0.083 | 0.006 |
| 40 | 301 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 129 | 132 | 0.971 | 0.005 |
| 40 | 303 | -0.000 | 0.000 | 129 | 136 | 1.002 | 0.027 |
| 40 | 321 | 1.333 | 0.007 | 133 | 123 | 0.082 | 0.002 |
| 40 | 329 | 1.946 | 0.011 | 133 | 128 | 0.232 | 0.002 |
| 41 | 103 | -0.000 | 0.000 | 133 | 132 | 0.380 | 0.002 |
| 42 | 103 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 134 | 122 | 0.030 | 0.003 |
| 42 | 127 | 1.833 | 0.004 | 134 | 125 | 0.150 | 0.002 |
| 42 | 132 | 2.150 | 0.117 | 134 | 132 | 0.420 | 0.002 |
| 44 | 103 | -0.002 | 0.001 | 135 | 125 | 0.267 | 0.001 |
| 46 | 103 | -0.001 | 0.001 | 135 | 129 | 0.353 | 0.001 |
| 46 | 123 | 1.479 | 0.012 | 135 | 133 | 0.505 | 0.002 |
| 47 | 111 | 0.010 | 0.003 | 137 | 126 | 0.139 | 0.004 |
| 47 | 116 | 0.430 | 0.012 | 137 | 128 | 0.296 | 0.002 |
| 47 | 123 | 1.497 | 0.027 | 137 | 132 | 0.402 | 0.004 |
| 47 | 127 | 1.755 | 0.021 | 138 | 129 | 0.303 | 0.000 |
| 53 | 103 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 139 | 123 | 0.072 | 0.002 |
| 53 | 107 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 139 | 131 | 0.400 | 0.003 |
| 53 | 135 | 1.678 | 0.043 | 141 | 125 | 0.095 | 0.003 |
| 55 | 313 | 0.011 | 0.000 | 141 | 127 | 0.223 | 0.001 |
| 55 | 317 | 0.332 | 0.001 | 141 | 132 | 0.429 | 0.000 |
| 55 | 325 | 1.478 | 0.006 | 142 | 127 | 0.321 | 0.003 |
| 55 | 331 | 1.993 | 0.002 | 142 | 131 | 0.410 | 0.001 |
| 59 | 103 | -0.001 | 0.001 | 143 | 131 | 0.439 | 0.001 |
| 59 | 109 | -0.000 | 0.000 | 143 | 135 | 0.939 | 0.030 |
| 59 | 111 | -0.000 | 0.002 | 147 | 129 | 0.421 | 0.005 |
| 59 | 113 | -0.002 | 0.003 | 147 | 133 | 0.669 | 0.003 |
| 59 | 119 | 0.787 | 0.004 | 148 | 121 | 0.006 | 0.001 |
| 59 | 128 | 1.482 | 0.027 | 148 | 132 | 0.454 | 0.010 |
| 59 | 134 | 1.750 | 0.007 | 149 | 228 | 0.283 | 0.004 |
| 61 | 112 | -0.002 | 0.001 | 149 | 232 | 0.469 | 0.003 |
| 61 | 114 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 151 | 123 | 0.064 | 0.001 |
| 61 | 131 | 1.730 | 0.016 | 151 | 127 | 0.240 | 0.002 |
| 61 | 132 | 1.745 | 0.020 | 151 | 133 | 0.517 | 0.007 |
| 61 | 133 | 1.699 | 0.024 | 152 | 136 | 0.964 | 0.006 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 63 | 116 | 0.080 | 0.003 | 154 | 125 | 0.100 | 0.001 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 63 | 118 | 0.367 | 0.003 | 154 | 129 | 0.308 | 0.001 |
| 68 | 116 | 0.074 | 0.002 | 154 | 133 | 0.529 | 0.008 |
| 68 | 118 | 0.338 | 0.001 | 155 | 129 | 0.350 | 0.007 |
| 68 | 132 | 1.753 | 0.109 | 155 | 131 | 0.453 | 0.006 |
| 69 | 117 | 0.145 | 0.001 | 156 | 122 | 0.007 | 0.001 |
| 69 | 126 | 1.098 | 0.005 | 156 | 132 | 0.455 | 0.019 |
| 71 | 123 | 0.845 | 0.001 | 157 | 325 | 0.116 | 0.001 |
| 73 | 118 | 0.397 | 0.055 | 157 | 333 | 0.513 | 0.001 |
| 73 | 119 | 0.640 | 0.014 | 158 | 127 | 0.140 | 0.003 |
| 73 | 128 | 1.278 | 0.006 | 158 | 133 | 0.746 | 0.122 |
| 73 | 133 | 1.397 | 0.004 | 158 | 135 | 0.962 | 0.004 |
| 74 | 118 | 0.316 | 0.001 | 159 | 126 | 0.051 | 0.003 |
| 74 | 126 | 0.973 | 0.002 | 159 | 130 | 0.173 | 0.003 |
| 77 | 118 | 0.318 | 0.044 | 161 | 123 | 0.035 | 0.013 |
| 77 | 127 | 1.175 | 0.006 | 161 | 129 | 0.215 | 0.001 |
| 77 | 132 | 1.308 | 0.003 | 163 | 123 | 0.021 | 0.002 |
| 79 | 117 | 0.101 | 0.005 | 163 | 126 | 0.100 | 0.001 |
| 79 | 121 | 0.646 | 0.007 | 163 | 132 | 0.310 | 0.003 |
| 79 | 129 | 1.343 | 0.001 | 164 | 132 | 0.353 | 0.002 |
| 79 | 132 | 1.402 | 0.009 | 165 | 125 | 0.120 | 0.003 |
| 81 | 301 | -0.002 | 0.000 | 165 | 129 | 0.220 | 0.007 |
| 81 | 320 | 0.465 | 0.022 | 165 | 133 | 0.545 | 0.003 |
| 81 | 322 | 0.834 | 0.001 | 167 | 125 | 0.095 | 0.011 |
| 81 | 325 | 1.112 | 0.015 | 168 | 325 | 0.052 | 0.003 |
| 81 | 330 | 1.396 | 0.009 | 168 | 331 | 0.317 | 0.003 |
| 82 | 124 | 1.117 | 0.044 | 169 | 123 | 0.028 | 0.002 |
| 83 | 118 | 0.079 | 0.001 | 169 | 131 | 0.348 | 0.004 |
| 83 | 126 | 1.274 | 0.037 | 169 | 135 | 0.962 | 0.004 |
| 83 | 127 | 1.310 | 0.006 | 170 | 127 | 0.166 | 0.033 |
| 83 | 132 | 1.278 | 0.020 | 170 | 133 | 0.959 | 0.015 |
| 84 | 126 | 1.280 | 0.003 | 171 | 120 | 0.002 | 0.000 |
| 84 | 130 | 1.280 | 0.003 | 172 | 331 | 0.968 | 0.004 |
| 85 | 118 | 0.184 | 0.008 | 174 | 122 | 0.012 | 0.001 |
| 85 | 120 | 0.483 | 0.001 | 174 | 125 | 0.061 | 0.018 |
| 85 | 122 | 0.551 | 0.000 | 174 | 131 | 0.859 | 0.006 |
| 85 | 125 | 0.988 | 0.009 | 176 | 123 | 0.018 | 0.004 |
| 85 | 131 | 1.264 | 0.005 | 176 | 129 | 0.191 | 0.002 |
| 87 | 119 | 0.163 | 0.004 | 176 | 135 | 0.995 | 0.011 |
| 87 | 125 | 0.676 | 0.007 | 178 | 122 | 0.010 | 0.000 |
| 88 | 106 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 178 | 125 | 0.045 | 0.000 |
| 88 | 119 | 0.048 | 0.001 | 178 | 131 | 1.055 | 0.007 |
| 88 | 131 | 1.258 | 0.012 | 180 | 121 | -0.000 | 0.000 |
| 89 | 119 | 0.025 | 0.002 | 180 | 125 | 0.030 | 0.001 |
| 89 | 126 | 1.025 | 0.003 | 180 | 133 | 1.130 | 0.001 |
| 90 | 321 | 0.450 | 0.002 | 181 | 127 | 0.086 | 0.001 |
| 91 | 120 | 0.058 | 0.000 | 181 | 135 | 1.063 | 0.002 |
|  |  | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |


| 91 | 127 | 1.154 | 0.001 | 182 | 122 | 0.007 | 0.001 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 92 | 122 | 0.262 | 0.006 | 182 | 125 | 0.028 | 0.003 |
| 93 | 115 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 182 | 131 | 1.178 | 0.019 |
| 93 | 119 | 0.003 | 0.008 | 183 | 132 | 1.162 | 0.011 |
| 93 | 126 | 0.809 | 0.009 | 184 | 122 | 0.025 | 0.000 |
| 93 | 132 | 1.204 | 0.000 | 184 | 125 | 0.069 | 0.000 |
| 93 | 135 | 1.073 | 0.005 | 184 | 131 | 0.559 | 0.001 |
| 95 | 119 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 186 | 123 | 0.020 | 0.000 |
| 95 | 126 | 0.691 | 0.008 | 186 | 129 | 0.198 | 0.002 |
| 95 | 132 | 1.183 | 0.005 | 186 | 133 | 1.135 | 0.000 |
| 95 | 135 | 1.058 | 0.019 | 188 | 322 | 0.015 | 0.000 |
| 97 | 120 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 188 | 325 | 0.044 | 0.002 |
| 97 | 125 | 0.247 | 0.003 | 188 | 331 | 0.597 | $N a N$ |
| 97 | 128 | 1.238 | 0.002 | 188 | 336 | 1.228 | 0.017 |
| 97 | 132 | 1.216 | 0.016 | 190 | 125 | 0.046 | 0.002 |
| 97 | 135 | 1.086 | 0.009 | 190 | 129 | 0.257 | 0.004 |
| 99 | 120 | 0.025 | 0.012 | 190 | 133 | 1.351 | 0.012 |
| 99 | 127 | 1.253 | 0.008 | 191 | 123 | 0.790 | 0.006 |
| 99 | 132 | 1.192 | 0.014 | 193 | 103 | -0.000 | 0.001 |
| 101 | 320 | -0.001 | 0.001 | 193 | 106 | 0.018 | 0.001 |
| 101 | 325 | 0.493 | 0.002 | 193 | 109 | 0.103 | 0.003 |
| 103 | 121 | 0.013 | 0.001 | 193 | 111 | 0.206 | 0.008 |
| 103 | 125 | 0.358 | 0.000 | 193 | 113 | 0.487 | 0.002 |
| 103 | 128 | 1.234 | 0.006 | 193 | 117 | 1.196 | 0.010 |

## APPENDIX 6a. Oxygen Measurement techniques on WOCE P18 (CGC94)

## Summary of Oxygen Data for CGC94
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### 1.1 Oxygen

### 1.1.1 Overview

Oxygen samples were drawn from every bottle for every station (except for some of the test casts). A total of 6191 samples were drawn, including 450 duplicates. Five different people drew oxygen samples and four people were involved with running samples. The estimated accuracy is $0.3 \%$ plus an estimated precision of $0.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$. Note that precision is sampler dependent and was as good as $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ for some samplers. All samples for station 89 are flagged as bad because of bad sampling.

Samples were titrated using Carpenter's whole bottle technique (Carpenter, 1969). An auto-titrator based on a design by Gernot Friederich (Friederich, 1991) and using a modified version of Friederich's software was used to titrate the samples. The titrator consists of a Kloehn 50100 Syringe Drive with a 5 ml syringe, a home-built photometer, and a computer. Post- processing software was used to add in temperature corrections and to analyze data.

### 1.1.2 Sampling and pickling

Oxygen sampled immediately after CFC's and Helium. Samples were drawn in calibrated 125 ml nominal volume iodine determination flasks (Corning 5400-125).

The sampling tube was inserted into the flask, allowed to flow freely and tapped to removed bubbles, and then inverted. The tube was pinched to reduce flow and allow water in the flask to drain. A water sheet was formed on the inside of the flask, the sampling tube pinched off, the flask drained, and then put right side up. The sampling tube was slowly released to prevent turbulent flow and the flask allowd to fill. Using a watch, the fill time was measured and used to ensure at least two flask volumes overflow. (Typical fill time was 7 seconds). During this time, the temperature of the water was recorded using an uncalibrated Pt-RTD. However, these temperatures are not used in the final data processing.

Reagents were introduced quicky after sampling using Brinckmann 1.0 ml Fixed Volume Dispensette repipets. The tips of the repipets were lengthened using clear polyolefin shrink tubing. How reagents were introduced varied. My preferred method was adding $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2}$ at the bottom of the flask, and $\mathrm{NaOH} / \mathrm{NaI}$ at the mid-point. The repipet tips were inserted into the flask and then the repipets were filled and dispensed. This had the problem that on the upstroke, sometimes seawater ( $\sim 5 \mathrm{uL}$ ) was aspirated up the tube. In later cruises, the upstroke should take place outside of the flask. All reagents were prepared according to WOCE specifications.

Flasks were capped at this point and shaken until the reagents were well mixed. The flask was inverted and checked for bubbles. Distilled water, or later, seawater, was added to the collar of the flask and the flask stowed. At least 20 minutes after sampling was finished, flasks were reshaken.

### 1.1.3 Analysis

Samples were analyzed no earlier than 20 minutes and no later than 8 hours after remixing. Liquid from the flask collar was aspirated with a transfer pipette and the stopper removed. $\sim 1 \mathrm{ml}$ of 10 N sulfuric acid and a rinsed stir bar were added. (Note - the stir bars had short lengths of Tygon on them to improve their stirring characteristics. Stir bars without pivot rings have since been found to work better.) The flask was wiped dry and placed in the titrator and titrated with 0.05 N sodium thiosulfate. After titration, the sample was poured out and the flask rinsed with hot tap water.

### 1.1.4 Standardization

Titrant was standardized with 0.01 N potassium iodate solution which was mixd before the cruise and stored in air tight bottle. Standard was dispensed using a spare Kloehn 50100 with a calibrated 5 ml buret. The measured accuracy of the dispensed standards is 0.6 uL and 2.3 uL for volumes below and above 5 mL , respectively. Standards all were within $0.1 \%$ of each their calculated values when intercompared after the cruise.

### 1.2 Oxygen References
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APPENDIX 6b Replicate Oxygen Measurements on WOCE P18 (CGC94) File gives station, sample, mean of replicate oxygen measurements (in $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), standard deviation of replicate measurements ( $\mathrm{sO}_{2}$ ), and range of values for replicate samples:

| \#Sta | Sta | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{sO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{HighO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{LowO}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | 107 | 209.67 | 0.02 | 209.68 | 209.66 |
| 11 | 117 | 186.14 | 0.43 | 186.45 | 185.84 |
| 11 | 209 | 345.22 | 0.51 | 345.58 | 344.85 |
| 12 | 127 | 175.44 | 0.22 | 175.60 | 175.29 |
| 12 | 121 | 176.42 | 0.12 | 176.51 | 176.34 |
| 13 | 101 | 216.31 | 0.11 | 216.39 | 216.23 |
| 13 | 102 | 217.23 | 0.06 | 217.27 | 217.19 |
| 13 | 103 | 216.30 | 0.19 | 216.43 | 216.16 |
| 15 | 119 | 175.82 | 0.18 | 175.96 | 175.69 |
| 15 | 129 | 229.91 | 0.06 | 229.95 | 229.87 |
| 16 | 102 | 216.79 | 0.46 | 217.11 | 216.46 |
| 20 | 102 | 216.53 | 0.02 | 216.54 | 216.52 |
| 20 | 103 | 216.01 | 0.25 | 216.19 | 215.83 |
| 21 | 106 | 210.65 | 0.17 | 210.77 | 210.53 |
| 21 | 119 | 171.54 | 0.07 | 171.59 | 171.49 |
| 22 | 110 | 199.45 | 0.34 | 199.69 | 199.21 |
| 22 | 121 | 175.68 | 0.01 | 175.68 | 175.67 |
| 23 | 307 | 206.03 | 0.02 | 206.05 | 206.01 |
| 23 | 311 | 195.09 | 0.23 | 195.25 | 194.93 |
| 24 | 117 | 171.52 | 0.05 | 171.55 | 171.48 |
| 24 | 130 | 295.04 | 0.13 | 295.13 | 294.95 |
| 28 | 107 | 205.58 | 0.25 | 205.76 | 205.41 |
| 28 | 113 | 187.73 | 0.27 | 187.92 | 187.54 |
| 33 | 207 | 185.03 | 0.04 | 185.06 | 185.00 |
| 33 | 219 | 247.23 | 0.01 | 247.23 | 247.23 |
| 33 | 230 | 281.77 | 0.68 | 282.25 | 281.29 |
| 34 | 107 | 180.58 | 0.13 | 180.68 | 180.49 |
| 34 | 109 | 174.59 | 0.38 | 174.86 | 174.32 |
| 35 | 106 | 189.68 | 0.22 | 189.84 | 189.53 |
| 35 | 115 | 192.85 | 0.11 | 192.93 | 192.77 |


| 35 | 123 | 270.72 | 0.02 | 270.74 | 270.71 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 36 | 112 | 169.65 | 0.03 | 169.67 | 169.62 |
| 36 | 114 | 179.01 | 0.06 | 179.06 | 178.97 |
| 37 | 204 | 199.09 | 0.19 | 199.22 | 198.95 |
| 37 | 208 | 179.84 | 0.18 | 179.96 | 179.71 |
| 37 | 210 | 172.80 | 0.38 | 173.07 | 172.53 |
| 39 | 107 | 171.13 | 0.33 | 171.37 | 170.90 |
| 40 | 309 | 173.90 | 0.81 | 174.47 | 173.33 |
| 41 | 108 | 175.82 | 0.11 | 175.89 | 175.74 |
| 41 | 109 | 172.81 | 0.03 | 172.83 | 172.79 |
| 42 | 110 | 167.63 | 0.01 | 167.63 | 167.63 |
| 42 | 114 | 169.13 | 0.04 | 169.15 | 169.10 |
| 44 | 104 | 195.74 | 0.23 | 195.90 | 195.57 |
| 44 | 106 | 182.61 | 0.73 | 183.13 | 182.09 |
| 44 | 108 | 173.48 | 0.44 | 173.79 | 173.17 |
| 45 | 106 | 183.05 | 0.18 | 183.18 | 182.92 |
| 45 | 108 | 173.78 | 0.19 | 173.92 | 173.65 |
| 45 | 110 | 170.46 | 0.28 | 170.65 | 170.26 |
| 46 | 102 | 195.21 | 0.09 | 195.28 | 195.15 |
| 46 | 104 | 188.23 | 0.03 | 188.25 | 188.21 |
| 46 | 108 | 169.24 | 0.05 | 169.27 | 169.20 |
| 47 | 103 | 192.84 | 0.05 | 192.87 | 192.80 |
| 47 | 105 | 182.38 | 0.08 | 182.43 | 182.33 |
| 47 | 108 | 170.38 | 0.25 | 170.55 | 170.20 |
| 52 | 103 | 186.60 | 0.23 | 186.76 | 186.44 |
| 52 | 104 | 180.77 | 0.62 | 181.21 | 180.33 |
| 52 | 106 | 171.92 | 0.35 | 172.17 | 171.67 |
| 53 | 109 | 171.94 | 0.35 | 172.19 | 171.69 |
| 53 | 112 | 160.98 | 0.10 | 161.05 | 160.91 |
| 53 | 115 | 179.58 | 0.01 | 179.58 | 179.57 |
| 54 | 121 | 264.28 | 0.27 | 264.47 | 264.09 |
| 54 | 125 | 261.39 | 0.08 | 261.44 | 261.34 |
| 54 | 130 | 281.11 | 0.03 | 281.13 | 281.09 |
| 55 | 318 | 234.36 | 0.25 | 234.54 | 234.19 |
| 55 | 321 | 261.12 | 0.05 | 261.16 | 261.09 |
| 55 | 323 | 262.03 | 0.02 | 262.05 | 262.02 |
| 58 | 307 | 168.45 | 0.84 | 169.05 | 167.85 |
| 58 | 308 | 167.11 | 0.23 | 167.27 | 166.95 |
| 58 | 310 | 161.99 | 0.15 | 162.10 | 161.88 |
| 59 | 105 | 176.76 | 0.05 | 176.80 | 176.72 |
| 59 | 107 | 166.76 | 1.08 | 167.52 | 165.99 |
| 59 | 109 | 159.83 | 0.50 | 160.19 | 159.48 |
| 60 | 110 | 150.61 | 0.07 | 150.66 | 150.56 |
| 60 | 115 | 184.42 | 0.06 | 184.47 | 184.38 |
| 60 | 134 | 252.09 | 0.01 | 252.10 | 252.08 |
| 61 | 102 | 191.62 | 0.03 | 191.65 | 191.60 |
| 61 | 106 | 171.19 | 0.09 | 171.25 | 171.13 |


| 61 | 108 | 164.85 | 0.06 | 164.89 | 164.81 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 62 | 307 | 166.44 | 0.09 | 166.50 | 166.38 |
| 62 | 308 | 164.68 | 0.57 | 165.09 | 164.28 |
| 62 | 309 | 158.67 | 0.51 | 159.03 | 158.31 |
| 63 | 103 | 192.86 | 0.02 | 192.87 | 192.84 |
| 63 | 105 | 182.16 | 0.01 | 182.17 | 182.16 |
| 63 | 107 | 169.09 | 0.12 | 169.18 | 169.01 |
| 64 | 106 | 164.47 | 0.05 | 164.50 | 164.43 |
| 64 | 110 | 131.87 | 0.02 | 131.88 | 131.86 |
| 64 | 115 | 180.47 | 0.10 | 180.54 | 180.40 |
| 68 | 110 | 134.88 | 0.08 | 134.93 | 134.82 |
| 68 | 115 | 169.60 | 0.07 | 169.66 | 169.55 |
| 68 | 121 | 250.94 | 0.16 | 251.05 | 250.83 |
| 69 | 110 | 144.05 | 0.02 | 144.06 | 144.03 |
| 70 | 125 | 243.94 | 0.40 | 244.23 | 243.66 |
| 70 | 131 | 247.40 | 0.09 | 247.47 | 247.34 |
| 70 | 128 | 219.68 | 0.39 | 219.96 | 219.41 |
| 71 | 109 | 160.54 | 0.42 | 160.84 | 160.25 |
| 71 | 111 | 146.48 | 1.42 | 147.48 | 145.47 |
| 71 | 113 | 128.59 | 0.14 | 128.69 | 128.50 |
| 72 | 103 | 166.95 | 0.22 | 167.10 | 166.79 |
| 72 | 104 | 167.31 | 0.10 | 167.37 | 167.24 |
| 72 | 105 | 167.07 | 0.22 | 167.23 | 166.91 |
| 73 | 110 | 149.25 | 0.14 | 149.35 | 149.15 |
| 73 | 126 | 219.79 | 0.06 | 219.83 | 219.74 |
| 73 | 128 | 212.61 | 0.07 | 212.65 | 212.56 |
| 73 | 130 | 240.28 | 0.12 | 240.37 | 240.20 |
| 74 | 104 | 165.61 | 2.03 | 167.04 | 164.18 |
| 74 | 109 | 156.57 | 0.07 | 156.62 | 156.52 |
| 74 | 127 | 217.55 | 0.01 | 217.55 | 217.54 |
| 75 | 104 | 166.85 | 0.10 | 166.92 | 166.78 |
| 75 | 110 | 149.98 | 0.23 | 150.14 | 149.82 |
| 75 | 116 | 188.08 | 0.16 | 188.20 | 187.97 |
| 76 | 103 | 165.58 | 0.22 | 165.74 | 165.43 |
| 76 | 105 | 164.80 | 0.01 | 164.81 | 164.79 |
| 76 | 107 | 160.34 | 0.02 | 160.36 | 160.33 |
| 77 | 104 | 164.98 | 0.03 | 165.00 | 164.96 |
| 77 | 115 | 153.46 | 0.04 | 153.49 | 153.43 |
| 77 | 125 | 208.50 | 0.03 | 208.52 | 208.47 |
| 78 | 101 | 167.04 | 1.98 | 168.44 | 165.64 |
| 78 | 105 | 163.18 | 0.09 | 163.24 | 163.12 |
| 78 | 123 | 216.78 | 0.33 | 217.02 | 216.55 |
| 79 | 104 | 164.85 | 0.08 | 164.91 | 164.79 |
| 79 | 129 | 216.58 | 0.11 | 216.66 | 216.50 |
| 79 | 133 | 246.76 | 2.06 | 248.22 | 245.30 |
| 80 | 101 | 165.18 | 0.10 | 165.25 | 165.11 |
| 80 | 105 | 161.33 | 0.03 | 161.35 | 161.31 |
|  | 16 |  |  |  |  |


| 80 | 131 | 245.09 | 0.13 | 245.19 | 245.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 81 | 304 | 163.74 | 0.35 | 163.98 | 163.49 |
| 82 | 104 | 162.15 | 0.69 | 162.63 | 161.66 |
| 82 | 108 | 153.90 | 0.08 | 153.96 | 153.84 |
| 83 | 104 | 161.35 | 0.14 | 161.45 | 161.25 |
| 83 | 133 | 236.37 | 0.01 | 236.37 | 236.36 |
| 83 | 135 | 213.12 | 0.13 | 213.21 | 213.03 |
| 84 | 102 | 160.90 | 0.67 | 161.37 | 160.43 |
| 84 | 104 | 158.34 | 0.12 | 158.43 | 158.26 |
| 85 | 105 | 158.32 | 0.37 | 158.58 | 158.06 |
| 85 | 113 | 131.08 | 0.24 | 131.25 | 130.91 |
| 86 | 104 | 157.62 | 0.10 | 157.69 | 157.55 |
| 86 | 107 | 156.61 | 0.17 | 156.73 | 156.48 |
| 86 | 115 | 127.98 | 0.11 | 128.06 | 127.90 |
| 87 | 105 | 156.46 | 0.02 | 156.48 | 156.45 |
| 87 | 119 | 197.14 | 0.15 | 197.24 | 197.03 |
| 88 | 111 | 153.96 | 1.23 | 154.83 | 153.09 |
| 88 | 125 | 181.28 | 4.13 | 184.20 | 178.36 |
| 88 | 136 | 211.11 | 0.11 | 211.19 | 211.03 |
| 90 | 317 | 166.69 | 0.07 | 166.74 | 166.64 |
| 90 | 318 | 196.02 | 0.06 | 196.06 | 195.98 |
| 90 | 319 | 207.76 | 1.82 | 209.05 | 206.47 |
| 91 | 115 | 131.89 | 0.02 | 131.90 | 131.88 |
| 91 | 119 | 131.22 | 0.24 | 131.39 | 131.04 |
| 91 | 122 | 206.45 | 0.50 | 206.80 | 206.10 |
| 92 | 116 | 132.08 | 0.09 | 132.15 | 132.02 |
| 92 | 125 | 147.64 | 0.06 | 147.69 | 147.60 |
| 92 | 130 | 226.63 | 0.61 | 227.06 | 226.20 |
| 93 | 110 | 156.20 | 0.84 | 156.80 | 155.61 |
| 93 | 120 | 132.78 | 2.27 | 134.38 | 131.18 |
| 93 | 130 | 221.07 | 0.10 | 221.14 | 221.00 |
| 94 | 311 | 152.31 | 0.19 | 152.45 | 152.18 |
| 94 | 312 | 147.91 | 0.28 | 148.10 | 147.71 |
| 94 | 313 | 140.15 | 0.23 | 140.31 | 139.98 |
| 95 | 108 | 156.01 | 0.22 | 156.16 | 155.85 |
| 95 | 112 | 120.29 | 0.02 | 120.30 | 120.28 |
| 95 | 136 | 208.92 | 0.73 | 209.44 | 208.40 |
| 96 | 110 | 146.82 | 0.01 | 146.83 | 146.81 |
| 96 | 113 | 115.67 | 0.02 | 115.69 | 115.66 |
| 96 | 135 | 210.62 | 0.20 | 210.76 | 210.47 |
| 97 | 121 | 142.87 | 0.12 | 142.95 | 142.78 |
| 97 | 122 | 159.96 | 0.00 | 159.96 | 159.96 |
| 97 | 123 | 119.08 | 0.21 | 119.22 | 118.93 |
| 98 | 309 | 153.85 | 0.19 | 153.99 | 153.72 |
| 98 | 320 | 94.96 | 0.09 | 95.03 | 94.90 |
| 98 | 321 | 113.87 | 0.06 | 113.92 | 113.83 |
| 99 | 109 | 153.89 | 0.40 | 154.17 | 153.61 |


| 99 | 115 | 93.03 | 0.41 | 93.32 | 92.74 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 99 | 129 | 221.48 | 0.06 | 221.52 | 221.44 |
| 100 | 121 | 91.10 | 0.29 | 91.31 | 90.89 |
| 100 | 122 | 114.49 | 0.10 | 114.55 | 114.42 |
| 100 | 123 | 112.25 | 0.19 | 112.39 | 112.12 |
| 101 | 305 | 149.58 | 0.38 | 149.85 | 149.31 |
| 101 | 311 | 128.00 | 0.37 | 128.26 | 127.73 |
| 101 | 319 | 78.30 | 0.03 | 78.32 | 78.28 |
| 102 | 109 | 151.10 | 0.47 | 151.43 | 150.77 |
| 102 | 111 | 128.72 | 0.05 | 128.75 | 128.68 |
| 102 | 136 | 209.50 | 0.17 | 209.62 | 209.38 |
| 103 | 109 | 149.23 | 0.16 | 149.34 | 149.11 |
| 103 | 120 | 77.86 | 0.29 | 78.06 | 77.65 |
| 103 | 131 | 220.21 | 0.02 | 220.22 | 220.19 |
| 104 | 117 | 86.09 | 1.54 | 87.17 | 85.00 |
| 104 | 118 | 83.34 | 1.85 | 84.65 | 82.04 |
| 104 | 119 | 78.41 | 2.17 | 79.95 | 76.88 |
| 105 | 103 | 147.69 | 0.34 | 147.93 | 147.44 |
| 105 | 109 | 146.42 | 0.09 | 146.48 | 146.36 |
| 105 | 135 | 210.15 | 0.16 | 210.27 | 210.04 |
| 106 | 115 | 72.99 | 0.17 | 73.11 | 72.88 |
| 106 | 117 | 64.39 | 0.16 | 64.50 | 64.28 |
| 106 | 123 | 50.35 | 0.26 | 50.54 | 50.17 |
| 107 | 107 | 145.67 | 0.37 | 145.93 | 145.40 |
| 107 | 118 | 58.62 | 0.75 | 59.15 | 58.09 |
| 107 | 129 | 203.57 | 0.19 | 203.70 | 203.43 |
| 108 | 117 | 72.62 | 0.49 | 72.96 | 72.27 |
| 108 | 122 | 58.74 | 0.00 | 58.74 | 58.74 |
| 108 | 133 | 219.89 | 1.06 | 220.64 | 219.14 |
| 109 | 107 | 140.24 | 0.17 | 140.36 | 140.12 |
| 109 | 111 | 132.06 | 0.08 | 132.12 | 132.01 |
| 109 | 125 | 12.77 | 0.02 | 12.78 | 12.75 |
| 109 | 127 | 15.16 | 1.49 | 16.22 | 14.11 |
| 110 | 109 | 139.47 | 0.11 | 139.55 | 139.39 |
| 110 | 115 | 79.75 | 0.04 | 79.78 | 79.72 |
| 110 | 133 | 214.66 | 0.02 | 214.67 | 214.65 |
| 112 | 101 | 136.78 | 0.03 | 136.80 | 136.76 |
| 112 | 111 | 140.39 | 0.44 | 140.70 | 140.07 |
| 112 | 133 | 209.84 | 0.05 | 209.88 | 209.81 |
| 113 | 112 | 114.83 | 0.03 | 114.85 | 114.81 |
| 113 | 119 | 52.82 | 0.57 | 53.22 | 52.41 |
| 113 | 133 | 213.31 | 0.06 | 213.35 | 213.27 |
| 114 | 101 | 137.04 | 0.22 | 137.20 | 136.88 |
| 114 | 107 | 138.81 | 0.08 | 138.87 | 138.75 |
| 114 | 121 | 42.27 | 0.24 | 42.44 | 42.10 |
| 115 | 107 | 136.45 | 0.32 | 136.68 | 136.23 |
| 115 | 118 | 49.74 | 0.52 | 50.11 | 49.37 |


| 115 | 129 | 132.66 | 0.18 | 132.79 | 132.54 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 116 | 109 | 134.98 | 0.02 | 134.99 | 134.97 |
| 116 | 115 | 77.13 | 0.05 | 77.17 | 77.10 |
| 116 | 130 | 124.04 | 0.09 | 124.11 | 123.98 |
| 116 | 136 | 207.06 | 1.37 | 208.02 | 206.09 |
| 117 | 105 | 136.14 | 0.11 | 136.22 | 136.06 |
| 117 | 113 | 105.25 | 1.82 | 106.53 | 103.96 |
| 117 | 119 | 54.59 | 0.17 | 54.71 | 54.47 |
| 118 | 106 | 137.60 | 0.00 | 137.60 | 137.60 |
| 118 | 119 | 52.60 | 0.26 | 52.79 | 52.42 |
| 118 | 134 | 206.36 | 0.26 | 206.54 | 206.17 |
| 119 | 107 | 136.94 | 0.12 | 137.02 | 136.86 |
| 119 | 118 | 90.47 | 0.09 | 90.54 | 90.41 |
| 119 | 132 | 193.18 | 0.55 | 193.57 | 192.79 |
| 120 | 105 | 136.64 | 0.44 | 136.94 | 136.33 |
| 120 | 107 | 135.77 | 0.09 | 135.84 | 135.71 |
| 120 | 109 | 130.14 | 0.08 | 130.19 | 130.08 |
| 121 | 101 | 138.41 | 0.30 | 138.63 | 138.20 |
| 121 | 112 | 111.18 | 0.14 | 111.28 | 111.08 |
| 122 | 107 | 125.29 | 0.22 | 125.45 | 125.14 |
| 122 | 114 | 90.48 | 0.18 | 90.61 | 90.35 |
| 122 | 123 | 10.41 | 0.24 | 10.58 | 10.24 |
| 123 | 209 | 95.67 | 0.17 | 95.79 | 95.56 |
| 123 | 216 | 8.92 | 0.28 | 9.11 | 8.72 |
| 123 | 224 | 203.08 | 0.71 | 203.58 | 202.58 |
| 124 | 105 | 125.81 | 0.26 | 125.99 | 125.63 |
| 126 | 208 | 113.04 | 0.09 | 113.11 | 112.98 |
| 126 | 210 | 102.76 | 0.04 | 102.79 | 102.74 |
| 126 | 223 | 4.22 | 0.21 | 4.37 | 4.07 |
| 127 | 105 | 126.80 | 0.41 | 127.09 | 126.51 |
| 127 | 115 | 86.39 | 0.07 | 86.45 | 86.34 |
| 127 | 128 | 7.06 | 0.14 | 7.16 | 6.96 |
| 128 | 105 | 135.76 | 0.13 | 135.85 | 135.66 |
| 128 | 111 | 95.18 | 0.26 | 95.36 | 95.00 |
| 128 | 115 | 89.43 | 0.05 | 89.47 | 89.39 |
| 129 | 109 | 105.27 | 0.23 | 105.43 | 105.11 |
| 129 | 117 | 77.52 | 0.16 | 77.63 | 77.41 |
| 129 | 120 | 35.31 | 0.00 | 35.31 | 35.31 |
| 133 | 102 | 154.55 | 0.56 | 154.94 | 154.15 |
| 133 | 110 | 115.75 | 0.34 | 115.99 | 115.51 |
| 133 | 131 | 47.79 | 0.13 | 47.88 | 47.70 |
| 134 | 101 | 156.60 | 0.27 | 156.79 | 156.41 |
| 134 | 120 | 26.66 | 0.21 | 26.81 | 26.51 |
| 134 | 132 | 61.31 | 0.03 | 61.34 | 61.29 |
| 135 | 101 | 155.87 | 0.02 | 155.89 | 155.86 |
| 135 | 102 | 157.09 | 1.08 | 157.86 | 156.33 |
| 135 | 103 | 157.20 | 0.32 | 157.42 | 156.97 |


| 135 | 104 | 154.09 | 0.01 | 154.09 | 154.08 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 135 | 105 | 148.16 | 0.45 | 148.48 | 147.84 |
| 135 | 106 | 140.24 | 0.69 | 140.73 | 139.75 |
| 136 | 107 | 133.07 | 0.11 | 133.14 | 132.99 |
| 136 | 109 | 110.19 | 0.11 | 110.27 | 110.12 |
| 136 | 111 | 97.37 | 0.12 | 97.46 | 97.29 |
| 136 | 120 | 50.33 | 0.15 | 50.43 | 50.23 |
| 136 | 121 | 33.92 | 0.06 | 33.96 | 33.88 |
| 136 | 136 | 202.37 | 0.04 | 202.40 | 202.34 |
| 137 | 101 | 157.86 | 0.11 | 157.94 | 157.78 |
| 137 | 105 | 148.59 | 0.22 | 148.74 | 148.44 |
| 137 | 109 | 125.80 | 0.19 | 125.93 | 125.66 |
| 138 | 102 | 157.78 | 0.45 | 158.10 | 157.46 |
| 138 | 113 | 98.16 | 0.03 | 98.18 | 98.14 |
| 138 | 115 | 90.77 | 0.13 | 90.86 | 90.67 |
| 139 | 101 | 158.81 | 0.76 | 159.34 | 158.27 |
| 139 | 105 | 144.00 | 0.25 | 144.18 | 143.83 |
| 139 | 109 | 111.72 | 0.12 | 111.81 | 111.64 |
| 139 | 113 | 86.59 | 0.27 | 86.78 | 86.40 |
| 139 | 119 | 62.59 | 0.05 | 62.63 | 62.55 |
| 139 | 136 | 201.29 | 0.44 | 201.61 | 200.98 |
| 140 | 107 | 131.26 | 1.43 | 132.27 | 130.25 |
| 140 | 109 | 115.10 | 0.22 | 115.26 | 114.95 |
| 140 | 133 | 120.92 | 1.38 | 121.89 | 119.94 |
| 141 | 103 | 148.77 | 0.14 | 148.87 | 148.67 |
| 141 | 109 | 119.49 | 0.19 | 119.62 | 119.36 |
| 141 | 136 | 200.72 | 0.06 | 200.76 | 200.68 |
| 142 | 129 | 81.65 | 0.72 | 82.16 | 81.14 |
| 142 | 130 | 91.69 | 0.24 | 91.86 | 91.52 |
| 142 | 131 | 97.46 | 0.16 | 97.57 | 97.35 |
| 142 | 132 | 100.44 | 0.49 | 100.78 | 100.09 |
| 142 | 133 | 59.42 | 1.42 | 60.42 | 58.42 |
| 142 | 134 | 85.26 | 0.98 | 85.95 | 84.57 |
| 142 | 135 | 195.33 | 0.79 | 195.89 | 194.77 |
| 142 | 136 | 203.50 | 7.76 | 208.99 | 198.02 |
| 143 | 105 | 145.13 | 0.06 | 145.17 | 145.09 |
| 143 | 135 | 174.56 | 0.03 | 174.58 | 174.53 |
| 143 | 136 | 194.56 | 0.26 | 194.74 | 194.37 |
| 144 | 103 | 154.84 | 0.14 | 154.94 | 154.74 |
| 144 | 135 | 163.18 | 0.11 | 163.26 | 163.10 |
| 144 | 136 | 188.09 | 0.11 | 188.17 | 188.02 |
| 146 | 126 | 18.98 | 0.83 | 19.56 | 18.39 |
| 146 | 128 | 70.91 | 0.16 | 71.02 | 70.79 |
| 146 | 130 | 103.51 | 0.91 | 104.15 | 102.86 |
| 146 | 132 | 107.10 | 0.29 | 107.31 | 106.90 |
| 146 | 133 | 112.44 | 0.01 | 112.45 | 112.44 |
| 146 | 134 | 134.44 | 0.07 | 134.48 | 134.39 |


| 146 | 135 | 180.08 | 0.32 | 180.31 | 179.86 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 146 | 136 | 184.97 | 0.11 | 185.04 | 184.89 |
| 147 | 123 | 24.22 | 0.52 | 24.59 | 23.85 |
| 147 | 134 | 187.23 | 0.01 | 187.23 | 187.22 |
| 147 | 136 | 191.99 | 0.16 | 192.10 | 191.87 |
| 148 | 126 | 26.72 | 0.05 | 26.76 | 26.69 |
| 148 | 128 | 53.43 | 0.01 | 53.44 | 53.42 |
| 148 | 130 | 62.78 | 0.08 | 62.83 | 62.72 |
| 148 | 132 | 64.65 | 0.15 | 64.75 | 64.54 |
| 148 | 134 | 103.40 | 0.01 | 103.41 | 103.40 |
| 148 | 135 | 187.27 | 0.04 | 187.30 | 187.25 |
| 148 | 136 | 194.12 | 0.15 | 194.23 | 194.02 |
| 149 | 209 | 116.29 | 0.25 | 116.47 | 116.11 |
| 149 | 221 | 47.99 | 0.05 | 48.03 | 47.96 |
| 149 | 236 | 200.25 | 0.01 | 200.26 | 200.25 |
| 150 | 101 | 147.88 | 0.08 | 147.94 | 147.83 |
| 150 | 119 | 46.98 | 1.26 | 47.88 | 46.09 |
| 150 | 136 | 202.01 | 0.12 | 202.10 | 201.93 |
| 151 | 136 | 203.53 | 0.18 | 203.66 | 203.40 |
| 152 | 117 | 52.88 | 0.19 | 53.01 | 52.74 |
| 152 | 119 | 52.44 | 0.12 | 52.52 | 52.35 |
| 152 | 129 | 47.72 | 0.30 | 47.93 | 47.51 |
| 153 | 309 | 99.22 | 0.06 | 99.26 | 99.17 |
| 153 | 311 | 85.23 | 0.09 | 85.29 | 85.17 |
| 153 | 336 | 202.78 | 0.04 | 202.81 | 202.75 |
| 154 | 101 | 148.89 | 0.31 | 149.11 | 148.67 |
| 154 | 117 | 62.92 | 1.07 | 63.68 | 62.16 |
| 154 | 136 | 203.26 | 0.06 | 203.30 | 203.22 |
| 155 | 111 | 92.69 | 0.08 | 92.75 | 92.64 |
| 155 | 123 | 29.02 | 0.66 | 29.48 | 28.55 |
| 155 | 136 | 202.17 | 0.05 | 202.20 | 202.13 |
| 156 | 103 | 148.21 | 0.24 | 148.37 | 148.04 |
| 156 | 111 | 90.56 | 0.04 | 90.59 | 90.54 |
| 156 | 136 | 205.51 | 0.11 | 205.59 | 205.43 |
| 157 | 301 | 149.32 | 0.51 | 149.68 | 148.96 |
| 157 | 305 | 133.26 | 0.27 | 133.45 | 133.07 |
| 157 | 331 | 60.76 | 0.10 | 60.84 | 60.69 |
| 158 | 101 | 148.52 | 0.56 | 148.92 | 148.13 |
| 158 | 109 | 112.57 | 0.06 | 112.61 | 112.53 |
| 158 | 136 | 198.82 | 0.02 | 198.83 | 198.81 |
| 159 | 115 | 63.75 | 0.07 | 63.80 | 63.69 |
| 159 | 123 | 2.89 | 0.14 | 2.99 | 2.79 |
| 159 | 127 | 34.83 | 0.05 | 34.87 | 34.80 |
| 161 | 101 | 137.12 | 0.45 | 137.45 | 136.80 |
| 161 | 121 | 1.45 | 0.32 | 1.68 | 1.22 |
| 161 | 135 | 197.56 | 0.04 | 197.59 | 197.54 |
| 162 | 105 | 130.14 | 0.15 | 130.24 | 130.03 |


| 162 | 119 | 8.17 | 0.08 | 8.22 | 8.11 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 162 | 130 | 10.82 | 0.41 | 11.11 | 10.53 |
| 163 | 103 | 140.70 | 0.11 | 140.78 | 140.62 |
| 163 | 113 | 81.49 | 0.52 | 81.86 | 81.12 |
| 163 | 129 | 30.66 | 0.04 | 30.70 | 30.63 |
| 164 | 105 | 130.53 | 0.05 | 130.56 | 130.50 |
| 164 | 113 | 55.49 | 0.06 | 55.53 | 55.45 |
| 164 | 127 | 27.49 | 0.29 | 27.69 | 27.28 |
| 165 | 107 | 117.18 | 0.09 | 117.24 | 117.12 |
| 165 | 111 | 97.59 | 0.04 | 97.62 | 97.56 |
| 165 | 133 | 41.69 | 0.29 | 41.90 | 41.49 |
| 166 | 107 | 108.03 | 0.11 | 108.11 | 107.95 |
| 166 | 111 | 68.51 | 0.32 | 68.74 | 68.28 |
| 166 | 136 | 198.18 | 0.03 | 198.19 | 198.16 |
| 167 | 103 | 130.15 | 0.13 | 130.24 | 130.06 |
| 167 | 121 | 6.17 | 0.22 | 6.32 | 6.01 |
| 167 | 131 | 14.55 | 0.01 | 14.56 | 14.55 |
| 168 | 305 | 115.46 | 0.09 | 115.53 | 115.40 |
| 168 | 315 | 36.08 | 0.10 | 36.16 | 36.01 |
| 168 | 331 | 5.35 | 0.04 | 5.38 | 5.33 |
| 169 | 103 | 128.09 | 0.06 | 128.13 | 128.05 |
| 169 | 109 | 85.41 | 0.18 | 85.53 | 85.28 |
| 169 | 113 | 51.20 | 0.20 | 51.34 | 51.06 |
| 170 | 105 | 114.24 | 0.50 | 114.59 | 113.88 |
| 170 | 107 | 98.85 | 0.60 | 99.27 | 98.43 |
| 170 | 135 | 197.79 | 0.13 | 197.88 | 197.70 |
| 171 | 107 | 96.57 | 0.03 | 96.59 | 96.55 |
| 171 | 122 | 2.72 | 0.10 | 2.79 | 2.65 |
| 171 | 131 | 154.06 | 0.09 | 154.13 | 154.00 |
| 172 | 303 | 137.35 | 0.10 | 137.42 | 137.28 |
| 172 | 309 | 113.58 | 0.27 | 113.77 | 113.38 |
| 172 | 335 | 198.28 | 0.20 | 198.42 | 198.14 |
| 173 | 103 | 132.63 | 0.10 | 132.70 | 132.56 |
| 173 | 105 | 125.30 | 0.01 | 125.31 | 125.30 |
| 173 | 135 | 198.77 | 0.14 | 198.87 | 198.67 |
| 174 | 110 | 71.57 | 0.06 | 71.62 | 71.53 |
| 174 | 121 | 5.16 | 0.06 | 5.20 | 5.11 |
| 174 | 123 | 1.30 | 0.04 | 1.32 | 1.27 |
| 175 | 106 | 117.08 | 0.04 | 117.11 | 117.05 |
| 175 | 123 | 0.93 | 0.13 | 1.03 | 0.84 |
| 175 | 131 | 8.54 | 0.13 | 8.63 | 8.45 |
| 176 | 105 | 125.69 | 0.04 | 125.72 | 125.67 |
| 176 | 109 | 100.47 | 0.14 | 100.56 | 100.37 |
| 176 | 135 | 203.14 | 0.09 | 203.20 | 203.07 |
| 177 | 103 | 127.93 | 0.04 | 127.95 | 127.90 |
| 177 | 105 | 121.02 | 0.13 | 121.11 | 120.93 |
| 177 | 115 | 23.51 | 0.07 | 23.56 | 23.46 |


| 178 | 111 | 62.90 | 0.22 | 63.06 | 62.74 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 178 | 121 | 0.55 | 0.15 | 0.65 | 0.44 |
| 179 | 102 | 121.45 | 0.12 | 121.53 | 121.36 |
| 179 | 107 | 111.53 | 0.64 | 111.98 | 111.08 |
| 179 | 126 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| 180 | 101 | 122.62 | 0.05 | 122.66 | 122.59 |
| 180 | 121 | 0.74 | 0.28 | 0.94 | 0.54 |
| 180 | 131 | 42.70 | 0.17 | 42.82 | 42.58 |
| 181 | 111 | 75.05 | 0.43 | 75.36 | 74.75 |
| 181 | 115 | 24.91 | 0.16 | 25.03 | 24.80 |
| 181 | 129 | 0.61 | 0.26 | 0.79 | 0.42 |
| 182 | 106 | 108.51 | 0.12 | 108.60 | 108.43 |
| 182 | 114 | 32.66 | 0.14 | 32.76 | 32.56 |
| 182 | 132 | 214.37 | 0.01 | 214.38 | 214.36 |
| 183 | 107 | 108.97 | 0.17 | 109.09 | 108.85 |
| 183 | 123 | 1.34 | 0.29 | 1.54 | 1.14 |
| 184 | 102 | 119.24 | 0.01 | 119.25 | 119.23 |
| 184 | 122 | 0.86 | 0.42 | 1.16 | 0.56 |
| 184 | 134 | 222.53 | 0.11 | 222.61 | 222.46 |
| 185 | 105 | 115.62 | 0.01 | 115.62 | 115.61 |
| 185 | 115 | 17.86 | 0.20 | 18.00 | 17.72 |
| 185 | 135 | 214.99 | 0.47 | 215.32 | 214.66 |
| 186 | 109 | 71.19 | 0.07 | 71.24 | 71.15 |
| 186 | 135 | 223.33 | 0.04 | 223.36 | 223.30 |
| 187 | 115 | 17.60 | 0.02 | 17.62 | 17.58 |
| 187 | 129 | 3.54 | 0.06 | 3.59 | 3.50 |
| 188 | 309 | 82.56 | 0.08 | 82.61 | 82.50 |
| 188 | 317 | 10.89 | 0.13 | 10.98 | 10.79 |
| 188 | 331 | 27.36 | 0.02 | 27.37 | 27.35 |
| 189 | 105 | 112.91 | 0.45 | 113.23 | 112.59 |
| 189 | 115 | 22.57 | 0.13 | 22.66 | 22.48 |
| 189 | 125 | 1.38 | 0.42 | 1.67 | 1.08 |
| 190 | 101 | 115.69 | 0.15 | 115.79 | 115.59 |
| 190 | 121 | 4.19 | 0.03 | 4.21 | 4.17 |
| 191 | 107 | 64.78 | 0.11 | 64.85 | 64.70 |
| 191 | 115 | 1.43 | 0.01 | 1.44 | 1.42 |
| 192 | 103 | 61.19 | 1.11 | 61.98 | 60.41 |
| 192 | 111 | 10.17 | 0.19 | 10.31 | 10.04 |
| 192 | 123 | 21.75 | 0.06 | 21.79 | 21.70 |
| 193 | 101 | 11.38 | 0.17 | 11.50 | 11.26 |
| 193 | 109 | 1.21 | 0.05 | 1.25 | 1.18 |
| 193 | 115 | 51.30 | 0.30 | 51.51 | 51.09 |
| 194 | 105 | 25.13 | 0.33 | 25.36 | 24.90 |
| 194 | 109 | 153.84 | 1.47 | 154.82 | 152.15 |

## APPENDIX 7. Bottle Salinity Measurement techniques on WOCE P18 (CGC94)

Bottle salinity measurements on section P18 were made by Gregg Thomas (NOAAAOML). The salinity analysis was accomplished using two Guildline Model 8400A inductive autosalinimoters standardized with IAPSO Standard Seawater batch P114. The instruments were located in a temperature controlled van. The autosalinometer in use was standardized before each run and either at the end of each run or after no more than 48 samples. The drift between standardizations was monitored and the individual samples were corrected for that drift by linear interpolation. Duplicate samples taken from the deepest bottle on each cast were analyzedon a subsequent day. Bottle salinities were compared with preliminary CTD salinities to aid in identification of leaking bottles as well as to monitor the CTD conductivity cells' performance and drift.

The expected precision of the autosalinometer with an accomplished operator is 0.001 pss, with an accuracy of 0.003 . To assess the precision of discrete salinity measurements on this cruise, a comparison is made for data from the instances in which two bottles were tripped within 10 dbar of each other at the same station below a depth of 2000 dbar . For the 138 instances in which both bottles of the pair have acceptable salinity measurements, the standard deviation of the differences is 0.0012 pss. This value is very close to the expected precision.

## APPENDIX 8. Nutrient Measurement techniques on WOCE P18 (CGC94)

## Nutrients

by K.A. Krogslund and C.W. Mordy (8 May, 1996)

## Equipment and Analytical Methods

An Alpkem RFA/2(trademark) autoanalyzer was used to determine dissolved concentrations of silicate $\left(\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right)$, phosphate $\left(\mathrm{HPO}_{4}^{-3}\right)$ nitrate $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{\circ}\right)$ and nitrite $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{\circ}\right)$. Measurements were made in a temperature controlled laboratory which was maintained at $21( \pm) 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The following analytical methods were employed:

Silicate was converted to silicomolybdic acid and reduced with stannous chloride to form silicomolybdous acid or molybdenum blue (Armstrong, 1967).

Phosphate was converted to phosphomolybdic acid and reduced with ascorbic acid to form phosphomolybdous acid in a reaction stream heated to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Bernhardt and Wilhelms, 1967).

Nitrite was diazotized with sulfanilamide and coupled with NEDA to from a red azo dye.
Nitrate+Nitrite was measured by first reducing nitrate to nitrite in a copperized cadmium coil, and then analyzing for nitrite. Nitrate was determined from the difference of nitrate+nitrite and nitrite (Armstrong, 1967).

## Sampling Procedures

Nutrient samples were collected from 10-liter Niskin bottles in aged 20 ml high density polyethylene scintillation vials closed with teflon lined polyethylene caps. All vials and caps were rinsed with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and deionized water prior to each station, and rinsed at least three times with sample before filling. Samples were usually analyzed immediately after collection; however, some samples were stored for up to 12 hours at $4-6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Calibrations and Standards

Standard material for dissolved silicate was sodium fluorosilicate which had been referenced against a fused-quartz standard. Primary standards were prepared by dissolving standard material in deionized water, and working standards were prepared in low nutrient seawater. At each station, seven concentrations of working standard were freshly prepared and analyzed prior to sample analysis, and the highest standard was again analyzed after the last sample. This allowed for regular monitoring of the response, drift and linearity of each chemistry. All analysis were within the linear range of the instrument. Concentrations were converted to $\mu \mathrm{moles} / \mathrm{kg}$ by calculating sample densities using the laboratory temperature of $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the practical salinity scale (UNESCO, 1981).

Precision
Analytical precision was determined by replicate measurements (usually 4-5 measurements) on 46 samples from depths greater than 100 m . The average standard deviations of these precision tests were (micromoles $/ \mathrm{kg}$ ) 1.1 silicate, 0.015 phosphate, and 0.22 nitrate; and the average percent deviations were $0.56 \%$ silicate, $0.84 \%$ phosphate, and $0.59 \%$ nitrate.

## References
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APPENDIX 9a. Responses to WOCE DQE of CTD data
Dear Mark,
Thank you for your DQE evaluation of CTD data collected along WOCE section P18. We considered each of your suggestions and the following is an itemized explanation of what we did or didn't change in our data files, as well as answers to your questions.

Kristy McTaggart and Greg Johnson

## STATION SUMMARY FILE (.sum)

.sum files here were ammended to contain the same maximum pressure values for stations $25,27,32,46,61$, and 78 as you listed.

The PDR sound speed used for sounder readings was $1500 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. The readings were not corrected for transducer depth below the waterline. The depth of the transducer would've been about $5.5 \pm 0.6 \mathrm{~m}$. We would prefer to use the PDR depths as listed and correct them using Carter's tables so that they serve as independent measurements and can be used as a check on CTD pressure.

## SALINITY

Regarding suspicious CTD salinity data listed in Table 4:

| station 24 | 2-6 dbar | flags not changed to 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| station 51 | 84 dbar | flag changed to 3 |
| station 52 | 74 dbar | flag changed to 3 |
| station 53 | 70 dbar | flag changed to 3 |
| station 55 |  | flags not changed to 3 |
| station 67 | 46 dbar | flag changed to 3 |

'Scatter of salinity residuals'
There is an incompatibility between the General Oceanics rosette sampler and the SeaBird 911 plus CTD system that generates a spike in the data stream at the moment a bottle is confirmed as tripped. Because of this, upcast CTD burst data had to be averaged prior to the bottle confirm bit. Two-second averages were chosen over a longer interval because the CTD operators did not always let the package sit at bottle depth for at least 10 seconds before firing the rosette. Hence no changes were made.
'Biasing of CTD salinity data for individual stations'
Of course one can seemingly make a (very slight) improvement in the CTD-bottle residual statistics by allowing more degrees of freedom in the fit as the DQE has suggested (that
is, breaking up the fit into small station groupings). One could get the best statistics by individually fitting each station to its bottles, but most experts would argue that this would be a bad choice, because one would not be taking advantage of the CTD calibration as a way to average out station-to-station bottle salinity noise.

We believe that the SBE-9/11 CTD conductivity slope drifts gradually, and is actually more stable than the day-to-day fluctuations in the autosal- inometer salinities owing to small temperature drifts in the laboratory and the fact that severe budgetary constraints on these cruises forced us to economize even on such things as standard sea water. We suspect that the "biasing of the CTD salinity data" mentioned in the DQE evaluations is actually noise in the bottle data. Somewhat suspicious is that the station groupings recommended by the DQE of the correct size (most often 3-5 stations per group) that they could easily be owing to daily drift problems in the autosalinometer. For our original calibrations we deliberately chose to model the conductivity slope adjustments of the entire data sets for P14S/P15S and P18 using 4th-order polynomial functions of station number to average out bottle salinity noise. We did this because we saw no obvious jumps in the CTD calibration for either cruise, just gradual drifts.

Statistical support for our philosophy over that of the DQE is given by the following exercise: The $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ potential isotherm is well within the oldest Pacific Deep Water, and has some of the tightest Theta-S relation- ships in the Pacific Ocean (and probably the world). For both P18 and P14S/P15S, we looked at the absolute values of station-to-station changes in CTD salinity on Theta $=2.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 1) for our original calibration, creating a histogram of station-to-station differences for each cruise in 0.001 bins. We then applied the DQE's suggested ad-hoc calibrations for smaller station groupings to the data and conducted the same analysis. When the histograms are differenced (Figure 2), one can see that the Theta-S relations at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after the DQE's corrections are noisier for both cruises. For P18, after the DQE's suggested correction there are four less station pairs in the 0.000 difference bin and one less in the 0.001 difference bin whereas there are three more in the 0.002 difference bin and two more in the 0.003 difference bin. For P15S/P15S there are four less stations in the 0.000 difference bin after the DQE's suggested correction, with one more in the 0.001 difference bin and three more in the 0.002 difference bin. Since the DQE's "corrections" actually introduce more noise in the CTD Theta-S relation at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than our original calibration, we decline application of them. The small groups do not improve the calibraiton, they degrade, perhaps by introducing autosalinometer drift noise.

## OXYGEN

Rankings for stations as listed in Table 6 were complied with except for station 160, which is closer to a rating of 2 than 1 and was flagged as 3 not 4 . A cutoff of 3750 dbar was used to reflag the deep data of stations 21 and 22; 3400 dbar for station 65; 3200 dbar for station 67; and 2200 dbar for station 85 . Note all flags of 6,7 , or 8 were preserved in the reflagging.

Poor oxygen data were owing to poor sensor performance not to the data processing or curve fitting. A few worst case groupings were reexamined using two sets of fit
coefficients blended near the oxygen minimum as was done for P14S/P15S. However, there was no significant improvement. Unfortunately, only one oxygen module was available for this cruise due to severe budgetary constraints, and it was not a good one.

Suspicious oxygen data listed in Table 5 were examined and near surface data were reflagged as 3 as suggested. Note that data files submitted before and after the DQE evaluation are 1 dbar averages, not the 2 dbar averages referenced. For suspicious oxygen data deeper in the water column, these were interpolated over and flagged as 6 (stations 30, 69, 70, 71-74, 128, 153, and 180). The shift in oxygen data between 2084 and 2384 dbar for station 188 was flagged as 3 and not interpolated over. Again, all flags of 6,7 , or 8 were preserved in the reflagging.

Stations 26, 89 and 160 were viewed with adjacent profiles and their bottles. Station 26 and 89 oxygen profiles were flagged as 4 as suggested in Table 6. Station 160, however, looked to be closer to a rating of 2 than 1 and was flagged as 3 not 4 .

CTDOXY flags in the .sea file were changed to 4 for all the station samples you listed. Also, CTDOXY flags were changed to 4 where profiles were recently interpolated as a result of DQE suggestions:

| station | 30 | sample | 121 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 |  | 107 |  |
| 73 | 108 |  |  |
|  | 180 |  | 111 |

## TEMPERATURE

There is a typo in the data report. The value of the drift for temperature sensor T1461 is 0.0006 C . Temperature calibrations were applied to the data using Seasoft processing module DATCNV which reads the sensor's .con file for coefficients.

## DESPIKING, INTERPOLATION AND FLAGS

The flag value of 8 used near the surface in the .ctd files represent data that were continued to the surface from the first assumed good value. For P14S/P15S we used 7. For P18, this procedure was done in program POSTCAL where temp, cond, oxc and oxt were copied back and flagged as 8 , then salinity was recomputed and flagged as 2 in most cases. Despiking done after POSTCAL changed some flags to 6 . Flags of 8 were left in the data files for this cruise.

As for the large blocks of interpolated data (mostly oxygen) listed in Table 2, we maintain that this is the best way to deal with these data from a poor and failing sensor. Flags of 6 (as well as 7 and 8 ) have been preserved even when reflagging the entire oxygen profile as suggested in Table 6.

## DENSITY INVERSIONS

Original data submitted for P18 were not examined for small density inversions. In response to the DQE evaluation, program DELOOP, as applied to P14S/P15S, with an $\mathrm{N}^{\wedge} 2$ criteria of $-3 \times 10 \mathrm{e}-6$ was applied to P18 profiles. Over $82 \%$ of the density inversions listed in Table 7 were interpolated over. Delooped 1 dbar averaged data files with all the changes noted above are resubmitted along with this reply to the DQE.

## DOCUMENTATION

Again, the PDR sound speed was $1500 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, and the readings have not been corrected for transducer depth ( $5.5 \pm 0.6 \mathrm{~m}$ ) below the waterline.

Station groupings used for oxygen calibrations and final values of fit parameters are given in a separate oxygen calibration table.

Oxygen calibration problems were owing to poor sensor performance.
Temperature pre- and post-cruise calibration difference for sensor T1461 was a typo in the documentation and should read $-0.0006^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

More frequent flagging of surface temperatures compared to surface salinities is explained in the previous section, DESPIKING, INTERPOLATION AND FLAGS.

Data files submitted to the WOCE office were 1 dbar averages, not 2 dbar .
APPENDIX 9b. Responses to WOCE DQE of nutrient data
P18 Data Quality Control: Nutrients
C.W. Mordy response to Mantyla Evaluation

Edits Resulting from Mantyla's Comments:
Sta 23: Silicates flagged as uncertain. Same and Mantyla
Sta 86 \& 87: Deep $\mathrm{PO}_{4} \mathrm{~s}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ are higher than surrounding stations ( 85 \& 88). Flagged Sta 87 bottles 101-119 $\mathrm{PO}_{4} \& \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ as uncertain, flagged Sta 86 bottles 101-118 $\mathrm{PO}_{4} \& \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ as uncertain. Mantyla suggested deep $\mathrm{PO}_{4} \mathrm{~s}$ be flagged 3.

Sta 88: Nitrates flagged as "ok" except for bottles 117 \& 101. Same as Mantyla.
Sta 148: Bottle $126 \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ flagged as uncertain, same as Mantyla.
Sta 191: Bottle $113 \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ flagged as uncertain, same as Mantyla.

Zero Silicates: ND would be perhaps more appropriate. Note that P4, P21 and P6 all have zero values in the region. P17E has values of $2-3 \mathrm{uM}$ near the crossing while P18 data has lots of scatter. 99 bottles with zero silicates were given an uncertain flag.

Other edits:

## Silicic Acid

The following were flagged as uncertain in agreement with Mantyla: 8:303, 11:118, 18:103, 31:116, 32:121-124, 113:135, 117:104, 189:103.

## Nitrate

The following were flagged as uncertain in agreement with Mantyla: 8:303, 10:323, $12: 123,13: 117,21: 113,31: 116,75: 102-103,91: 102,95: 136,107: 106,120: 104,140: 101$, 156:106, 179:111, 180:114, 186:113, 188:303, 190:113, 190:103.
The suggestion to flag Sta 163:107 as uncertain was not taken as the measurement was within the scatter of the profile.

## Phosphate

The following were flagged as uncertain in agreement with Mantyla: 8:303, 18:102, 26:317, $31: 122$ \& 116, 35:102, 56:209, 79:101-116, 83:101-119, 86:103, 94:301-318, 95:136, 135:119, 140:101-118, 144:112, 155:114, 156:106, 166:123 \& 131, 179:106-116, 190:113. The following suggested changes from flag 2 to 3 were not taken: 31:116, 56:209, 83:120, 117:101, 132:101, 166:132.

## Nitrite

The following were flagged as uncertain in agreement with Mantyla: 8:303, 11:116, 88:18-101. Sta 49:107, $55: 335,117: 109,125: 107,142: 119,148: 126,191: 114$ were flagged as 4 (in agreement with all other nuts).

## APPENDIX 9c. Responses to WOCE DQE of oxygen data

All of the flag changes and sample changes suggested by A. Mantyla were accepted.
For station 169, samples 105 to 108 were shifted to one depth shallower, samples 108 and 109 averaged and sample 105 set to -9, flagged as 5 .

SO, for station 169
sample 108 averaged with 109 as sample 109
sample 107 becomes sample 108, sample 106 becomes sample 107, sample 105 becomes sample 106, sample 105 is set to -9 , flag $=5$.

For station 192:
no sample for \# 104, samples 105 to 107 should be one bottle deeper and no listing for sample 107,

SO, for station 192
sample 105 becomes sample 104, sample 106 becomes sample 105, sample 107 becomes sample 106, sample 107 is set to -9 , flag $=5$.

In addition, the following flags were changed:

| sta | samp | oldflag | newflag |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 104 | 2 | 3 |
| 22 | 105 | 2 | 3 |
| 90 | 304 | 2 | 3 |
| 92 | 115 | 2 | 3 |
| 93 | 117 | 2 | 3 |
| 95 | 102 | 2 | 3 |
| 96 | 109 | 2 | 3 |
| 96 | 107 | 2 | 3 |
| 103 | 135 | 2 | 3 |
| 115 | 135 | 2 | 3 |
| 116 | 108 | 2 | 3 |
| 119 | 111 | 2 | 3 |
| 126 | 226 | 3 | 2 |
| 148 | 126 | 6 | 3 |
| 152 | 113 | 2 | 3 |
| 152 | 110 | 2 | 3 |
| 155 | 101 | 2 | 3 |
| 157 | 303 | 2 | 3 |
| 163 | 107 | 2 | 3 |
| 164 | 111 | 3 | 2 |
| 191 | 114 | 2 | 3 |

# DQE Evaluation of CTD data for RV Discoverer Cruise along WOCE Section P18 (S and N) <br> Expocode 31DSCG94_2 and 31DSCG94_3 

Mark Rosenberg, November 1998

This report contains a data quality evaluation of the CTD data files for the Pacific sector cruise along WOCE meridional section P18 (S and N) (Figure 1) on the RV Discoverer in February to April, 1994. Bottle data are evaluated by Arnold Mantyla in a separate report. The data provide a useful contiguous meridional section from Antarctic through to tropical waters.

P18 (1994) and P14S/P15S (1996) CTD data were collected by the same group, and several of the problems noted here are shared with the 1996 cruise, and are already described in the P14S/P15S DQE report (most notably the biasing of salinity data for whole stations). Some of the problems found in the P18 data are much improved in the later P14S/P15S cruise data (most notably CTD oxygen data quality).

2 dbar CTD data were examined for stations 10 to 194. CTD files for stations 2 to 7 from the East Blanco Depression were not available. Upcast CTD burst data in the .sea file were examined for all stations. In general, salinity data are of good quality, while CTD oxygen data quality is mixed.

## Station Summary File (.sum)

- The maximum pressure value for several stations was missing in the .sum file. The following values were obtained from the .ctd files, and inserted into the .sum file:

| station | max press |
| :--- | :--- |
| 25 | 4648 |
| 27 | 4832 |
| 32 | 4608 |
| 46 | 3914 |
| 61 | 3866 |
| 78 | 3410 |

- Sound speed and transducer depth information for the ship's sounder were not provided in the documentation. "Corrected depth" (.sum file) was therefore calculated from the CTD at the bottom of the cast i.e. altimeter reading + maximum CTD pressure recalculated in meters (using the method of Saunders and Fofonoff, 1976). For stations with no altimeter reading, no corrected depth was calculated. These corrected depth values are in an ascii file corrdepth.dat, and have not been merged into the .sum file.


## Salinity

In the following discussion, only CTD and bottle values with a quality flag of 2 are considered (i.e. QUALT1=2 for CTDSAL and SALNTY in the .sea file). See Table 4 for a station by station summary of salinity data problems.

## Scatter of salinity residuals

The salinity residual data $\Delta S$ (where $\Delta S=$ bottle - CTD salinity difference) for all depths is shown in Figure 2. Outliers were rejected iteratively by the data processors, as described in the cruise report. Below 500 dbar, scatter of $\Delta S$ is greatly reduced (Figure 3). In steep gradients above 500 dbar, the sign of the residual appears to be consistent in most cases with the salinity gradient direction (assuming CTD sensors are below the bottles on the rosette package). As for P14S/P15S, I recommend increasing the averaging period for CTD burst data to 10 seconds. Obviously there will still be a residual in the steepest gradients, however the increased averaging period may help decrease residuals in less dramatic gradients when the ship is rolling during bottle stops.

## Biasing of CTD salinity data for individual stations

Standard deviations for $\Delta S$ for the whole cruise were calculated from data in the .sea file ("uncorrected data" in Table 1). The value of 0.0017, calculated using all sampling depths and $|\Delta S| \leq 0.008$, is a reasonable estimate of the salinity accuracy for the cruise. The same biasing problem for individual stations exists as described in the P14S/P15S DQE report. When the cruise is viewed as a whole, the salinity accuracy meets WOCE requirements and $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ varies about a mean of zero (Figures 2 and 3 ). However when individual stations are examined, there is a clear biasing of CTD salinity data (e.g. stations 113 to 115 in Figure 3, where $\Delta S$ clearly negative). This biasing is a direct result of the conductivity calibration method, where the whole cruise is fitted in one group and the fourth order station dependent slope correction fails to fully track the variation of conductivity sensor behaviour over the cruise. Breaking down the stations into smaller calibration groups is strongly recommended - this would allow the station dependent slope correction to remove the bias for individual stations.

I've repeated the exercise performed on the P14S/P15S data, doing an extra fit to the $\Delta S$ data to demonstrate the advantages of refining station grouping for the conductivity calibration - see the P14S/P15S DQE report for the method. The resulting $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{btl}}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{cor}}$ residuals for depths below 500 dbar are plotted in Figure 4. Standard deviation calculations for these "corrected" data are shown in Table 1.

There is only a small improvement to standard deviations calculated for the whole cruise (Table 1), however there is a marked improvement to the biasing of individual stations (Figure 5 shows some examples). Clearly, breaking down a cruise into smaller station groups for the calibration of CTD conductivity significantly improves the calibration. As for P14S/P15S, the correction done here is only a rough version - for a real calibration on selected station groups, groups would be selected with a linear variation of station mean $\Delta S$,
allowing the station dependent slope correction to take effect within each group and giving even better calibration results.

Table 1: Standard deviations for salinity residuals $\Delta \mathbf{S}$ (using only bottle and CTD data for which the quality flag=2), where "uncorrected data" are as submitted to WHPO, and corrected data are with additional $\Delta$ S fit applied.
standard deviation of
standard deviation of
$\Delta \mathrm{S}$, uncorrected data $\quad \Delta \mathrm{S}$, corrected data
all depths
deeper than 500 dbar
all depths, $|\Delta \mathrm{S}| \leq 0.008$
Deepwater $\theta$-S curves
Comparing adjacent stations on deepwater $\theta$-S curves, no outlying stations were found.

## Oxygen

Oxygen residual data (i.e. bottle - CTD oxygen difference) are plotted in Figure 6, noting that large outliers lie beyond the axis limits on the graph. CTD oxygen data quality is in general not good, particularly when compared with the excellent quality for P14S/P15S. From examination of oxygen residual profiles for all stations, the calibration is acceptable for only $\sim 40 \%$ of stations. The curve-fitting results are often poor when compared to bottle profiles, and constant offsets also occur. In many cases oxygen features which persist in the bottle data for a number of consecutive stations are not well described by the CTD oxygen traces e.g. the feature around 2000 dbar for stations 125 to 148; and the feature around 2500 dbar for stations 184 to 191 (Figure 7 shows examples). Table 6 ranks the calibration quality of each station on a scale from 1 (bad) to 5 (good). I suggest the following flagging for the entire CTD oxygen data in the .ctd files:

- stations ranked 5 or 4 are acceptable (accurate to within $\sim 1 \%$ )
- stations ranked 3 or 2 should be flagged as 3 (accurate to within $\sim 2.5 \%$ )
- stations ranked 1 should be flagged as 4

It is hard to tell from the data set whether the poor CTD oxygen data quality is due to poor oxygen sensor performance, or else due to the data processing and curve fitting. From the data report, the processing methodology appears simpler than for the later P14S/P15S cruise - notably, there isn't the blending of 2 sets of fit coefficients as for P14S/P15S. I'd be interested in a comment from the data processors about the source of the problem.

Other relevant notes are as follows:

- The near surface (top ~100 dbar) CTD oxygen data is often unreliable; the top $\sim 40 \mathrm{dbar}$ should be treated with particular caution.
- Many stations appear to have suspicious oxygen data for the top few bins, due to transient sensor errors as the instrument enters the water and the pump winds up. Stations where these errors are greater than $\sim 4 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$, and where there is no matching T/S feature, are
summarised in Table 5. The table also includes suspicious data from deeper down, and a flag of 3 is recommended where these glitches are greater than $\sim 4 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
- For stations 168 to 189 , the oxygen sensor has trouble responding to the rapid fall of oxygen concentration towards zero below the thermocline, a problem common to membrane type sensors in the oxygen depleted layer.
- Stations $26,89,111$ and 160 have no oxygen bottle samples. CTD oxygen data does not compare well with surrounding stations for 26,89 and 160 , and a flag 4 is recommended for the entire CTD oxygen profile; surprisingly, station 111 does compare well with surrounding stations.
- In some cases where CTD oxygen data have been "despiked" in the .ctd file, the unspiked data have been transferred to the CTDOXY value in the .sea file. This occurs for the following samples:

| station | sample | station | sample |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | 128 | 171 | 136 |
| 13 | 136 | 172 | 336,335 |
| 20 | $129,128,126$ | 173 | 136 |
| 22 | 127 | 184 | 136 |
| 35 | 136 | 185 | 136,135 |
| 48 | 124 | 190 | 136,133 |
| 166 | 136 |  |  |
| 167 | 136,135 |  |  |
| 168 | 336 |  |  |
| 169 | $108,107,106,105$ |  |  |
| 170 | 136,135 |  |  |

CTDOXY values for these samples should all be flagged as 4 in the .sea file.

## Temperature

The data report states that data from temperature sensor T1461 were used for stations 9194, and that "post-cruise calibrations showed T1461 to be drifting (offset only) by approximately $-0.006^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ". I am confused about this statement, as the report goes on to say that "T1460 had jumped by $0.002^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, warranting repair". If the pre and post cruise calibrations for sensor T1461 indeed differ by $.006^{\circ}$, this is of great concern: is this the correction done by the program POSTCAL? I'd appreciate if the data processors would clarify this.

## Despiking, Interpolation and Flags

A flag value of 8 has often been used near the surface in the .ctd files. This is an unassigned value. I assume that this was supposed to be the "despiking" flag 7, akin to the flag used for near surface data in the P14S/P15S data, where data has been continued to the surface from the first assumed good value. Note that for P18 data, this occurs more often for temperature than for salinity data (vice versa for P14S/P15S) - l'd be interested in a comment from the data processors here i.e. I would have expected both parameters to be simultaneously flagged out in most cases.

Large blocks of interpolated data (flag 6 in the .ctd files) occur, often in steep gradients, and over intervals up to 200 dbar . Linear interpolation is really only justified over small vertical intervals, and preferrably not in steep gradients. The worst instances are listed in Table 2 below. In all the cases listed, it's better to either leave a data gap and flag as 5 (my recommendation), or else leave the bad data in and flag as bad.

Table 2: Linear interpolations over large vertical blocks, or over large spans of parameter value.

| station | parameter | pressure interval <br> (dbar) | comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | T | $\begin{aligned} & 90-96,100-118, \\ & 130-156 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 13 | 0 | 352-422 | large gap |
| 18 | 0 | 314-512 | large gap |
| 20 | O | 102-308 | large gap |
| 22 | O | 374-558 | large gap |
| 81 | O | 274-432 | large gap |
| 90 | O | 376-420 | large gap |
| 175 | O | 128-152 | large data span, $\sim 90 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 178 | O | 86-102 | large data span, $\sim 60 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 179 | O | 70-94 | large data span, $\sim 60 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 180 | O | 82-110 | large data span, $\sim 100 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 180 | 0 | 116-136 | large data span, $\sim 90 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 183 | 0 | 82-110 | large data span, $\sim 70 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 183 | 0 | 116-140 | large data span, $\sim 60 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 184 | O | 90-118 | large data span, $\sim 90 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 185 | O | 78-88 | large data span, $\sim 100 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 186 | O | 62-94 | large data span, $\sim 100 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 190 | O | 74-86 | large data span, $\sim 80 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 193 | O | 8-24 | large data span, $\sim 80 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ |

## Density Inversions

Locations of unstable vertical density gradients are shown in Figure 8; only gradients more unstable than $-0.003 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{dbar}$ are shown. Density gradient values for these instabilities are summarised in Table 7. The vertical profiles were inspected for the 5 worst cases (more unstable than $-0.015 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{dbar}$ ): in these cases, the instabilities are due to wake water from the package passing the sensors. Many of the smaller instabilities may be due to the same effect.

## Comparisons with Other Cruises

Deepwater $\theta$-S and $\theta$-oxygen curves were compared for P18 stations coincident with other cruise data sets (Table 3), as follows. Note that only a limited number of stations occur at the crossovers. In general, $\theta$-S agreement lies within the expected inter-cruise accuracy of 0.002 . Oxygen agreement is within $2 \%$ of deepwater oxygen values.

Table 3: Stations from different cruises used for comparison with P18.

| P18 stn | P18 approx. position | other cruise stn | other cruise approx. position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 167 | $9.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 110.08^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | P4E 182 | $9.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 110.33^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |
|  |  | P4E 183 | $9.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 109.5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 105 | $17^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 103^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | P21 76 | $16.75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 102.67^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 106 | $16.5^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 103^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | P21 77 | $16.75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 103.33^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 74 | $32.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 103^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | P6E 57 | $32.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 102.67^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |
|  |  | P6E 58 | $32.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 103.33^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 35 | $53.17^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 103^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | P17E 192 | $52.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 103.33^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 36 | $52.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 103^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | P17E 193 | $52.88^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 102.25^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 10 | $67^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 103{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | S4P 712 | $67^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 103.5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |

P18N and P4E (P.I. H. Bryden on eastern leg) (Figure 9)
P4E salinity is higher than P18 by $\sim 0.0015$
Oxygen data compare well below $\theta=2.2^{\circ}$
P18N and P21 (P.I. M. McCartney on eastern leg) (Figure 9)
P 21 salinity is higher than P18 by $\sim 0.002$.
Oxygen data compare well below $\theta=2.2^{\circ}$ for the two P21 stations and one of the P18 stations; the second P18 station is lower by $\sim 3 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ above $\theta=1.55^{\circ}$, and agrees below this.

P18S and P6E (P.I. H. Bryden on eastern leg) (Figure 9)
P6E salinity is lower than P18 by $\sim 0.001$.
Oxygen comparison is inconclusive: P6E is $\sim 2.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ higher than P18, but converges at the bottom.

P18S and P17E (P.I. J. Swift) (Figure 10)
P17E salinity is higher than P18 by $\sim 0.001$ to 0.002 below the deepwater salinity maximum. Oxgen data compare fairly well below $\theta=1.3^{\circ}$

P18S and S4P (P.I Koshlyakov) (Figure 10)
S4P salinity is lower than P18 by $\sim 0.002$.
Oxygen data for S4P at the crossover is too noisy for a fair comparison.

## Documentation

CTD data processing methodology is in general well described. It would be useful to add the following information:

- sound speeds used for sounder readings, and whether or not readings have been corrected for transducer depth below the waterline;
- station groupings used for oxygen calibration, and final values of fit parameters.

Comments on the following would be appreciated, as discussed in previous sections:

- oxygen calibration problem (i.e. sensor, or fitting problem);
- temperature pre and post cruise calibration difference for sensor T1461;
- more frequent flagging of surface $T$ data compared to surface $S$ data (opposite to cruise P14S/P15S).

Lastly, the methodology in the report discusses 1 dbar averaging. Are the 2 dbar data submitted to the WOCE office derived from the same raw data level, or are they somehow extracted from 1 dbar data?

## Reference

Saunders, P.M. and Fofonoff, N.P., 1976. Conversion of pressure to depth in the ocean. Deep Sea Research, 23:109-111.

## Table 4: Suspicious CTD salinity ( $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ ) data. *Indicates calibration improved by additional correction described in the text (i.e. using smaller station groupings).

station
3
4
*6
*9
*11
12
*13
*14
*15
*16
*17
*18
*20
*21
comment
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.003$ below 1500 dbar
$S_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.002$ below 1200 dbar
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.002$ below 2000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ low by $\sim 0.0015$ at surface
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {cta }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ for whole profile $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 2500 dbar
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 2000 dbar $S_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ 200-2200 dbar, high by $\sim 0.002$ below 2200 dbar
Sctd high by $\sim 0.002$ below 200 dbar
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ for whole profile
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ low by $\sim 0.001$ above 1000 dbar , high by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar
$S_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 500 dbar
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ low by $\sim 0.001$ at surface, high by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar, high by $\sim 0.002$ below 4000 dbar
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ mostly high by $\sim 0.001$ below 3000 dbar
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 800 dbar suspicious $S$ feature at 2 to 6 dbar
Sctd low by $\sim 0.001$ above 1000 dbar
Scta low by ~0.001 above 1000 dbar
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ low by $\sim 0.001$ for whole profile
Sctd low by $\sim 0.002$ above 1000 dbar
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {cta }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 500 dbar
$S_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.002$ below 1000 dbar
$S_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ mostly high by $\sim 0.0015$ for whole profile
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.0015$ below 2000 dbar
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.0015$ below 1500 dbar
recommendation
use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings
use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings
use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings
use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings
use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings flag as 3 in .ctd file use smaller station groupings
use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings
*41 to 43
*45 to 48
51,52,53,55
*52
*54 to 55
57
*58
*60 to 62
*63
*64
*66
*69 to 72
74
75
76
78
79
80
*85
90
*92
*94
104
*105
107
*108
*110
111
*112
*113
*114
*115
116
*121
*123
*125
132
*133
*144
*146
*148
*149
152
155
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by ~0.0015 below 1000 dbar
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar
S glitch between 50 and 100 dbar, due to spiking in steep T gradient
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.0008$ below 1000 dbar
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.0015$ below 500 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 1300 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ low by $\sim 0.002$ near surface large S spike at 46 dbar
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.002$ below 1000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 2000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.0015$ below 1000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.002$ below 1000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.0015$ below 1000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ low by $\sim 0.0015$ below 1500 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.002$ below 500 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ for whole profile $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ for 1000 to 3800 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ low by ~0.001 below 1000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ low by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar no bottles, but compares well with surrounding stations
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {cta }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 200 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.0015$ for whole profile $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ for whole profile $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.0015$ for whole profile $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ for 500 to 3500 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ low by $\sim 0.001$ below 1000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ for whole profile $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ low by $\sim 0.001$ below 1500 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ low by $\sim 0.001$ below 500 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ low by $\sim 0.0008$ below 1000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 500 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.0008$ for whole profile $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 500 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ for whole profile $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ above 3000 dbar $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ for whole profile
use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings
use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings
use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings flag as 3 in .ctd file
use smaller station groupings
use smaller station groupings
use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings
use smaller station groupings
use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings
use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings use smaller station groupings
use smaller station grouping use smaller station groupings use smaller station grouping
use smaller station grouping use smaller station grouping use smaller station grouping use smaller station grouping use smaller station grouping

| *169 | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {cta }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 200 dbar |
| :---: | :---: |
| *172 | $S_{\text {cta }}$ high by $\sim 0.0008$ below 500 dbar |
| *182 | Sctd low by $\sim 0.001$ below 750 dbar |
| *185 | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {cta }}$ low by $\sim 0.001$ below 500 dbar |
| *187 | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ for whole profile |
| *188 to 189 | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 100 dbar |
| *191 | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ below 500 dbar |
| *192 | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ctd }}$ high by $\sim 0.001$ above 1500 dbar |

use smaller station grouping use smaller station grouping use smaller station grouping use smaller station grouping use smaller station grouping use smaller station grouping use smaller station grouping use smaller station grouping

Table 5: Suspicious CTD oxygen data. For recommended flag changes, original flags in data are 2 unless specified otherwise.

| station | comment |
| :---: | :--- |
| 15 | little step from 4226 to $\sim 4400$ dbar |
| 18 | little step at 4412 dbar |
| 25 | 0 to 8 dbar transient/despiking error |
| 30 | 100 to 108 dbar oxygen spike |
| 52 | 0 to 10 dbar transient/despiking error |
| 66 | 0 to 12 dbar transient/despiking error |
| 68 | $\sim 2245$ dbar small oxygen glitch |
| 69 | 2360 to 2374 dbar small oxygen glitch |
| 70 | 0 to 12 dbar transient/despiking error |
| 70 | 2236 to 2246 dbar small oxygen glitch |
| 71 | 0 to 12 dbar transient/despiking error |
| 71 | 2378 to 2392 dbar small oxygen glitch |
| 72 | 2348 to 2366 dbar small oxygen glitch |
| 73 | 2244 to 2260 dbar small oxygen glitch |
| 74 | 2300 to 2316 dbar small oxygen glitch |
| 75 | 0 to 10 dbar transient/despiking error |
| 75 | $\sim 2070$ dbar small oxygen glitch |
| 76 | 0 to 12 dbar transient/despiking error |
| 76 | $\sim 2355$ dbar small oxygen glitch |
| 77 | 2326 to 2380 dbar small oxygen glitch |
| 78 | $\sim 2650$ dbar small oxygen glitch |
| 79 |  |

79 much noisier over top 1200 dbar than surrounding stations
80 ~2360 dbar small oxygen glitch
910 to 8 dbar transient/despiking error
920 to 10 dbar transient/despiking error
940 to 10 dbar transient/despiking error
970 to 8 dbar transient/despiking error
980 to 12 dbar transient/despiking error
990 to 10 dbar transient/despiking error
$100 \sim 4100$ dbar small oxygen glitch
1030 dbar transient/despiking error
1070 to 8 dbar transient/despiking error
1130 to 4 dbar transient/despiking error
recommendation comment
flag as 3 in .ctd file flag as 3 in .ctd file flag as 3 in .ctd file flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file flag as 3 in .ctd file flag as 3 in .ctd file flag as 3 in .ctd file flag as 3 in .ctd file flag as 3 in .ctd file flag as 3 in .ctd file flag as 3 in .ctd file flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file $0-6$ dbar currently flag 8 flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file $0-4$ dbar currently flag 8
flag as 3 in .ctd file $4-6$ dbar currently flag 6
flag as 3 in .ctd file 6 dbar currently flag 7
12 dbar currently flag 6
flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file 6 dbar currently flag 7 flag as 3 in .ctd file

15340 dbar oxygen spike 0 dbar transient/despiking error 1720 to 1726 dbar oxygen spike

## 1792 to 1800 dbar oxygen spike

 0 dbar transient/despiking error 2084 to 2384 oxygen glitch0 to 8 dbar transient/despiking error
0 to 6 dbar transient/despiking error 0 to 6 dbar transient/despiking error 0 to 8 dbar transient/despiking error 0 to 4 dbar transient/despiking error 0 to 6 dbar transient/despiking error 0 to 6 dbar transient/despiking error 0 to 10 dbar transient/despiking error

0 to 8 dbar transient/despiking error 0 to 4 dbar transient/despiking error 0 to 16 dbar transient/despiking error 0 to10 dbar transient/despiking error

## 0 to 6 dbar transient/despiking error

0 to 6 dbar transient/despiking error 0 to 14 dbar transient/despiking error 0 to 4 dbar transient/despiking error
flag as 3 in .ctd file 2-6 dbar currently flag 6 flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file flag as 3 in .ctd file flag as 3 in .ctd file flag as 3 in .ctd file flag as 3 in .ctd file flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file $0-2$ dbar currently flag 8
4-10 dbar currently flag 6
flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file $0-14$ dbar currently flag 8
flag as 3 in .ctd file 4,10 dbar currently flag 6 6 dbar currently flag 7
flag as 3 in .ctd file 2 dbar currently flag 6 6 dbar currently flag 7
flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file
flag as 3 in .ctd file 0 dbar currently flag 8 2-14 dbar currently flag 6
flag as 3 in .ctd file 2 dbar currently flag 7

Table 6: CTD oxygen data calibrations. Quality of calibration is rated from 1 (bad) to 5 (good) as follows: $5=$ good
$4=$ moderately good (residual $<2 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ )
$3=$ bit poor (residual up to $3 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$, or a constant small bias)
$2=$ fairly poor (residual up to $6 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ )
1=poor (residual $>6 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ )

|  | 1=poor (residual >6 $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stn | calibration rating | stn | calibration rating | stn | calibration rating |
| 10 | 1 above 3000 dbar | 50-56 | 5 | 111 | 4 |
| 11 | 2 | 57 | 3 | 112-113 | 3 |
| 12 | 3 | 58 | 4 | 114 | 2 |
| 13 | 5 | 59 | 5 | 115 | 5 |
| 14 | 3 | 60-62 | 4 | 116 | 4 |
| 15 | 5 | 63 | 3; 2 at bottom | 117-118 | 5 |
| 16 | 1 | 64 | 4 | 119-120 | 3 |
| 17 | 2 | 65 | 4;3 at bottom | 121-122 | 2 |
| 18 | 5; 3 above 1000 dbar | 66 | 4 | 123 | 3 |
| 19 | 2 | 67 | 5; 3 at bottom | 124 | 2 |
| 20 | 3 | 68-69 | 3 | 125 | 1 below 1300 dbar |
| 21 | 5; 2 at bottom | 70 | 2 | 126-137 | 1 |
| 22 | 5; 2 at bottom | 71-72 | 3 | 138-139 | 2 |


| 23 | 5 | $73-75$ | 5 | 140 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | 2 | 76 | 1 | $141-152$ | 2 |
| 25 | 4 | $77-78$ | 4 | $153-155$ | 3 |
| 26 | 1 | $79-82$ | 5 | 156 | 5 |
| 27 | 5 | $83-84$ | 3 | 157 | 3 |
| 28 | 3 | 85 | $5 ; 1$ at bottom | 158 | 5 |
| 29 | 5 | $86-87$ | 4 | 159 | 4 |
| 30 | 3 | 88 | 2 | 160 | 1 |
| 31 | 3 | 89 | 1 | 161 | 2 |
| 32 | 5 | $90-91$ | 2 | $162-163$ | 3 |
| 33 | 3 | $92-93$ | 5 | 164 | 4 |
| 34 | 5 | 94 | 4 | $165-171$ | 3 |
| 35 | $5 ; 1$ above 400 dbar | 95 | 5 | 172 | 2 |
| 36 | 4 | 96 | 3 | $173-178$ | 3 |
| 37 | 5 | $97-100$ | 5 | $179-180$ | 2 |
| 38 | 5 | 101 | 3 | 181 | 3 |
| 39 | 4 | 102 | 4 | 182 | 2 |
| $40-44$ | 5 | $103-106$ | 5 | $183-184$ | 3 |
| 45 | 3 | 107 | 4 | $185-191$ | 2 |
| $46-48$ | 5 | 108 | 5 | 192 | 3 |
| 49 | 1 | $109-110$ | 3 | $193-194$ | 5 |

Table 7: Density inversions $<\mathbf{- 0 . 0 0 3} \mathbf{~ k g} / \mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{dbar}$, and quality flag for salinity in .ctd file for the pressure bin.

| stn | pressure <br> (dbar) | density <br> gradient | sal. <br> flag | stn | pressure <br> (dbar) | density <br> gradient | sal. <br> flag | stn | pressure <br> (dbar) | density <br> gradient | sal. <br> flag |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 6 | -0.0067 | 2 | 77 | 460 | -0.0041 | 2 | 107 | 98 | -0.0037 | 2 |
| 13 | 112 | -0.0046 | 2 | 78 | 58 | -0.0097 | 2 | 110 | 6 | -0.0059 | 2 |
| 13 | 114 | -0.0059 | 2 | 78 | 92 | -0.0089 | 2 | 116 | 80 | -0.0110 | 2 |
| 13 | 154 | -0.0031 | 2 | 78 | 98 | -0.0031 | 2 | 118 | 6 | -0.0034 | 2 |
| 16 | 6 | -0.0038 | 2 | 78 | 160 | -0.0050 | 2 | 118 | 152 | -0.0131 | 2 |
| 30 | 106 | -0.0074 | 2 | 78 | 166 | -0.0031 | 2 | 121 | 6 | -0.0078 | 2 |
| 32 | 8 | -0.0044 | 2 | 78 | 194 | -0.0122 | 2 | 122 | 200 | -0.0039 | 2 |
| 32 | 702 | -0.0038 | 2 | 78 | 266 | -0.0077 | 2 | 126 | 170 | -0.0044 | 2 |
| 34 | 114 | -0.0345 | 2 | 78 | 276 | -0.0102 | 2 | 126 | 578 | -0.0037 | 2 |
| 34 | 118 | -0.0256 | 2 | 78 | 364 | -0.0039 | 2 | 126 | 602 | -0.0036 | 2 |
| 40 | 14 | -0.0031 | 2 | 79 | 110 | -0.0065 | 2 | 126 | 688 | -0.0031 | 2 |
| 40 | 16 | -0.0077 | 2 | 79 | 116 | -0.0077 | 2 | 127 | 62 | -0.0033 | 2 |
| 43 | 6 | -0.0037 | 2 | 79 | 130 | -0.0050 | 2 | 127 | 72 | -0.0114 | 2 |
| 49 | 10 | -0.0031 | 2 | 79 | 200 | -0.0084 | 2 | 127 | 122 | -0.0039 | 2 |
| 53 | 6 | -0.0030 | 8 | 79 | 258 | -0.0070 | 2 | 128 | 140 | -0.0127 | 2 |
| 54 | 6 | -0.0041 | 2 | 79 | 284 | -0.0042 | 2 | 129 | 38 | -0.0043 | 2 |
| 54 | 54 | -0.0031 | 2 | 79 | 328 | -0.0033 | 2 | 129 | 64 | -0.0258 | 2 |
| 55 | 74 | -0.0077 | 2 | 79 | 472 | -0.0031 | 2 | 129 | 442 | -0.0037 | 2 |
| 56 | 82 | -0.0069 | 2 | 82 | 302 | -0.0040 | 2 | 130 | 152 | -0.0032 | 2 |
| 56 | 182 | -0.0053 | 2 | 82 | 380 | -0.0039 | 2 | 133 | 348 | -0.0048 | 2 |
| 56 | 188 | -0.0054 | 2 | 83 | 6 | -0.0071 | 2 | 134 | 318 | -0.0095 | 2 |


| 58 | 98 | -0.0081 | 2 | 84 | 134 | -0.0054 | 2 | 134 | 430 | -0.0048 | 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 58 | 104 | -0.0070 | 2 | 84 | 164 | -0.0033 | 2 | 136 | 6 | -0.0039 | 2 |
| 58 | 132 | -0.0047 | 2 | 88 | 288 | -0.0037 | 2 | 136 | 66 | -0.0170 | 2 |
| 58 | 146 | -0.0061 | 2 | 88 | 390 | -0.0032 | 2 | 136 | 326 | -0.0033 | 2 |
| 58 | 156 | -0.0082 | 2 | 88 | 406 | -0.0073 | 2 | 142 | 8 | -0.0035 | 2 |
| 58 | 166 | -0.0102 | 2 | 90 | 262 | -0.0044 | 2 | 144 | 10 | -0.0043 | 2 |
| 58 | 170 | -0.0070 | 2 | 90 | 326 | -0.0055 | 2 | 146 | 8 | -0.0048 | 2 |
| 58 | 206 | -0.0046 | 2 | 91 | 198 | -0.0031 | 2 | 152 | 6 | -0.0083 | 2 |
| 60 | 84 | -0.0086 | 2 | 91 | 422 | -0.0041 | 2 | 155 | 66 | -0.0105 | 2 |
| 62 | 136 | -0.0090 | 2 | 92 | 144 | -0.0053 | 2 | 157 | 6 | -0.0100 | 2 |
| 62 | 226 | -0.0052 | 2 | 92 | 208 | -0.0067 | 2 | 159 | 62 | -0.0104 | 2 |
| 62 | 286 | -0.0033 | 2 | 92 | 216 | -0.0054 | 2 | 163 | 6 | -0.0046 | 2 |
| 64 | 226 | -0.0034 | 2 | 93 | 66 | -0.0076 | 2 | 164 | 136 | -0.0039 | 2 |
| 75 | 272 | -0.0040 | 2 | 93 | 316 | -0.0085 | 2 | 174 | 10 | -0.0033 | 2 |
| 77 | 8 | -0.0046 | 2 | 93 | 322 | -0.0051 | 2 | 174 | 12 | -0.0115 | 2 |
| 77 | 56 | -0.0082 | 2 | 94 | 218 | -0.0035 | 2 | 175 | 170 | -0.0045 | 2 |
| 77 | 64 | -0.0204 | 2 | 94 | 270 | -0.0040 | 2 | 176 | 130 | -0.0067 | 2 |
| 77 | 116 | -0.0047 | 2 | 96 | 6 | -0.0045 | 2 | 177 | 10 | -0.0061 | 2 |
| 77 | 214 | -0.0055 | 2 | 100 | 6 | -0.0068 | 2 | 178 | 6 | -0.0051 | 2 |
| 77 | 232 | -0.0049 | 2 | 102 | 12 | -0.0042 | 2 | 183 | 6 | -0.0094 | 2 |
| 77 | 332 | -0.0113 | 2 | 104 | 6 | -0.0032 | 2 | 184 | 10 | -0.0065 | 2 |
| 77 | 344 | -0.0065 | 2 | 104 | 84 | -0.0057 | 2 | 188 | 86 | -0.0055 | 2 |
| 77 | 352 | -0.0082 | 2 | 105 | 116 | -0.0041 | 2 | 191 | 8 | -0.0067 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | 105 | 232 | -0.0043 | 2 | 193 | 14 | -0.0036 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | 107 | 68 | -0.0048 | 2 | 194 | 10 | -0.0050 | 6 |

Table 8: Summary of flag and other changes recommended.

| station | parameter |
| :--- | :--- |
| 96 | $\mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{O}$ at 0 dbar |
|  |  |
| $25,72,32$, | maximum pressure |
| $46,61,78$ |  |
| 24,67 | S |
| numerous | O |
| numerous | O |

numerous O

| all | T,S,O |
| :--- | :--- |
| 13 | T |

numerous O
recommendation
remove bad first data line with 0's in .ctd file (done) and change number of records to 2003 in header add value into .sum file (done)
flag changes in .ctd files recommended in Table 4 flag changes in .ctd files recommended in Table 5 reflag oxygen data in .ctd files according to calibration ranking in Table 6: reflag as 3 for ranking of 2 or 3 reflag as 4 for ranking of 1
change CTDOXY flags to 4 in .sea file for samples listed in the section on oxygen.
change all 8 flags to 7
remove blocks of interpolated data listed in Table 2, and change flags from 6 to 5 remove blocks of interpolated data listed in Table 2, and change flags from 6 to 5
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Figure 4: "Corrected" salinities
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Figure 10: Comparison of P18 with P17E and S4P

## DQ Evaluation of WOCE P18S and P18N hydrographic data

Arnold W. Mantyla

WOCE line P18 began in the southern Amundson Basin at the same latitude as WOCE line S04 and then extended northward, mostly along the eastern flank of the East Pacific Rise, ending near Cabo San Lucas at the tip of Baja California, Mexico. The cruise resulted in an excellent section across the frontal zones of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current and through the very low oxygen minimum zones of the Eastern Pacific on both sides of the equator. About $85 \%$ of the 185 stations from the two legs (P18S and P18N) were done with a 35 place rosette that provided good full water column water sample coverage. Except for one station where no water samples were recovered, the rest were done with a 24 place rosette system, usually at times of rough weather. The latter stations had a higher data loss than the fair weather stations done with the larger system.

The cruise track crossed 5 other WOCE lines: S04, P17E, P06, P21, and P04, as well as the two classical Scorpio lines. Comparison of data at the crossings indicated the P18 cruise tended to be slightly lower in salinity, oxygen, silicate, phosphate, and nitrate than the other WOCE cruises, but the differences were within the combined expected precision of the cruise pairs.

Overall, the data looks quite good, and the data originators have done a thorough job in checking the data. However, some of the data rejection may have been overly zealous, particularly with the salinity flags. About a third of the doubtful salinities were clearly due to sample collection errors, usually off by one depth. These were not rosette trip errors, as revealed by the oxygen and nutrient profiles. They would be ok if shifted to their CTD verified depths. Of the remaining questioned salinities, about $40 \%$ were within one depth of the primary nitrite maximum in the upper thermocline (the secondary NO2 max is associated with the deeper very low O2's). The primary NO2 max is usually in the maximum stability zone, or in the strongest density gradient below the surface layer. Both temperature and salinity can also have strong vertical gradients there and it is in that area that the CTD and the water samples often have trouble in seeing the same answer, for a variety of reasons. The fact that the two measurements often differ does not mean that either is bad and some judgement should be used before rejecting data that in all likelihood is ok. I have changed a few of the flags to ok, but for the most part have left the flags as done by the data originators. Also, water samples collected near a sharp salinity minimum or maximum at times seemed to be more extreme than the CTD and therefore flagged questionable, but I believe that they shouldn't be flagged, so I changed some of the flags to ok.

There appears to be a small CTD salinity bias that varied with depth, the surface CTD being about .001 too low at the surface, and about .001 too high at the bottom. Mark Rosenberg has noted the problem in his CTD DQE evaluation, so the CTD salinities should be corrected at the rosette trip levels also.

Five stations had sample collection errors by being off one level for part of the sample drawing of the salinities (sta.'s 107, 112, and 140), O2 (sta. 169), and nutrients (sta. 23).

Since the CTD verifies the correct depths for the salinity and oxygen samples, I can't see why the samples couldn't be shifted to the correct depths, as long as that was noted in the cruise report. The nutrient offset is apparent from comparison with the nutrient vs density profile on the adjacent stations.

Many stations had surface layer silicates listed as zero, unlike any in the bracketing WOCE lines P17E and P19, or most other recent expeditions. I flagged the first station (32) zero silicates uncertain, but did not flag any of the other 27 stations that had unlikely zero surface silicate values. It seems the autoanalizer baseline correction may have been too large on many stations, or perhaps there was a problem with low level detection. I don't believe the zero values, but have decided to let them go as is.

There were a number of curious isolated depths where only oxygen was listed, but no salinity or nutrients. Salinities should always be collected and listed, as that is the essential sample in verification of the correct tripping of the rosette bottle.

The following are comments on specific stations with problems that should be looked into:
Sta. 23: 1995-4740db - silicates are higher than adjacent stations at the same density, it looks like they belong one depth deeper. Phosphate and nitrate gradients are too weak to tell, they would look ok at wither depth. Suspect a sample drawing error similar to those later (S and O2) verified by the CTD. As listed, the silicates should be flagged "uncertain", they would look ok if listed one depth deeper.

Sta. 40: 9-363db - Water sample salinity and oxygen data compared to the CTD indicate that samples 326-335 belong one depth deeper. Looks like samples 326 and 325 both tripped at 363db, with subsequent trips then one depth deeper than intended, and then only one trip at 9 db (sample 336) instead of a double trip there. Suggest re-list the data with the correct pressures and temperatures and change the questionable salinity flags to data ok flags.

Sta.'s 85-88 PO4's: The deep phosphate for the last two stations of P18S appear high compared to station 85 and to the first station on P18N (sta. 88) The calculations should be checked, and if ok I recommend that stas 86 and 87 deep PO4's be flagged uncertain. Also, the deep nitrites on sta. 88 were flagged "bad", but they look OK, so I changed the flags to OK, except for two slightly high values which were flagged uncertain.

Sta. 107: 200-1303db - The salinity samples from bottles 114 to 128 clearly belong one depth shallower. Numerous salinities were flagged "bad", but if moved up one level (and average 128 and 129), the data would be all ok. This is a clear sample collection error, as the O 2 and nutrients confirm they were not a mis-trip.

Sta 112: 1800-2200db - Salinity samples 113 and 114 clearly belong one depth deeper, the missing salinity should be at 1801db, rather than at 2201db. Data would be ok if moved. Oxygen confirms not a mis-trip.

Sta 117: 123db - Salinity listed as .0000 , should change it to -9.000 .

Sta. 140: 602-3748db - As on sta. 107, many salts flagged either questionable or bad. The CTD verifies that samples 103 to 119 are from one depth shallower and both 119 and 120 are from 602db. If moved, all of the salts would be ok. Not a mis-trip, per O2 data.

Sta. 148: 301db - This level is clearly a mis-trip or a leaker, and the water did not come from here. Therefore, the oxygen and nitrite should also be flagged uncertain, even though they would seem to "fit" at this depth.

Sta. 169: 1998-3001db - Clear oxygen sampling error, samples 105 to 108 belong one depth shallower (108 and 109 are both from 1998db), as confirmed by the CTD. If moved, the data would be ok, otherwise they are clearly "bad".

Sta. 190: 99 and 1800db - It looks like the salinity samples 110 and 132 belong in reverse order, but I don't have a clue how that error could have happened.

Sta. 191: 699db - A clear mis-trip or leaker, so O2 and NO2 must be flagged uncertain also. The samples are not from this depth.

Sta. 192: 100-1601db - There is no oxygen listed form sample 104 at 1601 db ; the CTD verifies that samples 105 to 107 belong one depth deeper, and no O2 listed for sample 107. If moved, the data would be ok.

